## DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

June 7, 2013

Except for one, I have made all of the suggested changes, although not necessarily in the manner or at the locations suggested. Let me know if anything needs to be changed.

I have not added "Wisconsin" to s. 610.60 (4) (d) because the suggested location for it did not make sense and I'm not sure what is wanted. I added "Except as provided in par. (d)" to s. 610.60 (4) (f), but then to reconcile pars. (d) and (f), I took "Except as otherwise provided by law" out of par. (d). The two paragraphs cannot except each other. Is it necessary to make an exception for other law in par. (d)? Is there any law in particular, other than par. (f), that you are aware of that conflicts with par. (d)? If what I have done is not acceptable, please provide more information.

It still seems to me that pars. (d) and (e) under sub. (6) contain redundancies. Subdivisions 2. and 3. under each paragraph are nearly identical. We know when the insurer must provide the information under par. (d) but not under par. (e). It would seem that the information under par. (e) 2. and 3. are provided when that same information is provided under par. (d). I don't know why it is included twice. Perhaps it would help if the draft specified when the information had to be provided under par. (e). Also, is there a difference in sub. (6) between "policy and endorsements" and "policy and endorsement forms"? Use of the two different phrases is confusing, and, if they are different, I'm not sure that they are used properly.

> Pamela J. Kahler Senior Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266–2682 E-mail: pam.kahler@legis.wisconsin.gov