Fiscal Estimate - 2015 Session

☑ Original ☐ Updated	Corrected	Supplemental		
LRB Number 15-4058/1	Introduction Numbe	er SB-525		
Description Industry cluster research and development tax cre	edit			
Fiscal Effect				
Appropriations Reven	ase Existing to absorues	e Costs - May be possible rb within agency's budget Yes No se Costs		
Permissive Mandatory Permis 2. Decrease Costs 4. Decrease	Affected Sive Mandatory	nent Units ns		
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS				
Agency/Prepared By	uthorized Signature	Date		
DOR/ Michael Oakleaf (608) 261-5173	1ichael Wagner (608) 266-6785	1/13/2016		

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 1/13/2016

LRB Number 15-4058/1	Introduction Number	SB-525	Estimate Type	Original
Description				
Industry cluster research and development tax credit				at .

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Under current law, a taxpayer may claim a research credit that is equal to 5.75% of the amount by which the claimant's qualified research expenses for the taxable year exceed 50 percent of the average qualified research expenses for the 3 taxable years immediately preceding the taxable year for which the claimant claims the credit. If the claimant had no qualified research expenses in any of the 3 taxable years immediately preceding the taxable year for which the claimant claims the credit, the claimant may claim an amount equal to 2.875 percent of the qualified research expenses for the taxable year for which the credit is claimed.

The bill creates an additional income and franchise tax credit that would be equal to 25% of a taxpayer's qualified research expenses incurred in the taxable year for research conducted in this state, if the research is approved by an industry cluster partnership. Claimants would be able to claim both the existing research credit and the industry cluster research credit under the bill for the same expenditures if meeting the respective qualifying criteria.

An industry cluster partnership is defined in the bill as a nonprofit organization that primarily assists in developing and supporting a regional concentration of private sector businesses that share a common interest in fostering industry–specific research and development, worker skills training, and market development. The partnership must collaborate with institutions of higher education in this state, must be governed by a board of directors with members from the public and private sectors, and must be a participant in the National Science Foundation's (NSF) Industry and University Cooperative Research Center Program.

Fiscal Estimate

There are currently two centers in the state that participate in the NSF's Industry and University Cooperative Research Center Program: the Water and Equipment Policy Center in Milwaukee; and the Power Systems Engineering Research Center at UW-Madison. Based on a review of claims of the current law research credit for companies that participate in the programs of the two centers, the bill would result in a reduction in income and franchise tax revenue of an estimated \$1.2 million in FY 2016, \$4.9 million in FY 2017, \$5.2 million in FY 2018, and \$5.4 million in FY 2019.

The fiscal effect could be greater to the extent that claimants increase their research expenses or more taxpayers conduct eligible research in Wisconsin. The fiscal effect could be lower to the extent that other provisions in current law, including the existing research credit and the manufacturing and agriculture credit, reduce a claimant's available liability to be offset by the credit under the bill.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2015 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original Updated	Corrected	Supplemental				
LRB Number 15-4058/1	Introduction Num	ber SB-525				
Description Industry cluster research and development tax credit						
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in						
annualized fiscal effect):						
II. Annualized Costs:	I. Annualized Costs: Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds fr					
II. Allifualized Costs.	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs				
A. State Costs by Category	moreacea eccle	Decireaced Coole				
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$	\$				
(FTE Position Changes)	· ·					
State Operations - Other Costs						
Local Assistance	(Carlotte Carlotte Ca					
Aids to Individuals or Organizations						
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$				
B. State Costs by Source of Funds	·					
GPR						
FED						
PRO/PRS	·					
SEG/SEG-S						
III. State Revenues - Complete this only wh		or decrease state				
revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in lic	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	D 1 D				
GPR Taxes	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev				
GPR Faxes	\$	\$-5,400,000				
FED						
PRO/PRS						
SEG/SEG-S						
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$-5,400,000				
NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT						
State Loca						
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$	\$				
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$-5,400,000	\$				
Agency/Prepared By Authorized Signature Date						
DOR/ Michael Oakleaf (608) 261-5173	Michael Wagner (608) 266-6785 1/13/2016					