

Kunkel, Mark

From: Kunkel, Mark
Sent: Tuesday, December 30, 2014 4:31 PM
To: 'Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA'
Cc: Hynek, Sara - DOA
Subject: RE: Statutory reports

As described by LFB below, the reporting requirement pertains to program revenue (PR) balances. However, we are repealing all UW PR appropriations.

Sorry for not noticing this sooner, but won't that repeal by itself take care of the problem? There won't be any PR appropriations left, so there will be nothing to report on. Or am I missing something?

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA [<mailto:Nathan.Schwanz@wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, December 30, 2014 4:12 PM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Cc: Hynek, Sara - DOA
Subject: RE: Statutory reports

I think your recommendation on prohibiting JCF from requiring reports on course dropout rates is fine. Regarding the balances, can we prohibit JCF from requiring reports regarding balances generally so we aren't specific yet don't leave the door open for JCF to still require some kind of report on balances?

Nathan

From: Kunkel, Mark [<mailto:Mark.Kunkel@legis.wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, December 30, 2014 3:41 PM
To: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA
Subject: FW: Statutory reports

Nathan:

Looks like we would create s. 13.101 (18) to prohibit JCF from requiring the UW to make the reports.

Regarding the dropout report, I could prohibit JCF from requiring the University of Wisconsin System Authority to submit reports regarding undergraduate course dropout rates. JCF's 1988 motion was a bit more specific, as JCF required annual reports on campuses where dropout rates exceed 5%, as well as on the steps being taken to achieve a maximum 5% dropout rate at those campuses. See the UW's discussion of the motion in the link below. Is a broad prohibition on reports regarding undergraduate course dropout rates okay, or do you want something more specific that tracks the motion as described by the UW?

Regarding auxiliary balances, do you want to prohibit the entire report described below, or do you want to prohibit JCF from requiring a justification from institutions with balances that exceed 12%?

--Mark

From: Kunkel, Mark
Sent: Tuesday, December 30, 2014 2:12 PM
To: 'Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA'
Subject: RE: Statutory reports

Let me ask around to see how we should deal with this issue and I'll get back to you.

For future reference, it looks like the undergrad drop rate report was first required in 1988. See the memo here which refers to a Sept. 1988 JCF 13.10 meeting:
http://legis.wisconsin.gov/lfb/jfc/reports/Documents/2014_08_05_UWS%20undergraduate%20course%20drop%20rate%20s.pdf

As for the auxiliary balances report, the LFB has the following background info, which can be found here:
<http://legis.wisconsin.gov/lfb/publications/budget/2015-17%20Budget/Documents/Agency%20Request/uw%20system.pdf>

"As recommended by the Joint Legislative Audit Committee in April, 2014, and approved by the Joint Committee on Finance in May, 2014, the Board of Regents is required to submit a report to both Committees on the level of program revenue balances by October 15 of each year. This report includes the dollar amount of auxiliary operations balances as of June 30 of the previous fiscal year and those balances as a percentage of total auxiliary operations expenditures in the previous year for each UW institution. If the auxiliary operations balance as a percentage of expenditures exceeds 12%, the institution must justify the balance by reporting the specific projects or initiatives for which the funds are being held, the amount of funds held for each project or initiative, the total amount of program revenue funds the institution plans to accumulate for the project or initiative, the total amount of funds expected to be expended on each project or initiative, and the timeframe in which those funds will be expended. These justifications must be approved by the Board of Regents."

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA [<mailto:Nathan.Schwanz@wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, December 30, 2014 1:11 PM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Subject: RE: Statutory reports

They were reports instituted by the Joint Committee on Finance, that is probably why. Would JCF need to vote to remove these reports?

Nathan

From: Kunkel, Mark [<mailto:Mark.Kunkel@legis.wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, December 30, 2014 1:04 PM
To: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA
Subject: Statutory reports

Nathan:

Your table on statutory reports includes the following references to 13.10 meetings:

- Report on auxiliary balances of more than 12% of expenditures.
- Report on the annual course drop rate of undergraduate students.

I'm having some trouble finding statutory or nonstatutory references for the above. Can you point me in the right direction?

--Mark

Kunkel, Mark

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA <Nathan.Schwanz@wisconsin.gov>
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2015 11:08 AM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Cc: Hynek, Sara - DOA
Subject: RE: Questions regarding UW

Mark,
 Here is additional guidance on the rest of ch. 36.

