

**2013 DRAFTING REQUEST**

**Senate Amendment (SA-SB338)**

Received: 10/10/2013 Received By: mshovers  
Wanted: As time permits Same as LRB:  
For: Jerry Petrowski (608) 266-2502 By/Representing: Tim  
May Contact: Drafter: mshovers  
Subject: Local Gov't - tax incr financing Addl. Drafters:  
Extra Copies: EVM

Submit via email: YES  
Requester's email: Sen.Petrowski@legis.wisconsin.gov  
Carbon copy (CC) to:

**Pre Topic:**

No specific pre topic given

**Topic:**

If certain town tax incremental districts are annexed, DOR must redetermine the tax incremental base of the TID

**Instructions:**

See attached. Base this provision on s. 60.85 (5) (d) 2.

**Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	mshovers 10/10/2013	jdyer 10/10/2013	jmurphy 10/10/2013	_____			
/1				_____	srose 10/10/2013	srose 10/10/2013	

FE Sent For:

<END>

**2013 DRAFTING REQUEST**

**Senate Amendment (SA-SB338)**

Received: 10/10/2013 Received By: mshovers  
Wanted: As time permits Same as LRB:  
For: Jerry Petrowski (608) 266-2502 By/Representing: Tim  
May Contact: Drafter: mshovers  
Subject: Local Gov't - tax incr financing Addl. Drafters:  
Extra Copies: EVM

Submit via email: YES  
Requester's email: Sen.Petrowski@legis.wisconsin.gov  
Carbon copy (CC) to:

**Pre Topic:**

No specific pre topic given

**Topic:**

✓ If certain town tax incremental districts are annexed, DOR must redetermine the tax incremental base of the TID

**Instructions:**

See attached. Base this provision on s. 60.85 (5) (d) 2.

**Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
1/?	mshovers	10/10 jld	jm				
11 NES		10/10/13	10/10	10/10			

FE Sent For:

<END>



State of Wisconsin  
2013 - 2014 LEGISLATURE

a09611



LRBa0954/1

MES:jld:rs

fmnr

↑  
stays

SENATE AMENDMENT  
TO SENATE BILL 338

today:  
3pm

October 10, 2013 - Offered by Senator PETROWSKI.

\*\*\*AUTHORS SUBJECT TO CHANGE\*\*\*

1 At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:

2 1. Page 3, line 9: after that line insert:

3 "SECTION 3m. 60.23 (32) (c) of the statutes is created to read:

4 60.23 (32) (c) *after January 1 a city or village annexes* If any part of a tax incremental district that is created as provided

5 under par. (b) 2. ~~Annexed by a city or village, any assets or liabilities associated~~  
6 with that annexed territory, including a proportional share of any bonds or other debt  
7 associated with the district, shall become the responsibility of the annexing city or  
8 village."

9 (END)

↓

Section #. 60.85 (5) (d) 2. of the statutes is amended to read:

NO  
#

60.85 (5) (d) 2. If after January 1 a city or village annexes town territory that contains part of a tax incremental district that is created by the town, the department of revenue shall redetermine the tax incremental base of the district by subtracting from the tax incremental base the value of the taxable property that is annexed from the existing district as of the following January 1, and if the annexation becomes effective on January 1 of any year, the redetermination shall be made as of that date. The tax incremental base as redetermined under this ~~subsection~~ <sup>paragraph</sup> is effective for the purposes of this ~~subsection~~ <sup>paragraph and par. (b)</sup> only if it less than the original tax incremental base determined under ~~par. (a)~~ <sup>D. 66.1105 (5) (a)</sup>

~~History: 2003 a. 231, 326, 327; 2005 a. 330; 2009 a. 28, 312; 2011 a. 11, 32.~~

History: y", Subcomponent = yes>History: 2003 a. 231, 326, 327; 2005 a. 330; 2009 a. 28, 312; 2011 a. 11, 32.

History: , Subcomponent = yes>(3) (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), and (j), (4), and (5) (b) had

been strictly complied with based on the date that the resolution described under sub. (3) (h) 2. is adopted.

(END) ✓