

2013 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 1/10/2013 Received By: fknepp
Wanted: As time permits Same as LRB: -0885
For: John Lehman (608) 266-1832 By/Representing: Beau Stafford
May Contact: Drafter: fknepp
Subject: Education - school finance Addl. Drafters:
Extra Copies: Peter Grant

Submit via email: YES
Requester's email: Sen.Lehman@legis.wisconsin.gov
Carbon copy (CC) to: tracy.kuczenski@legis.wisconsin.gov
fern.knepp@legis.wisconsin.gov

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Revenue limit for school safety

Instructions:

Reinstate school safety revenue limit exception that was eliminated in 2011 Wisconsin Act 32. See s.121.91 (4) (L), 2009 stats.

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/1	fknepp 1/10/2013	scalvin 1/10/2013	jmurphy 1/10/2013	_____	sbasford 1/10/2013	mbarman 1/11/2013	State S&L

FE Sent For:

<END>

→ A+
Intro.

2013 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 1/10/2013 Received By: fknepp
 Wanted: As time permits Same as LRB: -0885
 For: John Lehman (608) 266-1832 By/Representing: Beau Stafford
 May Contact: Drafter: fknepp
 Subject: Education - school finance Addl. Drafters:
 Extra Copies: Peter Grant

Submit via email: YES
 Requester's email: Sen.Lehman@legis.wisconsin.gov
 Carbon copy (CC) to: tracy.kuczynski@legis.wisconsin.gov
 fern.knepp@legis.wisconsin.gov

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Revenue limit for school safety

Instructions:

Reinstate school safety revenue limit exception that was eliminated in 2011 Wisconsin Act 32. See s.121.91 (4) (L), 2009 stats.

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/1	fknepp 1/10/2013	scalvin 1/10/2013	jmurphy 1/10/2013	_____	sbasford 1/10/2013		State S&L

FE Sent For:

<END>

2013 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 1/10/2013 Received By: fknepp
 Wanted: As time permits Same as LRB: -0885
 For: John Lehman (608) 266-1832 By/Representing: Beau Stafford
 May Contact: Drafter: fknepp
 Subject: Education - school finance Addl. Drafters:
 Extra Copies: Peter Grant

Submit via email: YES
 Requester's email: Sen.Lehman@legis.wisconsin.gov
 Carbon copy (CC) to: tracy.kuczenski@legis.wisconsin.gov
 fern.knepp@legis.wisconsin.gov

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Revenue limit for school safety

Instructions:

Reinstate school safety revenue limit exception that was eliminated in 2011 Wisconsin Act 32. See s.121.91 (4) (L), 2009 stats.

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/1	fknepp	1 SAC 01/10/2013					State S&L

Jan 1/10/13 Self

FE Sent For:

<END>

Knepp, Fern

From: Grant, Peter
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2013 1:14 PM
To: Knepp, Fern
Subject: LRB-0885

Beau Stafford, Sen. Lehman's aide, called with a request to draft a companion bill to LRB-0885. I made the mistake of telling him he could have it on Monday before I realized it wasn't my draft. If you're busy, let me know and I'll be happy to do it.

Peter



State of Wisconsin
2013 - 2014 LEGISLATURE



LRB-0885/1 -1101/1
FFK:.....
RMNR
Sac

In 1-10-13

Owb 1-14-13

Identical **2013 BILL**
to -0885/1

PWF
1/10/13
Jm

1 AN ACT ^{gen cat} relating to: a school district revenue limit adjustment for school
2 safety.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Current law generally limits the total amount of revenue per pupil that a school district may receive from general school aids and property taxes in a school year to the amount of revenue allowed per pupil in the previous school year. However, several exceptions to the revenue limit exist under current law. For example, if a school district increases the services that it provides by adding responsibility for providing a service transferred to it from another governmental unit, its revenue limit is increased by the cost of that service.

This bill increases the revenue limit for a school district that develops a school safety plan with a local law enforcement agency. Under the bill, the amount of the increase is \$100 multiplied by the number of pupils enrolled in the school district or \$40,000, whichever is greater. The school district may use the additional revenue to purchase school safety equipment, to pay security officers, and for the cost of school safety measures that are identified in the school district's school safety plan.

For further information see the **state and local** fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

BILL

1 **SECTION 1.** 121.91 (4) (Lm) of the statutes is created to read:

2 121.91 (4) (Lm) 1. In this paragraph, "local law enforcement agency" means a
3 governmental unit of one or more persons employed full time by a city, town, village,
4 or county in this state for the purpose of preventing and detecting crime and
5 enforcing state laws or local ordinances and the unit's employees are authorized to
6 make arrests for crimes while acting within the scope of their authority.

7 2. The limit otherwise applicable to a school district under sub. (2m) in any
8 school year is increased by an amount equal to \$100 times the number of pupils
9 enrolled in the school district or \$40,000, whichever is greater, if the school board
10 adopts a resolution to do so, the school board and a local law enforcement agency
11 jointly develop a school safety plan that specifies the purposes of the additional
12 revenue, the school safety plan is consistent with the school safety plan required
13 under s. 118.07 (4), and the school board submits the school safety plan to the
14 department.

15 3. A school district may use the excess revenue under this paragraph to
16 purchase school safety equipment, fund the compensation costs of security officers,
17 and for school safety expenditures consistent with the school safety plan required
18 under s. 118.07 (4). Any additional revenue received by a school district under this
19 paragraph is not included in the base for determining the school district's limit under
20 sub. (2m) for the following school year.

21 **SECTION 2.** 121.91 (7) of the statutes is amended to read:

22 121.91 (7) Except as provided in sub. (4) (f) 2., (Lm), (o), and (q) and (8), if an
23 excess revenue is approved under sub. (3) for a recurring purpose or allowed under
24 sub. (4), the excess revenue shall be included in the base for determining the limit
25 for the next school year for purposes of this section. If an excess revenue is approved

BILL

1 under sub. (3) for a nonrecurring purpose, the excess revenue shall not be included
2 in the base for determining the limit for the next school year for purposes of this
3 section.

History: 1993 a. 16; 1995 a. 27 ss. 4108m to 4114, 9145 (1); 1997 a. 27, 113, 164, 237, 286; 1999 a. 9, 17, 19, 32, 182; 2001 a. 16; 2005 a. 25, 219; 2007 a. 1, 20; 2009 a. 28; 2011 a. 32, 75, 114.

4 **SECTION 3. Initial applicability.**

5 (1) This act first applies to the calculation of a school district's revenue limit
6 for the school year beginning after the effective date of this subsection.

7 (END)

Barman, Mike

From: Stromme, Denise
Sent: Friday, January 11, 2013 8:46 AM
To: LRB.Legal
Subject: Draft Review: LRB -1101/1 Topic: Revenue limit for school safety

Please Jacket LRB -1101/1 for the SENATE.