Fiscal Estimate - 2013 Session

\boxtimes	Original		Updated		Corrected	t	☐ Sup	plemental				
LRB	Number	13-4354/1		Introd	duction	Number	SB-6	37				
	Description Eliminating voluntary intoxication as a defense to criminal liability											
Fiscal	Effect											
	No State Fisc Indeterminate Increase E Appropriat Decrease Appropriat	e Existing tions Existing	Revenu Decreas Revenu	se Existing			within age es	y be possible ncy's budget \[\] No				
Local	No Local Gov Indeterminate 1. Increase Permiss 2. Decrease	e Costs sive∭Mandat se Costs	s 3. Increas ory Permiss 4. Decreas ory Permiss	sive∏Maı se Revenu	e ndatory ie	Types of Lo Governmer Towns Countie School District	nt Units Af Villa es Doth WT	age Cities ners				
Fund Sources Affected GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS												
Agen	cy/Prepared	Ву	A	uthorized	Signature			Date				
DOC	DOC/ Robin Walrath (608) 240-5422 Melis			elissa Rob	ssa Roberts (608) 240-5055							

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOC 3/6/2014

LRB Number 13-4354/1	Introduction Number SB-637	Estimate Type	Original						
Description									
Eliminating voluntary intoxication as a defense to criminal liability									

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Under current legislation, if a person is in an intoxicated or drugged condition when they are alleged to have committed a crime, the condition is a defense to criminal liability if: 1) the person was involuntarily intoxicated or drugged and rendered incapable of distinguishing between right and wrong; or 2) the person's condition, whether voluntary or involuntary, made it impossible to have intent to commit the crime. Current criminal recklessness legislation renders voluntary intoxication an ineffective defense to criminal liability in the circumstance that had the actor not been in that condition, they would have been aware of creating an unreasonable and substantial risk of death or great bodily harm. Under proposed legislation, voluntary intoxication is eliminated as a defense.

It is difficult to determine a potential fiscal impact experienced by the Department for the elimination of voluntary intoxication as a defense to criminal liability. The current statutory provision rendering voluntary intoxication an ineffective defense was not utilized to sentence a DOC prisoner or community supervision offender in FY13. Since no additional penalty is provided for voluntary intoxication within the proposed legislation, and the proposed legislation exists as an element of crime, it is not clear to what extent, or whether, this provision would affect the outcomes of criminal proceedings. If the provision did indeed increase felony convictions, the Department's cost would increase.

The average FY13 annual cost for an inmate in a DOC institution is approximately \$32,100. However, when there is excess capacity in DOC facilities, the incremental costs (i.e. food, health care and clothing) of housing a small number of inmates is approximately \$5,400 based on FY13 costs. Should the Department use contract beds, the rate would be approximately \$18,800 annually per person.

State costs could change if an increased number of offenders are convicted as a consequence of this element of crime and placed on probation. The average FY13 annual cost to supervise one offender is approximately \$2,700. County jails could also experience increased costs, as offenders may be sentenced to jail as a condition of probation.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications