Fiscal Estimate - 2015 Session

☑ Original	Updated	Corrected		Supplem	ental			
LRB Number 15-1	1396/1	Introduction	Number	SB-181				
Description Competency determination	n hearings and comm	itment						
Fiscal Effect								
State: No State Fiscal Effect Indeterminate Increase Existing Appropriations Decrease Existin Appropriations Create New Appropriations	I Incre Reve g Decr Reve	ease Existing enues ease Existing enues	Increase Co to absorb w Ye: Decrease C	ithin agency s				
Local: No Local Governme Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive 2. Decrease Costs Permissive	s 3. ☐Incre Mandatory ☐Pern ts 4. ☐Decr	ease Revenue	5.Types of Loc Government Affected Towns Counties School Districts	Units Units	,			
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations								
GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS								
Agency/Prepared By		Authorized Signature	9		Date			
DA/ Phil Werner (608) 267-2700 Jam		James Langdon (608)	mes Langdon (608) 267-1001					

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DA 6/3/2015

LRB Number 15-1396/1	Introduction Number	SB-181	Estimate Type	Original			
Description							
Competency determination hearings and commitment							

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Under this bill, if a court at a competency hearing determines the defendant is not competent but is likely to become competent, and was charged with a serious felony or child sex offense, the court must commit the defendant for treatment until s/he becomes competent or for the duration of the maximum sentence for the most serious offense with which s/he is charged, whichever is shorter.

Prosecutors provided differing points of view regarding the potential fiscal impact of this bill. Some believe that it will have no fiscal effect or could even reduce the amount of time prosecutors must expend on competency hearings. Specifically, they indicated that there could be a reduction in the number of competency challenges by defense lawyers; and, if their clients face more time incarcerated if found incompetent than they might if found guilty, there may be a reluctance to challenge competency in some cases. In addition, prosecutors think this bill might reduce the delay and expense associated with current competency evaluations. Other prosecutors, however, believe the State would be required to review and appear in court at competency review hearings under the commitment laws, which would increase prosecutors' workloads since the length of the commitment proceedings has been significantly increased.

Due to a lack of a consensus among prosecutors on this issue, a fiscal impact is indeterminate.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

For the reasons discussed above, a long-term fiscal estimate is indeterminate.