

# State of Misconsin

LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU



# Appendix A ... segment II

# LRB BILL HISTORY RESEARCH APPENDIX

The drafting file for 2015 LRB-1431/P4 (For: LRB)

has been copied/added to the drafting file for

2015 LRB-0958 (For: DOA - Budget)

Are These "Companion Bills" ?? ... No

# RESEARCH APPENDIX -PLEASE KEEP WITH THE DRAFTING FILE

Date Transfer Requested: 01/27/2015 (Per: MED)

The attached draft was incorporated into the new draft listed above. For research purposes the attached materials were added, as a appendix, to the new drafting file. If introduced this section will be scanned and added, as a separate appendix, to the digital drafting file.





LRB-1431/**P**2 P3

PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

do Not gen

AN ACT ...; relating to: the budget.

# Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau EMPLOYMENT

Under federal law, a state may require a claimant to submit to a test for the unlawful use of controlled substances (drug test) as a condition of receiving unemployment insurance (UI) benefits if the claimant: 1) was terminated from employment with his or her most recent employer because of the unlawful use of controlled substances; or 2) is an individual for whom suitable work, as defined under a state's UI law, is only available in an occupation that regularly conducts drug testing, as determined in regulations issued by the United States Secretary of Labor (federal regulations). As of January 15, 2015, final federal regulations have not been issued.

This bill requires DWD to establish a program to require claimants who apply for regular UI benefits to submit to drug tests. The bill requires DWD to determine, when a claimant applies for regular UI benefits, whether the claimant is an individual for whom suitable work is only available in an occupation described in the federal regulations. If DWD determines that the claimant is such an individual, DWD must conduct a screening on the claimant to determine whether the claimant should be required to submit to a drug test. If the screening indicates that the claimant should be required to submit to a drug test, DWD must require that the claimant submit to such a test.

The bill provides that, if the claimant declines to submit to such a test, the claimant is ineligible for UI benefits until a subsequent claim for benefits; if the claimant submits to the drug test, but does not test positive for any controlled

substance without a valid prescription, the claimant may receive UI benefits if otherwise eligible and may not be required to submit to any further drug test until a subsequent claim for benefits. If the claimant submits to the drug test and tests positive for one or more controlled substances without a valid prescription, the bill provides that the claimant is ineligible for UI benefits for 52 weeks or until a subsequent claim for benefits, whichever is later, except that following the positive test, the claimant may maintain his or her eligibility for UI benefits by enrolling in a state—sponsored substance abuse treatment program and undergoing a state—sponsored job skills assessment. The claimant remains eligible for benefits for each week the claimant is in full compliance with any requirements of the substance abuse treatment program and job skills assessment.

The bill also requires DWD to promulgate rules to identify occupations for which drug testing is regularly conducted in this state and to apply the above provisions for claimants for whom suitable work is only available in one of the occupations identified by DWD.

In addition, the bill allows an employing unit to voluntarily submit to DWD the results of a drug test that was conducted on an individual as preemployment screening or that an individual declined to submit to such a test. If the results of the test indicate that the individual has tested positive for one or more controlled substances without a valid prescription, or if the individual declined to submit to such a test, the bill provides that there is a presumption, rebuttable as provided in rules promulgated by DWD, that the claimant has failed to accept suitable work when offered. If the presumption is not rebutted, the claimant is ineligible for UI benefits as if the claimant had tested positive in or declined to submit to a drug test conducted by DWD, beginning with the week in which DWD receives the report.

For further information see the **state** fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

# The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

- Section 1. 20.445 (1) (ak) of the statutes is created to read:
- 2 20.445 (1) (ak) Unemployment insurance administration; substance abuse
- 3 treatment. Biennially, the amounts in the schedule to provide substance abuse
- 4 treatment to claimants for unemployment insurance under s. 108.133 (2) (c).

\*\*\*\*NOTE: This Section involves a change in an appropriation that must be reflected in the revised schedule in s. 20.005, stats.

**Section 2.** 20.445 (1) (aL) of the statutes is created to read:

5

20.445 (1) (aL) Unemployment insurance administration; controlled substances testing. Biennially, the amounts in the schedule to conduct testing for controlled substances and for related expenses under s. 108.133, other than providing substance abuse treatment under s. 108.133 (2) (c).

\*\*\*\*NOTE: This Section involves a change in an appropriation that must be reflected in the revised schedule in s. 20.005, stats.

SECTION 3. 108.04 (8) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.04 (8) (a) If Except as provided in par. (b), if an employee fails, without good cause, to accept suitable work when offered, the employee is ineligible to receive benefits until the employee earns wages after the week in which the failure occurs equal to at least 6 times the employee's weekly benefit rate under s. 108.05 (1) in employment or other work covered by the unemployment insurance law of any state or the federal government. For purposes of requalification, the employee's weekly benefit rate shall be that rate which would have been paid had the failure not occurred. This paragraph does not preclude an employee from establishing a benefit year during a period in which the employee is ineligible to receive benefits under this paragraph if the employee qualifies to establish a benefit year under s. 108.06 (2) (a). The department shall charge to the fund's balancing account any benefits otherwise chargeable to the account of an employer that is subject to the contribution requirements under ss. 108.17 and 108.18 whenever an employee of that employer fails, without good cause, to accept suitable work offered by that employer.

**SECTION 4.** 108.04 (8) (b) of the statutes is created to read:

108.04 (8) (b) There is a rebuttable presumption that an employee has failed, without good cause, to accept suitable work when offered if the employee declines to submit to a test for the presence of controlled substances in a test conducted on the

under sub. (2) (d).

1	employee as preemployment screening or the employee tests positive for one or more
2	controlled substances in such a test without evidence of a valid prescription, as
3	evidenced by a report submitted to the department by an employing unit in
4	accordance with s. 108.133 (4). In the case of an employee's declining to submit to
5	such a test, the employee shall be ineligible for benefits as if the employee had
6	declined to submit to a test under s. 108.133 (3) (a), beginning with the week in which
7	the department receives the report. In the case of an employee's testing positive in
8	such a test, the employee shall be ineligible for benefits as if the employee had tested
9	positive under s. 108.133 (3) (c), beginning with the week in which the department
10	receives the report, except as provided under s. 108.133 (3) (d). The department shall
11	promulgate rules specifying how a claimant may overcome the presumption in this
12	paragraph. The department shall charge to the fund's balancing account any
13	benefits otherwise chargeable to the account of an employer that is subject to the
14	contribution requirements under ss. 108.17 and 108.18 whenever an employee of
15	that employer fails, without good cause, to accept suitable work offered by that
16	employer.
17	SECTION 5. 108.133 of the statutes is created to read:
18	108.133 Testing for controlled substances. (1) Definitions. In this
19	section:
20	(a) Notwithstanding s. 108.02 (9), "controlled substance" has the meaning
21	given in 21 USC 802.
22	(b) "Job skills assessment" means an assessment conducted by the department

substance abuse treatment program.