(8) (9) (10) (13m) (14) (14m) (15)
 (13s) (18) (19) (21) (21m)
 (22) (23) (23m)
 (24) (25)

Statutory Reference	Keep or Remove	Notes
36.23 ✓	Keep	Need to remove the reference to promulgating rules. ✓ (27) (28) (29) (29m)
36.25 ✓	Keep subsections 2, 6, 11, 12, 12m, 13g, and 26. Remove all other subsections. RP (3) (3m) (4) (5) (7)	Reference to promulgating rules in subsections 2, 11 will need to be removed. Employment provisions in subsection 11 may need to be updated. (30) (30s) ↓
36.27 ✓	Keep subsections 1, 2, 3m, 3n, 3p, 3r, 6. Remove all others. RP (2m) (3) (4) (5)	(5)
36.29 ✓	Remove	
36.30 ✓	Remove / Keep per RAC	
36.31 ✓	Keep but remove subsection 3. (3)	
36.32	Keep	
36.33	Remove	
36.335	Remove	
36.34	Remove	
36.35	Remove	
36.36	Remove	
36.37	Remove	
36.39	Remove	
36.395	Remove	
36.40	Remove	
36.43 ✓	Keep	Change reference to rules to policies. ✓
36.44 ✓	Keep subsection 1 remove subsection 2	
36.45 ✓	Remove	
36.46 ✓	Remove	
36.48 ✓	Remove	
36.49 ✓	Remove	
36.51 ✓	Keep	Change reference in (9) from rules to policies. ✓
36.52 ✓	Remove	
36.53 ✓	Remove	
36.54 ✓	Remove	
36.55 ✓	Remove	
36.56 ✓	Remove	
36.58 ✓	Keep RP 36.58 (1)(b)	Remove subsection 5
36.585 ✓	Keep subsections 1, 2, 3, 3m, and 3r. Remove subsection 4.	

36.59 ✓	Remove subsections 1-6, 7m and 8. Keep subsection 7 for GPR funded projects.	
36.60 ✓	Remove	
36.61 ✓	Remove	
36.62 ✓	Remove	Also referenced in 15.917 which can also be removed. ✓
36.63 ✓	Remove	
36.65 ✓	Keep	

Nathan

From: Kunkel, Mark [mailto:Mark.Kunkel@legis.wisconsin.gov]
Sent: Monday, December 29, 2014 4:56 PM
To: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA
Cc: Champagne, Rick - LEGIS; Hanaman, Cathlene - LEGIS; Gary, Aaron - LEGIS
Subject: RE: Questions regarding UW

One issue would be the treatment of UW employees. Currently, we are creating an authority and transferring the employees to the authority. Is that going to be okay?

More generally, we will need guidance on how to deal with the powers and duties of the UW specified in ch. 36. You've given me some guidance on ss. 36.09 to 36.21, but we will need clarification on what to do with the rest of ch. 36.

As for other questions, I'm sure we'll have plenty, but they will depend on what you ultimately decide to do. However, if we think of anything else this week, we'll get in touch.

--Mark

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA [mailto:Nathan.Schwanz@wisconsin.gov]
Sent: Monday, December 29, 2014 1:58 PM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Subject: Questions regarding UW

Hi Mark,

We have a meeting next week with the Gov's office and some staff from the UW. Do you have any questions or topics we should bring up to get direction on? If so, could you send them to me by Friday. I know it's short notice; we just found out today. If you don't have anything for us to bring up, that's fine. Thanks.

Nathan Schwanz
Executive Policy & Budget Analyst
State Budget Office
608-266-2843

Kunkel, Mark

From: Champagne, Rick
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2015 11:46 AM
To: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA
Cc: Kunkel, Mark; Gary, Aaron; Hynek, Sara - DOA; Hanaman, Cathlene
Subject: RE: UWSA

Got it. I will forward to Mark.

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA [<mailto:Nathan.Schwanz@wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2015 11:44 AM
To: Champagne, Rick
Cc: Kunkel, Mark; Gary, Aaron; Hynek, Sara - DOA
Subject: RE: UWSA

Rick,

Can we treat the UW authority similar to UWHCA in 70.119(1)?

RAC already made these changes.

