## Fiscal Estimate - 2013 Session

$\boxtimes$	Original		Updated		Corrected		Suppl	emental	
LRB	Number	13-3864/1		Introd	duction N	lumber	AB-06	56	
Description Notifications concerning the assertion of rights under a patent or pending patent and providing a penalty									
Fiscal	Fiscal Effect								
	No State Fiscandeterminate Increase E Appropriat Decrease Appropriat Create Ne	ixisting ions Existing	Reven Decrea Reven	ase Existing		Increase Co to absorb w \BYe Decrease C	rithin agenc es		
	Indeterminate  Increase Permiss  Decrease	e Costs ive Mandato	3. Increas ory Permis 4. Decrea	se Revenue sive  Mar ase Revenu sive  Mar	ndatory e	Types of Loc Government Towns Counties School Districts	t Units Affeo Villag s Other	e 🔲 Cities s S	
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations									
GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS 20.115 (1) (a)									
Agenc	y/Prepared E	Зу	A	uthorized	Signature			Date	
DATCP/ Kevin LeRoy (608) 224-4928 Jason				ason Gherk	son Gherke (608) 224-4748 2/3/2014				

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives DATCP 2/3/2014

LRB Number 13-3864/1	Introduction Number	AB-0656	Estimate Type	Original			
Description							
Notifications concerning the assertion of rights under a patent or pending patent and providing a penalty							

## **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

This bill would place certain restrictions on the ability of patent holders to enforce those patents. Under this bill, generally, when a patent holder notifies a "target" about a patent (or pending patent), the patent holder must provide specific information relating to the patent. Further, this bill would prohibit patent holders from using false, misleading, or deceptive information in their patent notifications.

DATCP anticipates that this proposal would necessitate allocating some employee time to patent notification inquiries and investigations. DATCP would rearrange existing priorities as necessary to perform this additional work.

DATCP estimates that the net annual cost of this proposed law would be about \$5,300 per year. We estimate that the gross annual cost would be about \$10,600, but some portion of this would be offset.

To arrive at the gross cost estimate of \$10,600, we assume one investigation per year, and that investigation would consist of a total of 255 employee hours at a weighted average hourly cost of \$41.61 per employee hour. The estimate for the number of employee hours is based on an assumption that any investigation under this proposed law would be somewhat complicated and resource intensive. DATCP would need to establish whether the factual allegations and analysis set forth by the patent holder [pursuant to s. 100.197 (2) (a) 5, stats., as created by this bill] contained any false, misleading or deceptive information [pursuant to s. 100.197 (2) (b), stats., as created by this bill]. The 255 hour estimate includes 200 hours for auditors / investigators, 40 hours for managers, and 15 hours for attorneys. The rate of \$41.61 per hour is an approximation based on the weighted average employee costs per hour for the employees likely to be assigned to patent notification cases. It includes salary & fringe, plus supplies & services expenses of \$7.36 per employee hour. For simplicity, this calculation is rounded to the nearest hundred.

To arrive at the net cost estimate of \$5,300, we consider DATCP's ability to recover investigative costs pursuant to s. 100.263, stats., which provides that, in addition to other remedies, courts may award reasonable costs of investigation. For purposes of this estimate, we assume that the probability of DATCP recovering investigative costs is about 50%. Therefore, we multiplied the estimated gross fiscal impact by 50% to arrive at a net estimated fiscal impact of \$5,300.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2013 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

	Original Updated			Corrected		Supplemental		
L	RB Number <b>13-3864/1</b>		Intro	duction Num	ber	AB-0656		
Ν	escription otifications concerning the assertion of rig enalty	jhts ur	ider a pa	tent or pending p	atent an	id providing a		
	One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts fonnualized fiscal effect):	or Stat	e and/or	Local Governm	ent (do	not include in		
II. Annualized Costs:			Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:					
L				Increased Costs		Decreased Costs		
Δ	. State Costs by Category	<del></del>			<del>,</del>			
L	State Operations - Salaries and Fringes			\$8,700	<u> </u>	\$		
L	(FTE Position Changes)							
L	State Operations - Other Costs			1,900				
L	Local Assistance							
L	Aids to Individuals or Organizations							
L	TOTAL State Costs by Category			\$10,600		\$		
E	S. State Costs by Source of Funds				T			
L	GPR			10,600				
L	FED							
L	PRO/PRS							
	SEG/SEG-S							
II r	<ul> <li>State Revenues - Complete this only evenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in</li> </ul>	when licen	proposa se fee, e	il will increase o	r decre	ase state		
				Increased Rev		Decreased Rev		
L	GPR Taxes			\$		\$		
L	GPR Earned			5,300				
L	FED							
L	PRO/PRS							
L	SEG/SEG-S							
L	TOTAL State Revenues			\$5,300		\$		
L	NET ANN	UALIZ	ED FISC	AL IMPACT	1			
L				State	<del></del>	Local		
NET CHANGE IN COSTS				\$10,600	<del> </del>	\$		
F	NET CHANGE IN REVENUE			\$5,300	<u> </u>	\$		
1	Agency/Prepared By	Δ.	thorized	Signature		Date		
ı			•	10				
10	DATCP/ Kevin LeRoy (608) 224-4928	son Gher	ke (608) 224-474	ŀβ	2/3/2014			