## 2013 DRAFTING REQUEST

A Y

Senat	te Amen	ıdmen	t (SA-SB52	27)				
Receiv	red: 2	2/18/2014				Received By:	rnelson	
Wante	d: <b>1</b>	Today				Same as LRB:		
For:	Glenn Grothman (608) 266-7513				By/Representing:	Adam		
May Contact:						Drafter:	rnelson	
Subject: Courts - immunity liability					4	Addl. Drafters:		
						Extra Copies:		
Submit via email:  Requester's email:  Carbon copy (CC) to:  YES  Sen.Grothman@legis.wisconsin.gov								
Pre To				······································		***************************************		
No spe	ecific pre	topic gi	ven					
Topic:								***************************************
sports	shooting	ranges l	aws in effect	at time of esta	ıblishment	:		
Instru	ctions:						<u> </u>	
See att	ached							
Drafti	ng Histo	ry:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Vers.	Drafted		Reviewed	Typed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required
/1	rnelson 2/18/201	14	csicilia 2/18/2014	jfrantze 2/18/2014		srose 2/18/2014	srose 2/18/2014	
FE Ser	nt For:							

<END>

### 2013 DRAFTING REQUEST

Senate Amo	endment (SA-SB527)		
Received:	2/18/2014	Received By:	rnelson
Wanted:	Today	Same as LRB:	
For:	Glenn Grothman (608) 266-7513	By/Representing:	Adam
May Contact:		Drafter:	rnelson
Subject:	Courts - immunity liability	Addl. Drafters:	
		Extra Copies:	
Submit via em Requester's en Carbon copy (	nail: Sen.Grothman@legis.wiscon	sin.gov	
Pre Topic:  No specific pr  Topic:			
	g ranges laws in effect at time of establishmen		
Instructions: See attached			
Drafting Hist	ory:		
Vers. Drafted /1 rnelsor  FE Sent For:		Submitted  Submitted	Jacketed Required  Held to Held Sonate The Flore

Nelson, Robert	
From: Sent: To: Subject:	Sappenfield, Anne Tuesday, February 18, 2014 1:03 PM Nelson, Robert RE: Email for Bob Nelson
Regarding my commer scratch that offer.	nt about putting it somewhere other than sub. (3)—that's not something the author wants, so
Thank you.	
From: Sappenfield, Ar Sent: Tuesday, Februa To: Nelson, Robert Subject: RE: Email fo	ary 18, 2014 12:23 PM
Hi Bob,	
whether the range wa additional language Se	endment you sent. First, the noise provisions should apply as under current law, so no matter s in compliance with zoning laws when it was established. Also, the amendment doesn't have the en. Grothman wanted to exempt a range that is lawful when established from any state statutes or ently enacted and that would affect the range's operation.
	be better placed in a separate subsection or paragraph, we could ask Sen. Grothman about that. I ub. (3) but it's very messy that way.
Thank you, Anne	
From: Nelson, Robert Sent: Tuesday, Februa To: Sappenfield, Anne Subject: RE: Email for	ary 18, 2014 11:49 AM
Anne,	
This was more complication them worse.	cated than it should be. As I always say, the statutes are best if left alone; that is all we do is make
When you get the ame	endment (soon) look it over carefully and let me know if it should be changed
Thanks,	
Bob N	

From: Barman, Mike Sent: Tuesday, February 18, 2014 10:29 AM

To: Nelson, Robert

Subject: FW: Email for Bob Nelson

From: Sappenfield, Anne

Sent: Tuesday, February 18, 2014 10:29 AM

To: Barman, Mike

Subject: Email for Bob Nelson

Hi Bob,

Sen. Grothman would like an amendment to SB 527 for today's floor session. He would like sub. (3) to provide that a shooting range that complies with zoning laws when it opens is not subject to subsequent changes to those zoning laws. Here is my attempt to express his request:

#### 895.527(3)

(3) A person who owns or operates a sport shooting range is not subject to an action for nuisance or to zoning conditions related to noise and no , if the sport shooting range, on the date it was established, was a lawful or legal nonconforming use under any zoning ordinance in effect on that date, continues to be subject to the zoning ordinances in effect on the date the sport shooting range was established. No court may enjoin or restrain the operation or use of a sport shooting range on the basis of noise or on the basis of noncompliance with a zoning ordinance that is enacted after the date a sport shooting range was established if, on the date the sport shooting range was established, was a lawful or legal nonconforming use under any zoning ordinance.

Since I worked on this, Sen. Grothman has asked also to exempt a range that is lawful when established from any state statutes or rules that are subsequently enacted and that would affect the range's operation.

Thank you, and please let me know if you have any questions. Adam is the contact in Sen. Grothman's office.

#### Anne

Anne Sappenfield Principal Attorney WI Legislative Council (608) 267-9485



# State of Misconsin 2013 - 2014 LEGISLATURE



## SENATE AMENDMENT, TO SENATE BILL 527

Mhist

1

At the locations indicated, amend the bill as follows:

or to state or local zoning conditions related to noise and no. If a sport shooting range, on the date it was established, was a lawful or legal nonconforming use under any state law or local ordinance related to its peration that was in effect on that date, the sport shooting range continues to be subject to the state laws and local ordinances related to its peration that was established. No court may enjoin or restrain the operation or use of a sport shooting range on the basis of noise or on the basis on noncompliance with a state law or local ordinance related to its operation/that was enacted after the date that the sport shooting range was established if the sport shooting range, on the date it was established, was a lawful

or legal nonconforming use under any state law or local ordinance related to its operation that was in effect on that date.".

(END)