Fiscal Estimate - 2015 Session

☑ Original ☐ Up	pdated	orrected	Suppleme	ntal
LRB Number 15-4182/1	Introd	uction Number	SB-572	
Description Drainage districts and regulating the re	emoval of material from certain	drainage ditches		
Fiscal Effect				
State: No State Fiscal Effect Indeterminate Increase Existing Appropriations Decrease Existing Appropriations Create New Appropriations	Increase Existing Revenues Decrease Existing Revenues	Increase Costs - within agency's to Yes Decrease Costs		to absorb
Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs 3. Permissive Mandatory 2. Decrease Costs 4. Permissive Mandatory	B. Increase Revenue Permissive Mandatory B. Decrease Revenue Permissive Mandatory	5.Types of Local Go Affected Towns Counties School Distric	☐Village ☐Others	Cities
Fund Sources Affected ☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐	PRS SEG SEG	Affected Ch. 20 Ass 20.370 (4)(bi)	Appropriations	
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Sig	gnature		Date
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	Joe Polasek (6	08) 266-2794		1/26/2016

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 1/26/2016

LRB Number	15-4182/1	Introduction Number	SB-572	Estimate Type	Original	
Description						
Drainage districts and regulating the removal of material from certain drainage ditches						

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill creates an exemption from this prohibition for the removal of material from the bed of a drainage ditch operated by a drainage district. In order for the exemption to apply, certain conditions must be met including that the removal is for the purpose of maintaining the ditch, the material is not removed from an area listed by DNR in a database identifying contaminated properties, and the drainage district takes certain action to clean its equipment in order to prevent the spread of invasive species or viruses from one navigable water to another. Under current law, a person may not discharge dredged material into a wetland unless the discharge is authorized by a wetland general permit or an individual permit issued by DNR or the discharge is exempt from the permit requirement. Among the activities that are generally exempt from the permit requirement are normal farming, irrigation ditch construction, and drainage ditch maintenance. Current law provides that even if these activities are exempt, a permit must still be obtained if the discharge is incidental to an activity to bring a wetland into a use for which it was not previously subject and if the discharge affects the wetland in certain other ways. This bill specifies that in order for the permit exemption to be inapplicable, the activity must be one that brings a wetland into a land use for which it was not previously subject.

Current law also requires a drainage district board to obtain a permit to conduct certain activities affecting a drainage district drain including repairing, enlarging, clearing, or maintaining the drain (drain maintenance permit). This bill exempts a drainage district board from the requirement to obtain a drain maintenance permit to remove material from the bed of a drainage district drain if the board is also exempt from the requirement to obtain a permit from DNR to remove the material.

Fiscal Impact--DNR

A. Expenditures

The bill is expected to result in increased one-time workload related to updating program procedures and databases, revising application and exemption materials, legal review of documents, training staff, and conducting customer outreach. Implementation is estimated to require 0.27 FTE of time at one-time expenditure of \$22,300.

B. Revenues

Establishing an exemption for removal of material from the bed of a ditch if done by a drainage district will result in an estimated annual decrease in individual permit (IP) fees of \$10,553/year and general permit (GP) fees of \$379/year, for a total reduction of \$10,900/year. This estimate is based on permitting activity from 2012-2015, where there was an average of 17.5 IP permits/year for dredging that could potentially be exempt and an average of 1.25 GP permits/year that could potentially be exempt. Fees for IPs are \$603/activity and for GPs \$303/activity).

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2015 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

☑ Original ☐ Updated	Corrected	Supplemental				
LRB Number 15-4182/1	Introduction Numbe	r SB-572				
Description Drainage districts and regulating the removal of material from certain drainage ditches						
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):						
Increased one-time expenditures of \$22,300 related to updating program procedures and databases, revising application and exemption materials, legal review of documents, training staff, and conducting customer outreach.						
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized F	iscal Impact on funds from:				
	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs				
A. State Costs by Category						
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$	\$				
(FTE Position Changes)						
State Operations - Other Costs						
Local Assistance						
Aids to Individuals or Organizations	·					
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$. \$				
B. State Costs by Source of Funds						
GPR						
FED						
PRO/PRS						
SEG/SEG-S						
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, ets.)						
	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev				
GPR Taxes	\$	\$				
GPR Earned						
FED ·						
PRO/PRS		-10,900				
SEG/SEG-S						
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$-10,900				
NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT						
	<u>State</u>	Local				
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$	\$				
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$-10,900	\$				
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date				
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	1/26/2016				