2013 DRAFTING REQUEST

Senat	e Ame	ndment (S	SA-SB30	2)				
Receive	ed:	11/5/2013				Received By:	rkite	
Wanted	i :	As time per	mits			Same as LRB:		
For:		Mark Mille	er (608) 26	66-9170		By/Representing:	Beth Bier	
May C	ontact:					Drafter:	rkite	
Subjec	t:	Environme	nt - water	· quality		Addl. Drafters:		
						Extra Copies:		
Reques	t via em ster's em 1 copy (1	nail:	YES Sen.M	iller@legis.wi	isconsin.g	ov		
Pre To								
No spe	cific pr	e topic given	l					
Topic:					···			
Appro	val conc	litions for pr	oposed hig	gh capacity we	ells			
Instru	ctions:	800 W.S.						-
Add "c flow"	casing d	epth" to Sec	tion 11, lii	ne 15, after "ra	ite of flow	" and to Section 12	2, line 23 after "	rate of
Drafti	ng Hist	ory:						
<u>Vers.</u>	Drafted	<u>i Re</u>	viewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required
/?	rkite 11/5/20	013				- -		
/1		jdy 11.	ver /5/2013	jfrantze 11/5/2013		lparisi 11/5/2013	lparisi 11/5/2013	
FE Ser	nt For:							

<**END>**

2013 DRAFTING REQUEST

Senate Ame	endment (SA-SB302)			
Received:	11/5/2013	Received By:	rkite	
Wanted:	As time permits	Same as LRB:		
For:	Mark Miller (608) 266-9170	By/Representing:	Beth Bier	
May Contact:		Drafter:	rkite	
Subject:	Environment - water quality	Addl. Drafters:		
		Extra Copies:		
Submit via em Requester's em Carbon copy (nail: Sen.Miller@legis.wisconsin.g	gov		
Pre Topic:				
No specific pro	e topic given			
Topic:				
Approval conc	litions for proposed high capacity wells			
Instructions:				
Add "casing d flow"	epth" to Section 11, line 15, after "rate of flow	v" and to Section 12	, line 23 after "rat	e of
Drafting Hist	ory:			
Vers. Drafted /? rkite	Reviewed Typed Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required

FE Sent For:

<END>

No, I'll forward you the information from WHNGS that explains why this is important.

From: Kite, Robin

Sent: Tuesday, November 05, 2013 9:13 AM

To: Bier, Beth

Subject: RE: SB 302 amendments

Wouldn't the casing have to be the same depth as the well? I just want to be absolutely sure that I draft this provision correctly.

From: Bier, Beth

Sent: Tuesday, November 05, 2013 9:12 AM

To: Kite, Robin

Subject: RE: SB 302 amendments

Yes, it refers to the casing on the well itself, not the distance it would be drilled.

From: Kite, Robin

Sent: Tuesday, November 05, 2013 9:04 AM

To: Bier, Beth

Subject: SB 302 amendments

Beth:

With regard to the first item, these bill sections already allow DNR to include a condition as to "depth". Is "casing depth" different?

Robin

Kite, Robin

From:

Bier, Beth

Sent:

Tuesday, November 05, 2013 9:31 AM

To: Subject: Kite, Robin RE: well casings

Yes, thank you!

From: Kite, Robin

Sent: Tuesday, November 05, 2013 9:30 AM

To: Bier, Beth

Subject: RE: well casings

Do you want to insert "casing depth" after "rate of flow" in sections 13 and 14 as well? See page 6, lines 8 and 14.

Robin

From: Bier, Beth

Sent: Tuesday, November 05, 2013 9:15 AM

To: Kite, Robin

Subject: FW: well casings

FYI

From: Ken Bradbury [mailto:krbradbu@wisc.edu]
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2013 5:42 PM

To: Bier, Beth

Subject: RE: well casings

Hello Beth-

What I was getting at in my remarks to the Natural Resources Committee is that the actual design of a well can have a big impact on how the well affects other nearby wells and shallow water resources. I think that the DNR ought to have the authority to require the most appropriate design for the well for the purpose intended. Casing depth is part of this design. This is especially important in parts of the state that have multiple aquifers, such as here in Dane County and also in Waukesha County. Cross-connecting aquifers by drilling through an intervening aquitard (clay or shale layer) can allow contaminants from one aquifer to flow down into the adjacent aquifer. And, casing a well so as only to have it draw water from a deeper aquifer can help protect shallow surface water features.

In Minnesota, there is a prohibition against connecting aquifers, simply stated in their code here:

https://www.revisor.mn.gov/rules/?id=4725.2020

However, while I think this sort of blanket restriction is a good idea, it would be difficult to implement and enforce in Wisconsin at the present time without additional thought and wording because it would be a pretty big change to current well construction practice, and might have unintended consequences.

Instead, a simpler approach. I suggest that "casing depth" be inserted in the bill as follows, in order to give the DNR the specific right and option to consider it if the staff feels it is necessary:

Section 11. 281.34 (5) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

<i>y</i>	10 281.34 (5) (a) <i>Public water supply</i> . If the department determines that a 11proposed high capacity well may impair the water supply of a public utility engaged 12in furnishing water to or for the public, the department may not approve the 13proposed high capacity well unless it is able to include and includes in the approval 14conditions, which may include conditions as to location, depth, pumping capacity, 15rate of flow, casing depth, and ultimate use, that will ensure that the water supply of the public 16utility will not be impaired. 17Section 12. 281.34 (5) (b) 1. of the statutes is amended to read: 18 281.34 (5) (b) 1. Except as provided in subd. 2., if the department determines, 19under the environmental review process in sub. (4), that an environmental impact 20report under s. 23.11 (5) must be prepared for a proposed high capacity well located 21in a groundwater protection area, the department may not approve the proposed 22high capacity well unless it is able to include and includes in the approval conditions, 23which may include conditions as to location, depth, pumping capacity, rate of flow, casing depth,
	24and ultimate use, that ensure that the <u>proposed</u> high capacity well does not cause
	25significant environmental impact to a groundwater protection area.
	Is this what you are looking for?
	Feel free to ask questions of me.
	Thanks
	Ken
	From: Bier, Beth [mailto:Beth.Bier@legis.wisconsin.gov] Sent: Monday, November 04, 2013 12:36 PM To: krbradbu@wisc.edu Subject: well casings
	Hi Ken,
	Sen. Kedzie is going to hold a vote on SB302 on Thursday. Mark would like to offer an amendment addressing your concerns with well casings as a permit condition. Could you let me know what you think that language should look like?
	Thanks,
	Beth
	Beth Bier
	Office of Senator Mark Miller
	PO Box 7882
	Madison, WI 53707

608.266.9170



7

State of Misconsin 2013 - 2014 LEGISLATURE



PRELIMINARY DRAFF - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION SENATE AMENDMENT,

TO SENATE BILL 302

1	At the locations indicated, amend the bin as lonows:
2	1. Page 5, line 15: after "flow," insert "casing depth,".
3	2. Page 5, line 23: after "flow," insert "casing depth,".
4	3. Page 6, line 8: after "flow," insert "casing depth,".
5	4. Page 6, line 14: delete "and rate of flow" and substitute "rate of flow, and
6	casing depth".

(END)