1	(c) "Occupation that regularly conducts drug testing" means an occupation
2	identified in the regulations issued by the federal secretary of labor under 42 USC
3	503 (l) (1) (A) (ii).
4	(d) "Screening" means the screening process created by the department under
5	sub. (2) (a) 4.
6	(e) "Substance abuse treatment program" means the program provided by the
7	department under sub. (2) (c).
8	(f) "Valid prescription" means a prescription, as defined in s. 450.01 (19), for a
9	controlled substance for which the supply of the controlled substance indicated by
10	the prescription has not run out.
11	(2) Drug testing program. The department shall establish a program to test
12	claimants who apply for regular benefits under this chapter for the presence of
13	controlled substances in accordance with this section and shall, under the program,
14	do all of the following:
15	(a) Promulgate rules to establish the program. The department shall do all of
16	the following in the rules promulgated under this paragraph:
17	1. Identify occupations for which drug testing is regularly conducted in this
18	state.
19	2. Establish a process to test claimants for the presence of controlled
20	substances. In establishing the process, the department shall adhere to any
21	applicable federal requirements regarding drug testing.
22	3. Identify the parameters for a substance abuse treatment program for
23	claimants who misuse controlled substances and specify criteria that a claimant
24	must satisfy in order to be considered in full compliance with requirements of the

24

25

- 1 4. Create a screening process for determining whether a claimant should be 2 required to submit to a test for the presence of controlled substances. 3 5. Identify the parameters for a job skills assessment for claimants who misuse 4 controlled substances and specify criteria that a claimant must satisfy in order to be considered in full compliance with the requirements of the job skills assessment. 5 6 (b) When a claimant applies for regular benefits under this chapter, do all of 7 the following: 8 1. Determine whether the claimant is an individual for whom suitable work is 9 only available in an occupation that regularly conducts drug testing. 10 2. Determine whether the claimant is an individual for whom suitable work is only available in an occupation identified in the rules promulgated under par. (a) 1. 11 12 3. If the claimant is determined by the department under subd. 1. to be an 13 individual for whom suitable work is only available in an occupation that regularly 14 conducts drug testing, conduct a screening on the claimant. 15 4. If the claimant is determined by the department under subd. 2. to be an individual for whom suitable work is only available in an occupation identified in the 16 17 rules promulgated under par. (a) 1., conduct a screening on the claimant if a 18 screening is not already required under subd. 3. 19 5. If a screening conducted as required under subd. 3. or 4. indicates that the 20 claimant should be required to submit to a test for the presence of controlled 21 substances, request that the claimant submit to such a test. (c) Create and provide a substance abuse treatment program in accordance 22
  - (d) Create and conduct job skills assessments in accordance with the rules promulgated under par. (a) 5.

with the rules promulgated under par. (a) 3.

grequired

- (3) DRUG TESTING. (a) If a claimant is requested under sub. (2) (b) 5. to submit to a test for the presence of controlled substances and the claimant declines to submit to such a test, the claimant is ineligible for benefits under this chapter until the claimant qualifies for benefits in a subsequent benefit year.
- (b) If a claimant who is requested under sub. (2) (b) 5. to submit to a test for the presence of controlled substances submits to the test and does not test positive for any controlled substance or the claimant presents evidence satisfactory to the department that the claimant possesses a valid prescription for each controlled substance for which the claimant tests positive, the claimant may receive benefits under this chapter if otherwise eligible and may not be required to submit to any further test for the presence of controlled substances until a subsequent benefit year.
- (c) If a claimant who is requested under sub. (2) (b) 5. to submit to a test for the presence of controlled substances tests positive for one or more controlled substances without presenting evidence satisfactory to the department that the claimant possesses a valid prescription for each controlled substance for which the claimant tested positive, the claimant is ineligible for benefits under this chapter until 52 weeks after the date of the test or until the claimant qualifies for benefits in a subsequent benefit year, whichever occurs later, except as provided in par. (d).
- (d) A claimant who tests positive for one or more controlled substances without presenting evidence of a valid prescription as described in par. (c) may maintain his or her eligibility for benefits under this chapter by enrolling in the substance abuse treatment program and undergoing a job skills assessment. Such a claimant remains eligible for benefits under this chapter, if otherwise eligible, for each week the claimant is in full compliance with any requirements of the substance abuse

treatment program and job skills assessment, as determined by the department in accordance with the rules promulgated under sub. (2) (a) 3. and 5.

- (4) PREEMPLOYMENT DRUG TESTING. (a) An employing unit may, in accordance with the rules promulgated by the department under par. (b), voluntarily submit to the department the results of a test for the presence of controlled substances that was conducted on an individual as preemployment screening or notify the department that an individual declined to submit to such a test as a condition of employment, along with information necessary to identify the individual. Upon receipt of any such results of a test conducted and certified in a manner approved by the department or notification that an individual declined to submit to such a test, the department shall determine whether the individual is a claimant receiving benefits. If the individual is a claimant receiving benefits in accordance with rules promulgated by the department under par. (b), use that information for purposes of determining eligibility for benefits under s. 108.04 (8) (b).
  - (b) The department shall promulgate rules necessary to implement par. (a).
- (3), subs. (2) (b) to (d) and (3) do not apply until the rules required under sub. (2) (a) take effect, except that the department may request approval of the joint committee on finance to delay implementation of subs. (2) (b) to (d) and (3) by submitting a request to the cochairpersons of the committee. If the cochairpersons notify the department and the legislative reference bureau that the committee has approved a delay in implementation of subs. (2) (b) to (d) and (3) until a date specified by the committee, the department may delay implementation of subs. (2) (b) to (d) and (3) until the date specified by the committee. The department shall submit to the

1	registative reference dureau for publication in the wisconsin administrative register
2	a notice identifying the date on which subs. (2) (b) to (d) and (3) will be implemented.
3	(b) Notwithstanding sub. (4) (a) and s. 108.04 (8) (b), sub. (4) (a) and s. 108.04
4	(8) (b) do not apply until the rules required under sub. (4) (b) take effect, except that
5	the department may request approval of the joint committee on finance to delay
6	implementation of sub. (4) (a) and s. 108.04 (8) (b) by submitting a request to the
7	cochairpersons of the committee. If the cochairpersons notify the department and
8	the legislative reference bureau that the committee has approved a delay in
9	implementation of sub. (4) (a) and s. 108.04 (8) (b) until a date specified by the
10	committee, the department may delay implementation of sub. (4) (a) and s. 108.04
11	(8) (b) until the date specified by the committee. The department shall submit to the
12	legislative reference bureau for publication in the Wisconsin administrative register
13	a notice identifying the date on which sub. (4) (a) and s. 108.04 (8) (b) will be
14	implemented.
15	(c) The department may modify or suspend any provision of this section as
16	provided in and in accordance with s. 108.14 (13).
17	SECTION 6. 108.14 (8n) (e) of the statutes is amended to read:
18	108.14 (8n) (e) The department shall charge this state's share of any benefits
19	paid under this subsection to the account of each employer by which the employee
20	claiming benefits was employed in the applicable base period, in proportion to the
21	total amount of wages he or she earned from each employer in the base period, except
22	that if s. 108.04 (1) (f), (5), (7) (a), (c), (e), (L), (q), (s), or (t), (7m) or (8) (a) or (b) or
23	108.07 (3), (3r), or (5) (b) would have applied to employment by such an employer who
24	is subject to the contribution requirements of ss. 108.17 and 108.18, the department

shall charge the share of benefits based on employment with that employer to the

fund's balancing account, or, if s. $108.04(1)(f)$ or $(5)$ or $108.07(3)$ would have applied
to an employer that is not subject to the contribution requirements of ss. 108.17 and
108.18, the department shall charge the share of benefits based on that employment
in accordance with s. 108.07 (5) (a) and (b). The department shall also charge the
fund's balancing account with any other state's share of such benefits pending
reimbursement by that state.

SECTION 7. 108.141 (7) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.141 (7) (a) The department shall charge the state's share of each week of extended benefits to each employer's account in proportion to the employer's share of the total wages of the employee receiving the benefits in the employee's base period, except that if the employer is subject to the contribution requirements of ss. 108.17 and 108.18 the department shall charge the share of extended benefits to which s. 108.04 (1) (f), (5), (7) (a), (c), (e), (L), (q), (s), or (t), (7m) or (8) (a) or (b) or 108.07 (3), (3r), or (5) (b) applies to the fund's balancing account.

SECTION 8. 108.16 (6m) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.16 **(6m)** (a) The benefits thus chargeable under s. 108.04 (1) (f), (5), (5g), (7) (h), (8) (a) or (b), (13) (c) or (d) or (16) (e), 108.07 (3), (3r), (5) (b), (5m), or (6), 108.14 (8n) (e), 108.141, 108.151, or 108.152 or sub. (6) (e) or (7) (a) and (b).

