



State of Wisconsin
2015 - 2016 LEGISLATURE

LRB-0752/1
MED:eev

2015 SENATE BILL 598

January 22, 2016 – Introduced by Senators VINEHOUT, SHILLING, RINGHAND and LASSA, cosponsored by Representatives DANOU, BERCEAU, BOWEN, CONSIDINE, DOYLE, HINTZ, JORGENSEN, KOLSTE, SPREITZER, SUBECK and WACHS. Referred to Committee on Sporting Heritage, Mining, and Forestry.

1 **AN ACT** *to renumber* 227.137 (5), 227.137 (6) (a), 227.137 (6) (b), 227.137 (6) (c)
2 and 227.137 (6) (d); *to renumber and amend* 227.137 (6) (intro.) and 227.137
3 (7); *to amend* 227.135 (2), 227.135 (3), 227.137 (2), 227.137 (3) (intro.), 227.137
4 (4), 227.14 (2) (a) 6., 227.14 (4m), 227.15 (1), 227.15 (1m) (bm), 227.17 (3) (em),
5 227.185, 227.19 (2), 227.19 (3) (intro.), 227.24 (1) (e) 1d. and 227.24 (1) (e) 1g.;
6 and *to create* 227.135 (2m), 227.135 (5), 227.137 (2m), 227.137 (3m), 227.137
7 (4m) and 227.137 (6) (cm) of the statutes; **relating to:** elimination of the
8 requirement that the governor approve a proposed rule that is considered at the
9 joint annual spring fish and wildlife rule hearing of the Department of Natural
10 Resources and county meeting of the Wisconsin Conservation Congress and
11 limitation of the scope of the requirements that an economic impact analysis
12 and statement of scope be prepared for such a proposed rule.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

This bill makes various changes to the rule-making process with respect to proposed administrative rules considered at the joint annual spring fish and wildlife

SENATE BILL 598

rule hearing of the Department of Natural Resources and county meeting of the Wisconsin Conservation Congress (spring DNR–WCC meeting).

Gubernatorial approval and statements of scope for proposed rules

Current law requires a statement of scope of a proposed rule to be approved by the governor and the individual or body that has policy-making powers for a state agency before a state employee or official may perform any activity in connection with the drafting of the proposed rule. Under this bill, for rules that are considered at the spring DNR–WCC meeting, only the Natural Resources Board (board) is required to approve a statement of scope before those activities may be performed.

Under current law, a state agency must prepare and obtain approval of a revised statement of scope if, after a statement of scope is approved, the agency changes the scope of the proposed rule in any meaningful or measurable way. Under the bill, this requirement does not apply to rules that are considered at the spring DNR–WCC meeting.

Under current law, a state agency must prepare and obtain approval of a statement of scope for a proposed emergency rule in the same manner as a statement of scope is prepared and approved for a nonemergency rule. Under the bill, a statement of scope is not required for emergency rules considered at the spring DNR–WCC meeting.

Current law requires a state agency to submit a proposed rule in final draft form to the governor for approval before the rule may be submitted to the legislature for review and to submit a proposed emergency rule in final draft form to the governor for approval before the emergency rule may be filed with the Legislative Reference Bureau for publication. The bill eliminates these requirements for gubernatorial approval for rules that are considered at the spring DNR–WCC meeting.

Finally, the bill permits automatic approval of a statement of scope for rules that are considered at the spring DNR–WCC meeting if the board does not disapprove the statement of scope within 30 days after it is presented to the board, or by the eleventh day after its publication in the Wisconsin Administrative Register, whichever is later.

Economic impact analyses for proposed rules

When report must be prepared. Current law requires each state agency to prepare an economic impact analysis for all rules proposed by the agency. It also requires the Department of Administration to issue a report on a proposed rule, and the secretary of administration (secretary) to approve a proposed rule, if the economic impact analysis indicates that a total of \$20,000,000 or more in implementation and compliance costs are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to businesses, local governmental units, and individuals as a result of the proposed rule. In addition, current law requires a state agency to prepare a revised economic impact analysis if a proposed rule is modified after the original economic impact analysis is submitted so as to significantly change the economic impact of the proposed rule.

