

DRAFTER'S NOTE
FROM THE
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-4426/P2dn
MDK:amn

February 2, 2016

Sen. Vinehout:

This draft makes the changes you requested, except that I don't think any changes are necessary regarding item 4 in our email correspondence. For that item, you requested that I include a reference to s. 66.0420 (5) (d) 1. in the exceptions listed in s. 66.0420 (5) (c) 1. However, there is already an exception for all of s. 66.0420 (5) (d). See the reference to "par. (d)." Therefore, there is no need to add anything to s. 66.0420 (5) (c) 1.

Also, regarding item 5 in the email correspondence, note that s. 66.0420 (5) (c) 3. a. is grammatically correct without inserting a reference to "municipality" before "submit." Section 66.0420 (5) (c) 3. (intro.) and 3. a. must be read together as one long sentence. There is an intervening "if" clause at the beginning of s. 66.0420 (5) (c) 3. a., but the subject that goes with the verb "submit" in s. 66.0420 (5) (c) 3. a. is the municipality mentioned in the s. 66.0420 (5) (c) 3. (intro.), which must do the things expressed in s. 66.0420 (5) (c) 3. a. and 3. b. If you want to allow, instead of require, a municipality to do those things, let me know, and I will change the word "shall" in s. 66.0420 (5) (c) 3. (intro.) to "may."

Mark D. Kunkel
Senior Legislative Attorney
(608) 266-0131
mark.kunkel@legis.wisconsin.gov