# Section 9151. Nonstatutory provisions; Workforce Development.

(1) Unemployment insurance; drug testing. The department of workforce development shall present the statements of scope of the rules required under sections 108.04 (8) (b) and 108.133 (2) (a) and (4) (b) of the statutes, as created by this act, to the governor for approval under section 227.135 (2) of the statutes no later than the 180th day after the effective date of this subsection.

# 2015-2016 Drafting Insert FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

#### INSERT 8-20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

If the cochairpersons of the committee do not notify the department within 14 working days after the date of the request that the committee has scheduled a meeting for the purpose of reviewing the delay as proposed by the department, the department may effect the delay as proposed by the department. If, within 14 working days after the date of the request, the cochairpersons of the committee notify the department that the committee has scheduled a meeting for the purpose of reviewing the proposed delay, the department may effect the delay only upon approval of the committee.

#### INSERT 9-7

If the cochairpersons of the committee do not notify the department within 14 working days after the date of the request that the committee has scheduled a meeting for the purpose of reviewing the delay as proposed by the department, the department may effect the delay as proposed by the department. If, within 14 working days after the date of the request, the cochairpersons of the committee notify the department that the committee has scheduled a meeting for the purpose of reviewing the proposed delay, the department may effect the delay only upon and 5.108.04 (8)(b) approval of the committee.

INSERT 9-15

The secretary may waive compliance with any provision under this section if the secretary determines that waiver of the provision is necessary to permit continued certification of this chapter for grants to this state under Title III of the federal Social Security Act or for maximum credit allowances to employers under the federal Employment Tax Act.

## **Duchek, Michael**

From:

Knutson, Janell - DWD < Janell.Knutson@dwd.wisconsin.gov>

Sent: To:

Saturday, January 24, 2015 8:02 PM Dernbach, BJ - DWD; Duchek, Michael

Cc: Subject:

Sussman, Scott - DWD; Kirschbaum, Bryan W - DOA; Bernstein, Howard I - DWD Fwd: Follow-up to conversation of Wis. Stat. § 108.133(5) (Privileged and Confidential

Attorney Client Communication)

Sorry for the delay. Please see Scott's summary below. I have the following additional comments: Under 2, I would say that if we can put the 7 day requirement in the rules, that would be preferable.

Also the benchmarks would be report to JFC or whatever would be appropriate but make it vague as to what needs to be accomplished by a certain date in case we run into problems.

For the last bullet point, the placeholder for GPR would be for general program administration for the drug testing provision (computer programming, staff training, etc.).

Also, we talked about instead of specific language regarding a second and third test, that would be included in the rules to provide flexibility to accommodate federal requirements.

There is the issue of how to deal with claimants who are receiving benefits while participating in approved training, and apply for a job but fail the pre-employment drug screening.

Finally, do we need language that would deal with the situation where the claimant is in a substance abuse program but regular benefits end before the program is over?

thanks! Janell

Sent from my iPad Begin forwarded message:

From: "Sussman, Scott - DWD" <Scott.Sussman@dwd.wisconsin.gov>

Date: January 23, 2015 at 4:19:04 PM CST

To: "Knutson, Janell - DWD" < Janell. Knutson@dwd.wisconsin.gov>

Subject: Follow-up to conversation of Wis. Stat. § 108.133(5) (Privileged and Confidential

**Attorney Client Communication)** 

Janell – Below is a brief summary of the conference call just held pertaining to Wis. Stat. § 108.133(5) created by LRB-0958/P2:

- The statute should authorize the Department to promulgate admin. rules to create a form for
  employers who wish to voluntarily provide the Department the pre-employment drug test
  results. There are concerns that these employers may be exposing themselves to lawsuits under
  the Americans with Disability Act. By the Department creating the form, the Department may
  make employers aware of these concerns.
- The statute should provide that the employer only has seven days from when the employer receives the results of the drug test to provide these results to the Department on the aforementioned form.

- Eliminate that a claimant will be afforded the opportunity to retest the specimen that was used for his or her pre-employment drug test.
- Instead, provide that if an employer provides the drug test it creates a rebuttable presumption that the claimant is not available for suitable work and provide that the Department shall promulgate admin. rules that will enable the claimant to overcome this rebuttable presumption.
- Also amend Wis. Stat. § 108.04(8) (SUITABLE WORK) to provide a new subparagraph that would create a requalification of 52 weeks for a claimant who fails a pre-employment drug screening test.
- Provide that what constitutes successful completion of the substance abuse program shall be determined by administrative rule.
- Provide that a claimant who fails a pre-employment drug test must undergo a job skills
  assessment and what constitute successful completion of the assessment shall be determined by
  admin. rules. This will enable the Department to promulgate special rules for claimants who are in
  approved training programs.
- Provide that the effective date shall be when the Department has the technological capability to implement this program.
- Provide within the statute that there shall be bench marks for when the Department must complete the admin. rules.
- Include within the statute a marker for the Department to be provided GPR

Scott Sussman
Attorney, Bureau of Legal Affairs
Division of Unemployment Insurance
State of Wisconsin Department of Workforce Development
201 East Washington Avenue, Room E303
Madison, WI 53708
(608) 266-8271 (landline)

## IMPORTANT DISCLAIMER

The preceding message and any attachments are solely for the intended recipient and may contain confidential or privileged information. It is not intended for transmission to, or receipt by any unauthorized persons. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, use or distribution of the information included in this message and any attachments is prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us by reply e-mail and immediately and permanently delete this message and any attachments. To the extent representations are made herein, be advised that such representations are not those of the State and do not purport to bind the State.

Internal Revenue Service regulations require that certain types of written advice include a disclaimer. To the extent the preceding message (or attachments) contains advice relating to a Federal tax issue, unless expressly stated otherwise, the advice is not intended or written to be used, and it cannot be used by the recipient or any other taxpayer, for the purpose of avoiding Federal tax penalties, and was not written to support the promotion or marketing of any transaction or matter discussed herein. Each taxpayer should seek advice based on the taxpayer's particular circumstances from an independent tax advisor. It is expressly stated that nothing contained within this message shall be considered guidance related to your particular tax situation.

Thank you very much.

## **Duchek, Michael**

From:

Knutson, Janell - DWD < Janell. Knutson@dwd.wisconsin.gov>

Sent: Monday, January 26, 2015 2:57 PM

To: Duchek, Michael; Kirschbaum, Bryan W - DOA; Hynek, Sara - DOA

Cc: Dernbach, BJ - DWD; Bernstein, Howard I - DWD

Subject: RE: Draft review: LRB -1431/P2 Topic: Drug Testing for UI CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY

WORK PRODUCT

Importance:

High

Sensitivity:

Confidential

#### Hi Mike,

We have some suggested changes:

• In some sections it refers to the department "request" that the employee submit to a drug test. We would like that changed to "require".

P. 4, lines 4 and 7, we suggest the language be changed to "if an employee declines to submit...
If an employee tests positive

• P.8-9 starting at line 16, we would request that this be a passive review process by JFC for both (a) and (b)

- P 9 lines 15 and 16. We would request that (c) be rewritten. The current cross reference requires UIAC approval. We would like it patterned after 108.062(19) (minus the specific work share federal funding language). We also want to make sure that we have the technological ability to implement the program before it becomes effective. Finally, we would like protective language similar to that contained in state contracts that provides if sufficient funds are not appropriated, the program may be suspended.
- Just a point of clarification. Under current law, for claimants participating in approved training programs, the
  provisions of refusing suitable work do not apply to them. This is pursuant to federal law. So, the preemployment drug screening disqualification will not apply to those claimants participating in approved training.