Under this bill, for rules that are considered at the spring DNR–WCC meeting, an economic impact analysis is required only if the secretary directs the analysis to be prepared on the petition of a municipality; an association that represents a farm,

SENATE BILL 598

labor, business, or professional group; or five or more persons who would be affected by the proposed rule. The bill requires the secretary to direct the preparation of such an analysis if 1) the proposed rule would cost affected persons \$20,000,000 or more during each of the first five years after the rule's implementation to comply with the rule; or 2) the rule would adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or state, local, or tribal governments or communities.

Content of analysis. Current law requires certain information to be included in an economic impact analysis, including all of the following:

1. An analysis of the economic impact of the proposed rule, including information on the economic effect on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility ratepayers, local governmental units, and the state's economy as a whole.

2. An analysis of alternatives to the proposed rule, including the alternative of not promulgating the rule.

3. A determination made in consultation with the businesses, local governmental units, and individuals potentially affected by the proposed rule as to whether the proposed rule would adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, jobs, or the overall economic competitiveness of this state.

4. Comparisons with the approaches used by the federal government and by Illinois, Iowa, Michigan, and Minnesota to address the policy problem that the proposed rule is intending to address and, if the approach chosen by the agency to address that policy problem is different from those approaches, a statement as to why the agency chose a different approach.

5. An assessment of how effective the proposed rule will be in addressing the policy problem that the rule is intended to address.

This bill eliminates the requirements that this information be included in an economic impact analysis for rules that are considered at the spring DNR-WCC meeting. Under this bill, an economic impact analysis that is required for rules that are considered at the spring DNR-WCC meeting must instead contain information on the effect of the proposed rule on specific businesses, business sectors, and the state's economy and must include all of the following: 1) an analysis and quantification of the problem, including any risks to public health or the environment, that the rule is intending to address; 2) an analysis and quantification of the economic impact of the rule, including costs reasonably expected to be incurred by the state, businesses, governmental units, and affected individuals; and 3) an analysis of benefits of the rule, including how the rule reduces the risks and addresses the problems that the rule is intended to address.

For further information see the ***state*** fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SENATE BILL 598**SECTION 1**

1 **SECTION 1.** 227.135 (2) of the statutes is amended to read:

2 227.135 (2) ~~An~~ Except as provided in sub. (2m), an agency that has prepared
3 a statement of the scope of the proposed rule shall present the statement to the
4 governor and to the individual or body with policy-making powers over the subject
5 matter of the proposed rule for approval. The agency may not send the statement
6 to the legislative reference bureau for publication under sub. (3) until the governor
7 issues a written notice of approval of the statement. The individual or body with
8 policy-making powers may not approve the statement until at least 10 days after
9 publication of the statement under sub. (3). No state employee or official may
10 perform any activity in connection with the drafting of a proposed rule to which this
11 subsection applies except for an activity necessary to prepare the statement of the
12 scope of the proposed rule until the governor and the individual or body with
13 policy-making powers over the subject matter of the proposed rule approve the
14 statement.

15 **SECTION 2.** 227.135 (2m) of the statutes is created to read:

16 227.135 (2m) If the department of natural resources prepares a statement of
17 the scope of a proposed rule that is considered at the joint annual spring fish and
18 wildlife rule hearing of the department of natural resources and county meeting of
19 the Wisconsin conservation congress, that department shall present the statement
20 to the natural resources board for approval. The natural resources board may not
21 approve the statement until at least 10 days after publication of the statement under
22 sub. (3). If the natural resources board does not disapprove the statement within 30
23 days after the statement is presented to that board or by the 11th day after
24 publication of the statement in the register, whichever is later, the statement is
25 considered to be approved. No state employee or official may perform any activity

SENATE BILL 598

1 in connection with the drafting of a proposed rule to which this subsection applies
2 except for an activity necessary to prepare the statement of the scope of the proposed
3 rule until the natural resources board approves the statement.

4 **SECTION 3.** 227.135 (3) of the statutes is amended to read:

5 227.135 (3) ~~If the governor approves a statement of the scope of a proposed rule~~
6 ~~under Subject to sub. (2), the agency shall send an electronic copy of the a statement~~
7 ~~of the scope of a proposed rule to the legislative reference bureau, in a format~~
8 ~~approved by the legislative reference bureau, for publication in the register. On the~~
9 ~~same day that the agency sends the statement to the legislative reference bureau,~~
10 ~~the agency shall send a copy of the statement to the secretary of administration. The~~
11 ~~agency shall include with any statement of scope sent to the legislative reference~~
12 ~~bureau the date of the governor's approval of the statement of scope, if gubernatorial~~
13 ~~approval of the statement of scope is required. The legislative reference bureau shall~~
14 ~~assign a discrete identifying number to each statement of scope and shall include~~
15 ~~that number and, if applicable, the date of the governor's approval in the publication~~
16 ~~of the statement of scope in the register.~~

17 **SECTION 4.** 227.135 (5) of the statutes is created to read:

18 227.135 (5) This section does not apply to emergency rules that are considered
19 at the joint annual spring fish and wildlife rule hearing of the department of natural
20 resources and county meeting of the Wisconsin conservation congress.