Sent: Monday, January 26, 2015 10:20 AM

To: Kirschbaum, Bryan W - DOA; Hynek, Sara - DOA

Cc: Knutson, Janell - DWD; Dernbach, BJ - DWD; Bernstein, Howard I - DWD

Subject: FW: Draft review: LRB -1431/P2 Topic: Drug Testing for UI

This (attached) is an alternate version of the drug testing draft that seeks to accomplish what DWD has requested, including the following:

- 1.) Instead of having the detailed re-testing and disqualification provisions, the draft instead provides that the claimant who fails an initial drug test is ineligible for 52 weeks or until a subsequent benefit year (claim for benefits) but may remain eligible for benefits for each week the claimant is in compliance with the substance abuse program and job skills assessment as determined by DWD. DWD could specify what it means to be in full compliance with the program and account for the fact that certain claimants may go off UI before they complete the program and could account for other factors such as claimants on approved training under federal law. I think they could also with this language specify that being in full compliance means having completed the program at some point.
- 2.) Providing that the preemployment screening drug tests would = a presumed failure to accept suitable work when offered, as DWD believes wording it this way makes the provision more likely to pass muster under federal law. The results of failing or refusing a drug test conducted by a potential employer would still be the same as a state-administered drug test. It also requires that the results be submitted in compliance with DWD rules and provides that a claimant can rebut the presumption in accordance with DWD rules, to address some of DWD's other concerns.
- 3.) Providing that the provisions don't take effect until DWD's rules take effect, but requiring DWD to submit its rules scope statements within 6 months (could be changed) and allowing for any further delays of these provisions, but only with joint finance approval.

If the decision is to go this route instead, I wanted to have a draft ready though we still do have time to make a few tweaks if needed I think either way.

Mike Duchek Legislative Attorney Wisconsin Legislative Reference Bureau (608) 266-0130

# Duchek, Michael

From:

Knutson, Janell - DWD < Janell.Knutson@dwd.wisconsin.gov>

Sent:

Tuesday, January 27, 2015 10:38 AM

To:

Duchek, Michael

Cc:

Bernstein, Howard I - DWD; Dernbach, BJ - DWD; Kirschbaum, Bryan W - DOA

Subject:

correction

Importance:

High

Sensitivity:

Confidential

Hi Mike,

We found an error on page 9 line 25 of the most recent draft. It should read: "federal Unemployment Tax Act" rather than "federal Employment Tax Act". That is an error that carried over from 108.062(19).

If we could get that corrected that would be great.

We are looking over everything one more time and will let you know if we see any other typos, etc.

Thank you!

Janell

P.S. I also left you a VM message.

## Duchek, Michael

From:

Kirschbaum, Bryan W - DOA <Bryan.Kirschbaum@wisconsin.gov>

Sent:

Tuesday, January 27, 2015 11:48 AM

To:

Duchek, Michael

Subject:

FW: Drug Testing--DWD Comments- DRAFT / Attorney Work Product

Here is what Katie sent to me with the rest of DWD's suggestions...didn't know if this was included with my earlier email. Red is my recommendation, blue is Katie's.

### Bryan W. Kirschbaum

Executive Policy and Budget Analyst
Division of Executive Budget & Finance—Education & Workforce Development Team
Department of Administration | State of Wisconsin

Office: 608-266-8219

E-mail: bryan.kirschbaum@wisconsin.gov

From: Kirschbaum, Bryan W - DOA Sent: Monday, January 26, 2015 4:38 PM

To: Ignatowski, Katie E - GOV

Cc: Hynek, Sara - DOA

Subject: Drug Testing--DWD Comments

Katie.

Here are the main points that DWD brought up in regards to the drafts. Our recommendations/suggestions are in red. If you have any questions, please let me know.

- The statute should authorize the Department to promulgate admin. rules to create a form for employers who wish to voluntarily provide the Department the pre-employment drug test results. There are concerns that these employers may be exposing themselves to lawsuits under the Americans with Disability Act. By the Department creating the form, the Department may make employers aware of these concerns. The statute should provide that the employer only has seven days from when the employer receives the results of the drug test to provide these results to the Department on the aforementioned form.
  - o Recommend, but should restrict the ability for department to use test results from employers within the 7-day window

Okay.

- Eliminate that a claimant will be afforded the opportunity to retest the specimen that was used for
  his or her pre-employment drug test. Instead, provide that if an employer provides the drug test it
  creates a rebuttable presumption that the claimant is not available for suitable work and provide that
  the Department shall promulgate admin. rules that will enable the claimant to overcome this
  rebuttable presumption.
  - Recommend, allows for greater flexibility for issues where a claimant may contest an employer's drug screen results

#### Okay.

- Also amend Wis. Stat. § 108.04(8) (SUITABLE WORK) to provide a new subparagraph that would create a requalification of 52 weeks for a claimant who fails a pre-employment drug screening test.
  - o Recommend

Okay, but only for those that fail a pre-employment drug test and don't overcome the rebuttable presumption, right?

- Provide that what constitutes successful completion of the substance abuse program shall be determined by administrative rule.
  - o Recommend

Okav.

- Provide that a claimant who fails a pre-employment drug test must undergo a job skills assessment
  and what constitute successful completion of the assessment shall be determined by admin. rules.
  This will enable the Department to promulgate special rules for claimants who are in approved
  training programs.
  - o Recommend

Aren't we in the current version already requiring the job skills assessment and treatment program for this category of people by subjecting them to the process laid out in (3)(d)?

- Provide that the effective date shall be when the Department has the technological capability to implement this program. Provide within the statute that there shall be bench marks for when the Department must complete the admin. rules, submit report to JCF through passive review
  - o Recommend: the department suggested two-years to complete admin. Rules and technology capability. Is this something we should set specifically in statute? How frequent should the reports be?

I'll have to vet this one internally tomorrow.

- Include within the statute a marker for the Department to be provided GPR. The placeholder for GPR would be for general program administration for the drug testing provision (computer programming, staff training, etc.).
  - Already created appropriations for testing and treatment. It is unknown as to how much will be appropriated beyond the \$500K for treatment, recommend withholding

Okay.

- Instead of specific language regarding a second and third test, that would be included in the rules to
  provide flexibility to accommodate federal requirements
  - o Recommend

Okay.

- Need language that would deal with the situation where the claimant is in a substance abuse program but regular benefits end before the program is over?
  - o Would be a less common occurrence, but leave up to the department as to how to proceed in admin rules

Okay.

# Bryan W. Kirschbaum

**Executive Policy and Budget Analyst** 

Division of Executive Budget & Finance—Education & Workforce Development Team

Department of Administration | State of Wisconsin

Office: 608-266-8219

E-mail: bryan.kirschbaum@wisconsin.gov

	STATE OF WISCONSIN – LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU	
LRB	Research (608-266-0341) Library (608-266-7040) Legal (608-266-3561)	LRB
1/27	15	
<b>'</b>	,	
- Du	ND - Make refusal same disqualifier as all (52 weeks or subsequent benefit year)	
£.	ail (52 weeks or subsequent benefit year)	
	•	
- GO1	y - Elin JFC passive review, DWD can y through rules language	
Jela	y through rules language	
		The same of the sa
The same of the sa		
****		
		<del></del>
***************************************		
***************************************		





# State of Misconsin 2015 - 2016 LEGISLATURE

LRB-1431/19 MED:cjs:rs

# PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

W

1

AN ACT ...; relating to: the budget.

127

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau EMPLOYMENT

Under federal law, a state may require a claimant to submit to a test for the unlawful use of controlled substances (drug test) as a condition of receiving unemployment insurance (UI) benefits if the claimant: 1) was terminated from employment with his or her most recent employer because of the unlawful use of controlled substances; or 2) is an individual for whom suitable work, as defined under a state's UI law, is only available in an occupation that regularly conducts drug testing, as determined in regulations issued by the United States Secretary of Labor (federal regulations). As of January (15), 2015, final federal regulations have not been issued.