21 **SECTION 5.** 227.137 (2) of the statutes is amended to read:

22 227.137 (2) ~~An Except as provided in sub. (2m), an agency shall prepare an~~
23 ~~economic impact analysis for a proposed rule before submitting the proposed rule to~~
24 ~~the legislative council staff under s. 227.15.~~

25 **SECTION 6.** 227.137 (2m) of the statutes is created to read:

SENATE BILL 598**SECTION 6**

1 227.137 **(2m)** After the legislative reference bureau publishes under s. 227.135
2 (3) a statement of the scope of a proposed rule that is considered at the joint annual
3 spring fish and wildlife rule hearing of the department of natural resources and
4 county meeting of the Wisconsin conservation congress, and before that department
5 submits the notice of the proposed rule to the legislature for review under s. 227.19
6 (2), a municipality, an association that represents a farm, labor, business, or
7 professional group, or 5 or more persons who would be directly and uniquely affected
8 by the proposed rule may submit a petition to the department of administration
9 asking the secretary of administration to direct the department of natural resources
10 to prepare an economic impact analysis for the proposed rule. If the secretary of
11 administration directs the department of natural resources to prepare the economic
12 impact analysis, that department shall prepare the economic impact analysis before
13 submitting the notice of the proposed rule to the legislature for review under s.
14 227.19 (2). The secretary of administration shall direct the department of natural
15 resources to prepare an economic impact analysis for the proposed rule before
16 submitting the notice of the proposed rule to the legislature for review under s.
17 227.19 (2) if the secretary determines that all of the following apply:

18 (a) The petition was submitted to the department of administration no later
19 than 90 days after publication of the statement of the scope of the proposed rule
20 under s. 227.135 (3) or no later than 10 days after publication of the notice for a public
21 hearing under s. 227.17, whichever is later.

22 (b) The proposed rule would cost affected persons \$20,000,000 or more during
23 each of the first 5 years after the rule's implementation to comply with the rule or the
24 proposed rule would adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the

SENATE BILL 598

1 economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or
2 state, local, or tribal governments or communities.

3 **SECTION 7.** 227.137 (3) (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:

4 227.137 (3) (intro.) An economic impact analysis of a proposed rule prepared
5 under sub. (2) shall contain information on the economic effect of the proposed rule
6 on specific businesses, business sectors, public utility ratepayers, local
7 governmental units, and the state's economy as a whole. When preparing the
8 analysis, the agency shall solicit information and advice from businesses,
9 associations representing businesses, local governmental units, and individuals that
10 may be affected by the proposed rule. The agency shall prepare the economic impact
11 analysis in coordination with local governmental units that may be affected by the
12 proposed rule. The agency may request information that is reasonably necessary for
13 the preparation of an economic impact analysis from other businesses, associations,
14 local governmental units, and individuals and from other agencies. The economic
15 impact analysis shall include all of the following:

16 **SECTION 8.** 227.137 (3m) of the statutes is created to read:

17 227.137 (3m) An economic impact analysis of a proposed rule prepared under
18 sub. (2m) shall contain information on the effect of the proposed rule on specific
19 businesses, business sectors, and the state's economy. When preparing the analysis,
20 the department of natural resources shall solicit information and advice from the
21 Wisconsin Economic Development Corporation and from businesses, associations,
22 governmental units, and individuals that may be affected by the proposed rule. The
23 department of natural resources may request information that is reasonably
24 necessary for the preparation of the economic impact analysis from other state

SENATE BILL 598**SECTION 8**

1 agencies and from businesses, associations, governmental units, and individuals.

2 The economic impact analysis shall include all of the following:

3 (a) An analysis and quantification of the problem, including any risks to public
4 health or the environment, that the proposed rule is intending to address.