This bill requires DWD to establish a program to require claimants who apply for regular UI benefits to submit to drug tests. The bill requires DWD to determine, when a claimant applies for regular UI benefits, whether the claimant is an individual for whom suitable work is only available in an occupation described in the federal regulations. If DWD determines that the claimant is such an individual, DWD must conduct a screening on the claimant to determine whether the claimant should be required to submit to a drug test. If the screening indicates that the claimant should be required to submit to a drug test, DWD must require the claimant to submit to such a test.

The bill provides that, if the claimant declines to submit to such a test, the

The bill provides that, if the claimant declines to submit to such a test, the claimant is ineligible for UI benefits until a subsequent claim for benefits; if the claimant submits to the drug test, but does not test positive for any controlled

y whichever is later

substance without a valid prescription, the claimant may receive UI benefits if otherwise eligible and may not be required to submit to any further drug test until a subsequent claim for benefits. If the claimant submits to the drug test and tests positive for one or more controlled substances without a valid prescription, the bill provides that the claimant is ineligible for UI benefits for 52 weeks or until a subsequent claim for benefits, whichever is later, except that following the positive test, the claimant may maintain his or her eligibility for UI benefits by enrolling in a state—sponsored substance abuse treatment program and undergoing a state—sponsored job skills assessment. The claimant remains eligible for benefits for each week the claimant is in full compliance with any requirements of the substance abuse treatment program and job skills assessment.

The bill also requires DWD to promulgate rules to identify occupations for which drug testing is regularly conducted in this state and to apply the above provisions for claimants for whom suitable work is only available in one of the occupations identified by DWD.

In addition, the bill allows an employing unit to voluntarily submit to DWD the results of a drug test that was conducted on an individual as preemployment screening or that an individual declined to submit to such a test. If the results of the test indicate that the individual has tested positive for one or more controlled substances without a valid prescription, or if the individual declined to submit to such a test, the bill provides that there is a presumption, rebuttable as provided in rules promulgated by DWD, that the claimant has failed to accept suitable work when offered. If the presumption is not rebutted, the claimant is ineligible for UI benefits as if the claimant had tested positive in or declined to submit to a drug test conducted by DWD, beginning with the week in which DWD receives the report.

For further information see the *state* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

# The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

- 1 Section 1. 20.445 (1) (ak) of the statutes is created to read:
- 2 20.445 (1) (ak) Unemployment insurance administration; substance abuse
- 3 treatment. Biennially, the amounts in the schedule to provide substance abuse
- 4 treatment to claimants for unemployment insurance under s. 108.133 (2) (c).

\*\*\*\*NOTE: This Section involves a change in an appropriation that must be reflected in the revised schedule in s. 20.005, stats.

**Section 2.** 20.445 (1) (aL) of the statutes is created to read:

5

20.445 (1) (aL) Unemployment insurance administration; controlled substances testing. Biennially, the amounts in the schedule to conduct testing for controlled substances and for related expenses under s. 108.133, other than providing substance abuse treatment under s. 108.133 (2) (c).

\*\*\*\*NOTE: This Section involves a change in an appropriation that must be reflected in the revised schedule in s. 20.005, stats.

### **SECTION 3.** 108.04 (8) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.04 (8) (a) If Except as provided in par. (b), if an employee fails, without good cause, to accept suitable work when offered, the employee is ineligible to receive benefits until the employee earns wages after the week in which the failure occurs equal to at least 6 times the employee's weekly benefit rate under s. 108.05 (1) in employment or other work covered by the unemployment insurance law of any state or the federal government. For purposes of requalification, the employee's weekly benefit rate shall be that rate which would have been paid had the failure not occurred. This paragraph does not preclude an employee from establishing a benefit year during a period in which the employee is ineligible to receive benefits under this paragraph if the employee qualifies to establish a benefit year under s. 108.06 (2) (a). The department shall charge to the fund's balancing account any benefits otherwise chargeable to the account of an employer that is subject to the contribution requirements under ss. 108.17 and 108.18 whenever an employee of that employer fails, without good cause, to accept suitable work offered by that employer.

## **Section 4.** 108.04 (8) (b) of the statutes is created to read:

108.04 (8) (b) There is a rebuttable presumption that an employee has failed, without good cause, to accept suitable work when offered if the employee declines to submit to a test for the presence of controlled substances in a test conducted on the

employee as preemployment screening or the employee tests positive for one or more
controlled substances in such a test without evidence of a valid prescription, as
evidenced by a report submitted to the department by an employing unit in
accordance with s. 108.133 (4). If the employee declines to submit to such a test, the
employee shall be ineligible for benefits as if the employee had declined to submit to
a test under s. 108.133 (3) (a), beginning with the week in which the department
receives the report. If the employee tests positive in such a test without evidence of
a valid prescription, the employee shall be ineligible for benefits as if the employee
had tested positive under s. 108.133 (3) (c), beginning with the week in which the
department receives the report, except as provided under s. 108.133 (3) (d). The
department shall promulgate rules specifying how a claimant may overcome the
presumption in this paragraph. The department shall charge to the fund's balancing
account any benefits otherwise chargeable to the account of an employer that is
subject to the contribution requirements under ss. 108.17 and 108.18 whenever an
employee of that employer fails, without good cause, to accept suitable work offered
by that employer.

**Section 5.** 108.133 of the statutes is created to read:

108.133 Testing for controlled substances. (1) Definitions. In this section:

- (a) Notwithstanding s. 108.02 (9), "controlled substance" has the meaning given in 21 USC 802.
- (b) "Job skills assessment" means an assessment conducted by the department under sub. (2) (d).

	1	(c) "Occupation that regularly conducts drug testing" means an occupation
	2	identified in the regulations issued by the federal secretary of labor under 42 USC
*	3	503 (l) (1) (A) (ii).
	<b>4</b> (5)	(d) "Screening" means the screening process created by the department under sub. (2) (a) (4.)
	6	(e) "Substance abuse treatment program" means the program provided by the
	7	department under sub. (2) (c).
•	8	(f) "Valid prescription" means a prescription, as defined in s. 450.01 (19), for a
	9	controlled substance for which the supply of the controlled substance indicated by
	10	the prescription has not run out.
	· 11	(2) Drug testing program. The department shall establish a program to test
	. 12	claimants who apply for regular benefits under this chapter for the presence of
	13	controlled substances in accordance with this section and shall, under the program,
	14	do all of the following:
	15	(a) Promulgate rules to establish the program. The department shall do all of
,	16	the following in the rules promulgated under this paragraph:  Move to P. C.
X	17	Moration occupations for which drug testing is regularly conducted in this
	18	state.
×	19	Establish a process to test claimants for the presence of controlled
	20	substances. In establishing the process, the department shall adhere to any
	21	applicable federal requirements regarding drug testing.
>	22	(3.) Identify the parameters for a substance abuse treatment program for
	23	claimants who misuse controlled substances and specify criteria that a claimant
	24	must satisfy in order to be considered in full compliance with requirements of the
	25	substance abuse treatment program.

	2015 - 2016 Legislature  -6-  (am) Promulgate rules identifying h material from p. 5 LRB-1431/P3 MED:cjs:rs SECTION 5
×	4. Create a screening process for determining whether a claimant should be
$ imes egin{pmatrix} 2 \ 3 \end{bmatrix}$	required to submit to a test for the presence of controlled substances.  (5.) Identify the parameters for a job skills assessment for claimants who misuse
4	controlled substances and specify criteria that a claimant must satisfy in order to be
\5	considered in full compliance with the requirements of the job skills assessment.
6	(b) When a claimant applies for regular benefits under this chapter, do all of
7	the following:
8	1. Determine whether the claimant is an individual for whom suitable work is
9	only available in an occupation that regularly conducts drug testing.
10	2. Determine whether the claimant is an individual for whom suitable work is
<u>/11</u>	only available in an occupation identified in the rules promulgated under par. (a) 1.
12	3. If the claimant is determined by the department under subd. 1. to be an
13	individual for whom suitable work is only available in an occupation that regularly
14	conducts drug testing, conduct a screening on the claimant.
15	4. If the claimant is determined by the department under subd. 2. to be an
16	individual for whom suitable work is only available in an occupation identified in the
17	rules promulgated under par. (a) 1) conduct a screening on the claimant if a
18	screening is not already required under subd. 3.
19	5. If a screening conducted as required under subd. 3. or 4. indicates that the
20	claimant should be required to submit to a test for the presence of controlled
21	substances, require that the claimant submit to such a test.
22	(c) Create and provide a substance abuse treatment program in accordance
23	with the rules promulgated under par. (a) $(3.)^{2}$ $(3.)^{2}$
24	(d) Create and conduct job skills assessments in accordance with the rules
25	promulgated under par. (a) 5.