5 (b) An analysis and quantification of the economic impact of the proposed rule,
6 including the costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by the state,
7 businesses, governmental units, and affected individuals.

8 (c) An analysis of the benefits of the proposed rule, including how the rule
9 reduces the risks and addresses the problems that the rule is intended to address.

10 **SECTION 9.** 227.137 (4) of the statutes is amended to read:

11 227.137 (4) On the same day that the agency submits ~~the~~ an economic impact
12 analysis prepared under sub. (2) to the legislative council staff under s. 227.15 (1),
13 the agency shall also submit that analysis to the department of administration, to
14 the governor, and to the chief clerks of each house of the legislature, who shall
15 distribute the analysis to the presiding officers of their respective houses, to the
16 chairpersons of the appropriate standing committees of their respective houses, as
17 designated by those presiding officers, and to the cochairpersons of the joint
18 committee for review of administrative rules. If a proposed rule is modified after the
19 economic impact analysis is submitted under this subsection so that the economic
20 impact of the proposed rule is significantly changed, the agency shall prepare a
21 revised economic impact analysis for the proposed rule as modified. A revised
22 economic impact analysis shall be prepared and submitted in the same manner as
23 an original economic impact analysis is prepared and submitted.

24 **SECTION 10.** 227.137 (4m) of the statutes is created to read:

SENATE BILL 598**SECTION 10**

1 227.137 (4m) The department of natural resources shall submit an economic
2 impact analysis prepared under sub. (2m) to the legislative council staff under s.
3 227.15 (1), to the department of administration, and to the petitioner.

4 **SECTION 11.** 227.137 (5) of the statutes is renumbered 227.137 (8).

5 **SECTION 12.** 227.137 (6) (intro.) of the statutes is renumbered 227.137 (6) (am)
6 (intro.) and amended to read:

7 227.137 (6) (am) (intro.) If an economic impact analysis regarding a proposed
8 rule prepared under sub. (2) indicates that a total of \$20,000,000 or more in
9 implementation and compliance costs are reasonably expected to be incurred by or
10 passed along to businesses, local governmental units, and individuals as a result of
11 the proposed rule or if an economic impact analysis is prepared under sub. (2m) for
12 a proposed rule that is considered at the joint annual spring fish and wildlife rule
13 hearing of the department of natural resources and county meeting of the Wisconsin
14 conservation congress, the department of administration shall review the proposed
15 rule and issue a report. The agency may not submit a proposed rule to the legislature
16 for review under s. 227.19 (2) until the agency receives a copy of the department's
17 report and the approval of the secretary of administration. The report shall include
18 all of the following findings:

19 **SECTION 13.** 227.137 (6) (a) of the statutes is renumbered 227.137 (6) (am) 1.

20 **SECTION 14.** 227.137 (6) (b) of the statutes is renumbered 227.137 (6) (am) 2.

21 **SECTION 15.** 227.137 (6) (c) of the statutes is renumbered 227.137 (6) (am) 3.

22 **SECTION 16.** 227.137 (6) (cm) of the statutes is created to read:

23 227.137 (6) (cm) No person is entitled to judicial review of any action taken by
24 the department of administration under this subsection with respect to an economic
25 impact analysis prepared under sub. (2m) for a proposed rule that is considered at

SENATE BILL 598**SECTION 16**

1 the joint annual spring fish and wildlife rule hearing of the department of natural
2 resources and county meeting of the Wisconsin conservation congress.

3 **SECTION 17.** 227.137 (6) (d) of the statutes is renumbered 227.137 (6) (am) 4.

4 **SECTION 18.** 227.137 (7) of the statutes is renumbered 227.137 (6) (bm) and
5 amended to read:

6 227.137 (6) (bm) Before issuing a report under sub. ~~(6)~~ par. (am), the
7 department of administration may return a proposed rule to the agency for further
8 consideration and revision with a written explanation of why the proposed rule is
9 being returned. If the agency head disagrees with the department's reasons for
10 returning the proposed rule, the agency head shall so notify the department in
11 writing. The secretary of administration shall approve the proposed rule when the
12 agency has adequately addressed the issues raised during the department's review
13 of the rule.

14 **SECTION 19.** 227.14 (2) (a) 6. of the statutes is amended to read:

15 227.14 (2) (a) 6. Any analysis and supporting documentation that the agency
16 used in support of the agency's determination of the rule's effect on small businesses
17 under s. 227.114 or that was used when the agency prepared an economic impact
18 analysis under s. 227.137 (3) (2) or (2m).