- (3) DRUG TESTING. (a) If a claimant is required under sub. (2) (b) 5. to submit to a test for the presence of controlled substances and the claimant declines to submit to such a test, the claimant is ineligible for benefits under this chapter until the claimant qualifies for benefits in a subsequent benefit year. (3) whichever occurs later
- (b) If a claimant who is required under sub. (2) (b) 5. to submit to a test for the presence of controlled substances submits to the test and does not test positive for any controlled substance or the claimant presents evidence satisfactory to the department that the claimant possesses a valid prescription for each controlled substance for which the claimant tests positive, the claimant may receive benefits under this chapter if otherwise eligible and may not be required to submit to any further test for the presence of controlled substances until a subsequent benefit year.
- (c) If a claimant who is required under sub. (2) (b) 5. to submit to a test for the presence of controlled substances submits to the test and tests positive for one or more controlled substances without presenting evidence satisfactory to the department that the claimant possesses a valid prescription for each controlled substance for which the claimant tested positive, the claimant is ineligible for benefits under this chapter until 52 weeks after the date of the test or until the claimant qualifies for benefits in a subsequent benefit year, whichever occurs later, except as provided in par. (d).
- (d) A claimant who tests positive for one or more controlled substances without presenting evidence of a valid prescription as described in par. (c) may maintain his or her eligibility for benefits under this chapter by enrolling in the substance abuse treatment program and undergoing a job skills assessment. Such a claimant remains eligible for benefits under this chapter, if otherwise eligible, for each week the claimant is in full compliance with any requirements of the substance abuse

treatment program and job skills assessment, as determined by the department in accordance with the rules promulgated under sub. (2) (a) (3. and 5.) 2. and 4.

(4) PREEMPLOYMENT DRUG TESTING. (a) An employing unit may, in accordance with the rules promulgated by the department under par. (b), voluntarily submit to the department the results of a test for the presence of controlled substances that was conducted on an individual as preemployment screening or notify the department that an individual declined to submit to such a test as a condition of employment, along with information necessary to identify the individual. Upon receipt of any such results of a test conducted and certified in a manner approved by the department or notification that an individual declined to submit to such a test, the department shall determine whether the individual is a claimant receiving benefits. If the individual is a claimant receiving benefits, the department shall, in accordance with rules promulgated by the department under par. (b), use that information for purposes of determining eligibility for benefits under s. 108.04 (8) (b).

(b) The department shall promulgate rules necessary to implement par. (a).

(3), subs. (2) (b) to (d) and (3) do not apply until the rules required under sub. (2) (a) take effect except that the department may request approval of the joint committee on finance to delay implementation of subs. (2) (b) to (d) and (3) by submitting a request to the cochairpersons of the committee. If the cochairpersons of the committee do not notify the department within 14 working days after the date of the request that the committee has scheduled a meeting for the purpose of reviewing the delay as proposed by the department, the department may effect the delay as

the cochairpersons of the committee notify the department that the committee has

proposed by the department. If, within 14 working days after the date of the request,

(Use 2x) 5.5(c) gand (d)

9/

lus 9-5

scheduled a meeting for the purpose of reviewing the proposed delay, the department may effect the delay only upon approval of the committee. The department shall submit to the legislative reference bureau for publication in the Wisconsin administrative register a notice identifying the date on which subs. (2) (b) to (d) and

(3) will be implemented.

(b) Notwithstanding sub. (4) (a) and s. 108.04 (8) (b), sub. (4) (a) and s. 108.04

(8) (b) do not apply until the rules required under sub. (4) (b) take effect except that the department may request approval of the joint committee on finance to delay implementation of sub. (4) (a) and s. 108.04 (8) (b) by submitting a request to the cochairpersons of the committee. If the cochairpersons of the committee do not notify the department within 14 working days after the date of the request that the committee has scheduled a meeting for the purpose of reviewing the delay as proposed by the department, the department may effect the delay as proposed by the department. If, within 14 working days after the date of the request, the cochairpersons of the committee notify the department that the committee has scheduled a meeting for the purpose of reviewing the proposed delay, the department may effect the delay only upon approval of the committee. The department shall submit to the legislative reference bureau for publication in the Wisconsin administrative register a notice identifying the date on which sub. (4) (a) and s. 108.04 (8) (b) will be implemented.

(c) The secretary may waive compliance with any provision under this section and s. 108.04 (8) (b) if the secretary determines that waiver of the provision is necessary to permit continued certification of this chapter for grants to this state under Title III of the federal Social Security Act or for maximum credit allowances to employers under the federal Employment Tax Act.

· Unemployment

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

**SECTION 6.** 108.14 (8n) (e) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.14 (8n) (e) The department shall charge this state's share of any benefits paid under this subsection to the account of each employer by which the employee claiming benefits was employed in the applicable base period, in proportion to the total amount of wages he or she earned from each employer in the base period, except that if s. 108.04 (1) (f), (5), (7) (a), (c), (e), (L), (q), (s), or (t), (7m) or (8) (a) or (b) or 108.07 (3), (3r), or (5) (b) would have applied to employment by such an employer who is subject to the contribution requirements of ss. 108.17 and 108.18, the department shall charge the share of benefits based on employment with that employer to the fund's balancing account, or, if s. 108.04 (1) (f) or (5) or 108.07 (3) would have applied to an employer that is not subject to the contribution requirements of ss. 108.17 and 108.18, the department shall charge the share of benefits based on that employment in accordance with s. 108.07 (5) (a) and (b). The department shall also charge the fund's balancing account with any other state's share of such benefits pending reimbursement by that state.

**SECTION 7.** 108.141 (7) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.141 (7) (a) The department shall charge the state's share of each week of extended benefits to each employer's account in proportion to the employer's share of the total wages of the employee receiving the benefits in the employee's base period, except that if the employer is subject to the contribution requirements of ss. 108.17 and 108.18 the department shall charge the share of extended benefits to which s. 108.04 (1) (f), (5), (7) (a), (c), (e), (L), (q), (s), or (t), (7m) or (8) (a) or (b) or 108.07 (3), (3r), or (5) (b) applies to the fund's balancing account.

SECTION 8. 108.16 (6m) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

106.10 (om) (a) The benefits thus chargeable under s. $108.04$ (1) (1), (5), (5g),
(7) (h), (8) (a) or (b), (13) (c) or (d) or (16) (e), 108.07 (3), (3r), (5) (b), (5m), or (6), 108.14
(8n) (e), 108.141, 108.151, or 108.152 or sub. (6) (e) or (7) (a) and (b).
Section 9151. Nonstatutory provisions; Workforce Development.
(1) Unemployment insurance; drug testing. The department of workforce
development shall present the statements of scope of the rules required under
sections 108.04 (8) (b) and 108.133 (2) (a) and (4) (b) of the statutes, as created by this
act, to the governor for approval under section 227.135 (2) of the statutes no later
than the 180th day after the effective date of this subsection.
(END) (and (am)

LRB-1431/P4ins MED:...:..

# 2015–2016 DRAFTING INSERT FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

(b)

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

## INSERT 9-5

Notwithstanding sub. (2) (b) 2. and 4., sub. (2) (b) 2. and 4. do not apply until the rules required under sub. (2) (am) take effect. The department shall submit to the legislative reference bureau for publication in the Wisconsin administrative register a notice identifying the date on which (2) (b) 2. and 4. will be implemented.

Sub.



# State of Misconsin 2015 - 2016 LEGISLATURE

LRB-1431/P4 MED:cjs:rs

# PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

AN ACT ...; relating to: the budget.

# Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau EMPLOYMENT

Under federal law, a state may require a claimant to submit to a test for the unlawful use of controlled substances (drug test) as a condition of receiving unemployment insurance (UI) benefits if the claimant: 1) was terminated from employment with his or her most recent employer because of the unlawful use of controlled substances; or 2) is an individual for whom suitable work, as defined under a state's UI law, is only available in an occupation that regularly conducts drug testing, as determined in regulations issued by the United States Secretary of Labor (federal regulations). As of January 27, 2015, final federal regulations have not been issued.

This bill requires DWD to establish a program to require claimants who apply for regular UI benefits to submit to drug tests. The bill requires DWD to determine, when a claimant applies for regular UI benefits, whether the claimant is an individual for whom suitable work is only available in an occupation described in the federal regulations. If DWD determines that the claimant is such an individual, DWD must conduct a screening on the claimant to determine whether the claimant should be required to submit to a drug test. If the screening indicates that the claimant should be required to submit to a drug test, DWD must require the claimant to submit to such a test.

The bill provides that, if the claimant declines to submit to such a test, the claimant is ineligible for UI benefits for 52 weeks or until a subsequent claim for benefits, whichever is later; if the claimant submits to the drug test, but does not test

positive for any controlled substance without a valid prescription, the claimant may receive UI benefits if otherwise eligible and may not be required to submit to any further drug test until a subsequent claim for benefits. If the claimant submits to the drug test and tests positive for one or more controlled substances without a valid prescription, the bill provides that the claimant is ineligible for UI benefits for 52 weeks or until a subsequent claim for benefits, whichever is later, except that following the positive test, the claimant may maintain his or her eligibility for UI benefits by enrolling in a state-sponsored substance abuse treatment program and undergoing a state-sponsored job skills assessment. The claimant remains eligible for benefits for each week the claimant is in full compliance with any requirements of the substance abuse treatment program and job skills assessment.

The bill also requires DWD to promulgate rules to identify occupations for which drug testing is regularly conducted in this state and to apply the above provisions for claimants for whom suitable work is only available in one of the occupations identified by DWD.

In addition, the bill allows an employing unit to voluntarily submit to DWD the results of a drug test that was conducted on an individual as preemployment screening or that an individual declined to submit to such a test. If the results of the test indicate that the individual has tested positive for one or more controlled substances without a valid prescription, or if the individual declined to submit to such a test, the bill provides that there is a presumption, rebuttable as provided in rules promulgated by DWD, that the claimant has failed to accept suitable work when offered. If the presumption is not rebutted, the claimant is ineligible for UI benefits as if the claimant had tested positive in or declined to submit to a drug test conducted by DWD, beginning with the week in which DWD receives the report.

For further information see the *state* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

# The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

Section 1. 20.445 (1) (ak) of the statutes is created to read:

5

- 2 20.445 (1) (ak) Unemployment insurance administration; substance abuse
- 3 treatment. Biennially, the amounts in the schedule to provide substance abuse
- 4 treatment to claimants for unemployment insurance under s. 108.133 (2) (c).

\*\*\*\*NOTE: This Section involves a change in an appropriation that must be reflected in the revised schedule in s. 20,005, stats.

**SECTION 2.** 20.445 (1) (aL) of the statutes is created to read:

20.445 (1) (aL) Unemployment insurance administration; controlled substances testing. Biennially, the amounts in the schedule to conduct testing for controlled substances and for related expenses under s. 108.133, other than providing substance abuse treatment under s. 108.133 (2) (c).

\*\*\*\*NOTE: This Section involves a change in an appropriation that must be reflected in the revised schedule in s. 20.005, stats.

**SECTION 3.** 108.04 (8) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.04 (8) (a) If Except as provided in par. (b), if an employee fails, without good cause, to accept suitable work when offered, the employee is ineligible to receive benefits until the employee earns wages after the week in which the failure occurs equal to at least 6 times the employee's weekly benefit rate under s. 108.05 (1) in employment or other work covered by the unemployment insurance law of any state or the federal government. For purposes of requalification, the employee's weekly benefit rate shall be that rate which would have been paid had the failure not occurred. This paragraph does not preclude an employee from establishing a benefit year during a period in which the employee is ineligible to receive benefits under this paragraph if the employee qualifies to establish a benefit year under s. 108.06 (2) (a). The department shall charge to the fund's balancing account any benefits otherwise chargeable to the account of an employer that is subject to the contribution requirements under ss. 108.17 and 108.18 whenever an employee of that employer fails, without good cause, to accept suitable work offered by that employer.

**SECTION 4.** 108.04 (8) (b) of the statutes is created to read:

108.04 (8) (b) There is a rebuttable presumption that an employee has failed, without good cause, to accept suitable work when offered if the employee declines to submit to a test for the presence of controlled substances in a test conducted on the

employee as preemployment screening or the employee tests positive for one or more
controlled substances in such a test without evidence of a valid prescription, as
evidenced by a report submitted to the department by an employing unit in
accordance with s. 108.133 (4). If the employee declines to submit to such a test, the
employee shall be ineligible for benefits as if the employee had declined to submit to
a test under s. 108.133 (3) (a), beginning with the week in which the department
receives the report. If the employee tests positive in such a test without evidence of
a valid prescription, the employee shall be ineligible for benefits as if the employee
had tested positive under s. 108.133 (3) (c), beginning with the week in which the
department receives the report, except as provided under s. 108.133 (3) (d). The
department shall promulgate rules specifying how a claimant may overcome the
presumption in this paragraph. The department shall charge to the fund's balancing
account any benefits otherwise chargeable to the account of an employer that is
subject to the contribution requirements under ss. 108.17 and 108.18 whenever an
employee of that employer fails, without good cause, to accept suitable work offered
by that employer.

- **Section 5.** 108.133 of the statutes is created to read:
- 18 108.133 Testing for controlled substances. (1) Definitions. In this section:
  - (a) Notwithstanding s. 108.02 (9), "controlled substance" has the meaning given in 21 USC 802.
- 22 (b) "Job skills assessment" means an assessment conducted by the department 23 under sub. (2) (d).

25

1	(c) "Occupation that regularly conducts drug testing" means an occupation
2	identified in the regulations issued by the federal secretary of labor under 42 USC
3	503 (l) (1) (A) (ii).
4	(d) "Screening" means the screening process created by the department under
5	sub. (2) (a) 3.
6	(e) "Substance abuse treatment program" means the program provided by the
7	department under sub. (2) (c).
8	(f) "Valid prescription" means a prescription, as defined in s. 450.01 (19), for a
9	controlled substance for which the supply of the controlled substance indicated by
10	the prescription has not run out.
11	(2) DRUG TESTING PROGRAM. The department shall establish a program to test
12	claimants who apply for regular benefits under this chapter for the presence of
13	controlled substances in accordance with this section and shall, under the program,
14	do all of the following:
15	(a) Promulgate rules to establish the program. The department shall do all of
16	the following in the rules promulgated under this paragraph:
17	1. Establish a process to test claimants for the presence of controlled
18	substances. In establishing the process, the department shall adhere to any
19	applicable federal requirements regarding drug testing.
20	2. Identify the parameters for a substance abuse treatment program for
21	claimants who misuse controlled substances and specify criteria that a claimant
22	must satisfy in order to be considered in full compliance with requirements of the
23	substance abuse treatment program.