19 **SECTION 20.** 227.14 (4m) of the statutes is amended to read:

20 227.14 (4m) NOTICE OF SUBMITTAL TO LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL STAFF. On the same
21 day that an agency submits a proposed rule to the legislative council staff under s.
22 227.15, the agency shall prepare a written notice of the agency's submittal to the
23 legislative council staff. The notice shall include a statement of the date on which
24 the proposed rule has been submitted to the legislative council staff for review, of the
25 subject matter of the proposed rule and of whether a public hearing on the proposed

SENATE BILL 598

1 rule is required, and shall identify the organizational unit within the agency that is
2 primarily responsible for the promulgation of the rule. The notice shall also include
3 a statement containing the identifying number of the statement of scope for the
4 proposed rule assigned under s. 227.135 (3), the date of publication and issue number
5 of the register in which the statement of scope is published, and the date of approval
6 of the statement of scope by the individual or body with policy-making powers over
7 the subject matter of the proposed rule under s. 227.135 (2) or (2m). The notice shall
8 be approved by the individual or body with policy-making powers over the subject
9 matter of the proposed rule. The agency shall send an electronic copy of the notice
10 to the legislative reference bureau, in a format approved by the legislative reference
11 bureau, for publication in the register. On the same day that the agency sends the
12 notice to the legislative reference bureau, the agency shall send a copy of the notice
13 to the secretary of administration.

14 **SECTION 21.** 227.15 (1) of the statutes is amended to read:

15 227.15 (1) SUBMITTAL TO LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL STAFF. Prior to a public hearing
16 on a proposed rule or, if no public hearing is required, prior to notice under s. 227.19,
17 an agency shall submit the proposed rule to the legislative council staff for review.
18 The proposed rule shall be in the form required under s. 227.14 (1), and shall include
19 the material required under s. 227.14 (2), (3), and (4), ~~the~~ any economic impact
20 analysis required under s. 227.137 (2) or (2m), and any revised economic impact
21 analysis required under s. 227.137 (4). An agency may not hold a public hearing on
22 a proposed rule or give notice under s. 227.19 until after it has received a written
23 report of the legislative council staff review of the proposed rule or until after the
24 initial review period of 20 working days under sub. (2) (intro.), whichever comes first.

SENATE BILL 598**SECTION 21**

1 An agency may give notice of a public hearing prior to receipt of the legislative council
2 staff report. This subsection does not apply to rules promulgated under s. 227.24.

3 **SECTION 22.** 227.15 (1m) (bm) of the statutes is amended to read:

4 227.15 (1m) (bm) ~~The Any~~ economic impact analysis required under s. 227.137
5 (2) or (2m) and any revised economic impact analysis required under s. 227.137 (4).

6 **SECTION 23.** 227.17 (3) (em) of the statutes is amended to read:

7 227.17 (3) (em) Any report prepared by the department of administration
8 under s. 227.137 (6) (am).

9 **SECTION 24.** 227.185 of the statutes is amended to read:

10 **227.185 Approval by governor.** ~~After Except as provided in this section,~~
11 after a proposed rule is in final draft form, the agency shall submit the proposed rule
12 to the governor for approval. The governor, in his or her discretion, may approve or
13 reject the proposed rule. If the governor approves a proposed rule, the governor shall
14 provide the agency with a written notice of that approval. No proposed rule may be
15 submitted to the legislature for review under s. 227.19 (2) unless the governor has
16 approved the proposed rule in writing. This section does not apply to a proposed rule
17 that is considered at the joint annual spring fish and wildlife rule hearing of the
18 department of natural resources and county meeting of the Wisconsin conservation
19 congress.