3. Create a screening process for determining whether a claimant should be

required to submit to a test for the presence of controlled substances.

promulgated under par. (a) 4.

1	4. Identify the parameters for a job skills assessment for claimants who misuse
2	controlled substances and specify criteria that a claimant must satisfy in order to be
3	considered in full compliance with the requirements of the job skills assessment.
4	(am) Promulgate rules identifying occupations for which drug testing is
5	regularly conducted in this state.
6	(b) When a claimant applies for regular benefits under this chapter, do all of
7	the following:
8	1. Determine whether the claimant is an individual for whom suitable work is
9	only available in an occupation that regularly conducts drug testing.
10	2. Determine whether the claimant is an individual for whom suitable work is
11	only available in an occupation identified in the rules promulgated under par. (am).
12	3. If the claimant is determined by the department under subd. 1. to be an
13	individual for whom suitable work is only available in an occupation that regularly
14	conducts drug testing, conduct a screening on the claimant.
15	4. If the claimant is determined by the department under subd. 2. to be an
16	individual for whom suitable work is only available in an occupation identified in the
17	rules promulgated under par. (am), conduct a screening on the claimant if a
18	screening is not already required under subd. 3.
19	5. If a screening conducted as required under subd. 3. or 4. indicates that the
20	claimant should be required to submit to a test for the presence of controlled
21	substances, require that the claimant submit to such a test.
22	(c) Create and provide a substance abuse treatment program in accordance
23	with the rules promulgated under par. (a) 2.
24	(d) Create and conduct job skills assessments in accordance with the rules

- (3) DRUG TESTING. (a) If a claimant is required under sub. (2) (b) 5. to submit to a test for the presence of controlled substances and the claimant declines to submit to such a test, the claimant is ineligible for benefits under this chapter until 52 weeks after the date of the declining or until the claimant qualifies for benefits in a subsequent benefit year, whichever occurs later.
- (b) If a claimant who is required under sub. (2) (b) 5. to submit to a test for the presence of controlled substances submits to the test and does not test positive for any controlled substance or the claimant presents evidence satisfactory to the department that the claimant possesses a valid prescription for each controlled substance for which the claimant tests positive, the claimant may receive benefits under this chapter if otherwise eligible and may not be required to submit to any further test for the presence of controlled substances until a subsequent benefit year.
- (c) If a claimant who is required under sub. (2) (b) 5. to submit to a test for the presence of controlled substances submits to the test and tests positive for one or more controlled substances without presenting evidence satisfactory to the department that the claimant possesses a valid prescription for each controlled substance for which the claimant tested positive, the claimant is ineligible for benefits under this chapter until 52 weeks after the date of the test or until the claimant qualifies for benefits in a subsequent benefit year, whichever occurs later, except as provided in par. (d).
- (d) A claimant who tests positive for one or more controlled substances without presenting evidence of a valid prescription as described in par. (c) may maintain his or her eligibility for benefits under this chapter by enrolling in the substance abuse treatment program and undergoing a job skills assessment. Such a claimant remains eligible for benefits under this chapter, if otherwise eligible, for each week

- the claimant is in full compliance with any requirements of the substance abuse treatment program and job skills assessment, as determined by the department in accordance with the rules promulgated under sub. (2) (a) 2. and 4.
- (4) PREEMPLOYMENT DRUG TESTING. (a) An employing unit may, in accordance with the rules promulgated by the department under par. (b), voluntarily submit to the department the results of a test for the presence of controlled substances that was conducted on an individual as preemployment screening or notify the department that an individual declined to submit to such a test as a condition of employment, along with information necessary to identify the individual. Upon receipt of any such results of a test conducted and certified in a manner approved by the department or notification that an individual declined to submit to such a test, the department shall determine whether the individual is a claimant receiving benefits. If the individual is a claimant receiving benefits in accordance with rules promulgated by the department under par. (b), use that information for purposes of determining eligibility for benefits under s. 108.04 (8) (b).
  - (b) The department shall promulgate rules necessary to implement par. (a).
- (5) APPLICATION OF THIS SECTION. (a) Notwithstanding subs. (2) (b) 1., 3., and 5., (c), and (d) and (3), subs. (2) (b) 1., 3., and 5., (c), and (d) and (3) do not apply until the rules required under sub. (2) (a) take effect. The department shall submit to the legislative reference bureau for publication in the Wisconsin administrative register a notice identifying the date on which subs. (2) (b) 1., 3., and 5., (c), and (d) and (3) will be implemented.
- (b) Notwithstanding sub. (2) (b) 2. and 4., sub. (2) (b) 2. and 4. do not apply until the rules required under sub. (2) (am) take effect. The department shall submit to the legislative reference bureau for publication in the Wisconsin administrative

register a notice identifying the date on which sub. (2) (b) 2. and 4. will be implemented.

- (c) Notwithstanding sub. (4) (a) and s. 108.04 (8) (b), sub. (4) (a) and s. 108.04 (8) (b) do not apply until the rules required under sub. (4) (b) take effect. The department shall submit to the legislative reference bureau for publication in the Wisconsin administrative register a notice identifying the date on which sub. (4) (a) and s. 108.04 (8) (b) will be implemented.
- (d) The secretary may waive compliance with any provision under this section and s. 108.04 (8) (b) if the secretary determines that waiver of the provision is necessary to permit continued certification of this chapter for grants to this state under Title III of the federal Social Security Act or for maximum credit allowances to employers under the federal Unemployment Tax Act.

SECTION 6. 108.14 (8n) (e) of the statutes is amended to read:

108.14 (8n) (e) The department shall charge this state's share of any benefits paid under this subsection to the account of each employer by which the employee claiming benefits was employed in the applicable base period, in proportion to the total amount of wages he or she earned from each employer in the base period, except that if s. 108.04 (1) (f), (5), (7) (a), (c), (e), (L), (q), (s), or (t), (7m) or (8) (a) or (b) or 108.07 (3), (3r), or (5) (b) would have applied to employment by such an employer who is subject to the contribution requirements of ss. 108.17 and 108.18, the department shall charge the share of benefits based on employment with that employer to the fund's balancing account, or, if s. 108.04 (1) (f) or (5) or 108.07 (3) would have applied to an employer that is not subject to the contribution requirements of ss. 108.17 and 108.18, the department shall charge the share of benefits based on that employment in accordance with s. 108.07 (5) (a) and (b). The department shall also charge the

1	fund's balancing account with any other state's share of such benefits pending
. 2	reimbursement by that state.
3	SECTION 7. 108.141 (7) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:
4	108.141 (7) (a) The department shall charge the state's share of each week of
5	extended benefits to each employer's account in proportion to the employer's share
6	of the total wages of the employee receiving the benefits in the employee's base
7	period, except that if the employer is subject to the contribution requirements of ss.
8	108.17 and 108.18 the department shall charge the share of extended benefits to
9	which s. 108.04 (1) (f), (5), (7) (a), (c), (e), (L), (q), (s), or (t), (7m) or (8) (a) or (b) or
10	108.07 (3), (3r), or (5) (b) applies to the fund's balancing account.
11	SECTION 8. 108.16 (6m) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:
12	108.16 (6m) (a) The benefits thus chargeable under s. 108.04 (1) (f), (5), (5g),
13	(7) (h), (8) (a) or (b), (13) (c) or (d) or (16) (e), 108.07 (3), (3r), (5) (b), (5m), or (6), 108.14
14	(8n) (e), 108.141, 108.151, or 108.152 or sub. (6) (e) or (7) (a) and (b).
15	Section 9151. Nonstatutory provisions; Workforce Development.
16	(1) UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE; DRUG TESTING. The department of workforce
17	development shall present the statements of scope of the rules required under
18	sections 108.04 (8) (b) and 108.133 (2) (a) and (am) and (4) (b) of the statutes, as
19	created by this act, to the governor for approval under section 227.135 (2) of the
20	statutes no later than the 180th day after the effective date of this subsection.