20 **SECTION 25.** 227.19 (2) of the statutes is amended to read:

21 227.19 (2) NOTIFICATION OF LEGISLATURE. An agency shall submit a notice to the
22 chief clerk of each house of the legislature when a proposed rule is in final draft form.
23 The notice shall be submitted in triplicate and shall be accompanied by a report in
24 the form specified under sub. (3). A notice received under this subsection after the
25 last day of the legislature's final general-business floorperiod in the biennial session

SENATE BILL 598

1 as established in the joint resolution required under s. 13.02 (3) shall be considered
2 received on the first day of the next regular session of the legislature, unless the
3 presiding officers of both houses direct referral of the notice and report under this
4 subsection before that day. The presiding officer of each house of the legislature
5 shall, within 10 working days following the day on which the notice and report are
6 received, direct the appropriate chief clerk to refer the notice and report to one
7 standing committee. The agency shall submit to the legislative reference bureau for
8 publication in the register, in an electronic format approved by the legislative
9 reference bureau, a statement that a proposed rule has been submitted to the chief
10 clerk of each house of the legislature. The agency shall also include in the statement
11 the date of approval of the proposed rule by the governor under s. 227.185 if the
12 proposed rule is subject to gubernatorial approval. Each chief clerk shall enter a
13 similar statement in the journal of his or her house.

14 **SECTION 26.** 227.19 (3) (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:

15 227.19 (3) FORM OF REPORT. (intro.) The report required under sub. (2) shall be
16 in writing and shall include the proposed rule in the form specified in s. 227.14 (1);
17 the material specified in s. 227.14 (2), (3), and (4); including any statement,
18 suggested changes, or other material submitted to the agency by the small business
19 regulatory review board; a copy of any economic impact analysis prepared by the
20 agency under s. 227.137 (2) or (2m); a copy of any revised economic impact analysis
21 prepared by the agency under s. 227.137 (4); a copy of any report prepared by the
22 department of administration under s. 227.137 (6) (am); a copy of any energy impact
23 report received from the public service commission under s. 227.117 (2); and a copy
24 of any recommendations of the legislative council staff. The report shall also include
25 all of the following:

SENATE BILL 598**SECTION 27**

1 **SECTION 27.** 227.24 (1) (e) 1d. of the statutes is amended to read:

2 227.24 (1) (e) 1d. Prepare Except as provided in s. 227.135 (5), prepare a
3 statement of the scope of the proposed emergency rule as provided in s. 227.135 (1),
4 obtain approval of the statement as provided in s. 227.135 (2), and send the
5 statement to the legislative reference bureau for publication in the register as
6 provided in s. 227.135 (3). If the agency changes the scope of a proposed emergency
7 rule as described in s. 227.135 (4), the agency shall prepare and obtain approval of
8 a revised statement of the scope of the proposed emergency rule as provided in s.
9 227.135 (4). No state employee or official may perform any activity in connection
10 with the drafting of a proposed emergency rule except for an activity necessary to
11 prepare the statement of the scope of the proposed emergency rule until the governor
12 and the individual or body with policy-making powers over the subject matter of the
13 proposed emergency rule approve the statement.

14 **SECTION 28.** 227.24 (1) (e) 1g. of the statutes is amended to read:

15 227.24 (1) (e) 1g. Submit Except as provided in this subdivision, submit the
16 proposed emergency rule in final draft form to the governor for approval. The
17 governor, in his or her discretion, may approve or reject the proposed emergency rule.
18 If the governor approves a proposed emergency rule, the governor shall provide the
19 agency with a written notice of that approval. An agency may not file an emergency
20 rule with the legislative reference bureau as provided in s. 227.20 and an emergency
21 rule may not be published until the governor approves the emergency rule in writing.
22 This subdivision does not apply to a proposed emergency rule that is considered at
23 the joint annual spring fish and wildlife rule hearing of the department of natural
24 resources and county meeting of the Wisconsin conservation congress.

25 **SECTION 29. Initial applicability.**

SENATE BILL 598

1 (1) GUBERNATORIAL APPROVAL OF RULES. The treatment of sections 227.135 (2),
2 (2m), (3), and (5), 227.185, 227.19 (2), and 227.24 (1) (e) 1d. and 1g. of the statutes
3 first applies to a proposed administrative rule whose statement of scope is published
4 in the Wisconsin Administrative Register on the effective date of this subsection.

5 (2) ECONOMIC IMPACT REPORTS. The treatment of sections 227.137 (2), (2m), (3)
6 (intro.), (3m), (4), (4m), (5), (6) (intro.), (a), (b), (c), (cm), and (d), and (7), 227.14 (2)
7 (a) 6. and (4m), 227.15 (1) and (1m) (bm), 227.17 (3) (em), and 227.19 (3) (intro.) of
8 the statutes first applies to a notice of a proposed administrative rule submitted to
9 the legislature under section 227.19 (2) of the statutes on the effective date of this
10 subsection.

11

(END)