Nathan

From: Champagne, Rick [<mailto:Rick.Champagne@legis.wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Monday, December 22, 2014 2:08 PM
To: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA
Cc: Kunkel, Mark - LEGIS; Gary, Aaron - LEGIS; Hynek, Sara - DOA
Subject: RE: UWSA

Nathan, look at s. 70.119 for a bit. How should we treat US System Authority?

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA [<mailto:Nathan.Schwanz@wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Monday, December 22, 2014 9:44 AM
To: Champagne, Rick
Cc: Kunkel, Mark; Gary, Aaron; Hynek, Sara - DOA
Subject: RE: UWSA

Yup,

s. 20.285(1)(a), (fd), (fj), (u), (w) and s. 20.285(2)(h) should stay.

Nathan

From: Champagne, Rick [<mailto:Rick.Champagne@legis.wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Monday, December 22, 2014 9:24 AM
To: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA
Cc: Kunkel, Mark - LEGIS; Gary, Aaron - LEGIS; Hynek, Sara - DOA
Subject: RE: UWSA

Nathan, can you identify for me all of the appropriations you want to keep? Or the language of the news ones you want created. I feel like I'm guessing.

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA [<mailto:Nathan.Schwanz@wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Monday, December 22, 2014 9:15 AM

To: Champagne, Rick
Cc: Kunkel, Mark; Gary, Aaron; Hynek, Sara - DOA
Subject: RE: UWSA

Rick,

I wanted to give you a heads up on a PR related appropriation for the UW. They are currently required to transfer up to a certain sum to the medical assistance trust fund from their PR block grant.

The Governor wants to make some changes to that and the budget analyst who handles DHS is submitting a separate drafting request to make those requested changes.

While we are removing PR appropriations from chapter 20, this will need to stay in the statutes, probably moved to chapter 36.

Nathan

From: Champagne, Rick [<mailto:Rick.Champagne@legis.wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Friday, December 19, 2014 12:03 PM
To: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA
Cc: Kunkel, Mark - LEGIS; Gary, Aaron - LEGIS
Subject: UWSA

Two more questions:

1. We are going to get rid of all s. 20.285 appropriations and start with clean slate, since it will no longer be a state agency. Something to think about: how will we get money to UW? We could give UW a big grant from a new appropriation that would fund all moneys they will get from state. Open to ideas on this one. Also, we need to think about debt service currently paid from UW revenue streams. Will we handle that debt service in lease arrangements for buildings?
2. Many places in statutes refer to UW System president, certain chancellors, and to the board of regents. Can we keep referring to president and chancellors? Also is governing board of new UW System Authority going to be called a "board of regents"?
- 3.

Kunkel, Mark

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA <Nathan.Schwanz@wisconsin.gov>
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2015 12:07 PM
To: Champagne, Rick
Cc: Kunkel, Mark; Gary, Aaron; Hynek, Sara - DOA
Subject: RE: UW Authority More Questions

Rick,
Here is an update on some items we were originally unsure about.

19.42(13)(b) - Keep
19.42(13)(cm) - Keep

) - RAC already made these changes

Nathan

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA
Sent: Monday, December 22, 2014 3:26 PM
To: Champagne, Rick - LEGIS
Cc: Kunkel, Mark - LEGIS; Gary, Aaron - LEGIS; Hynek, Sara - DOA
Subject: RE: UW Authority More Questions

Here is what we have initially:

15.105 (25m) (b) *Keep*
15.107 (12) (b) h.2. *Keep*
15.137 (2) (a) 5. *Keep*
15.137 (2) (a) 7. *Keep*
15.347 (13) (b) 6. *Keep*
15.57 (1) *Keep*
15.94 (2m) *Keep*
19.42 913) (b) *Not sure*
19.42 (13) (cm) *Not sure*
39.16 91) *Keep*
40.02 (30) *Not sure*
40.285 (2) (c) *Not sure*
46.29 (3) (g) *Keep*
233.02 (1) (c) *Keep*
233.02 (1) (e) *Keep*
233.02 (1) (f) *Keep*

Based on the number of references to President of the UW System and Chancellors, it might be easiest to retain the definitions of those two positions in chapter 36, while still giving the Board the ability to appoint individuals and set the compensation and benefits, etc., for these positions. I think it might be difficult to keep the references to chancellors and president but change it to something that that is vague enough to give the Board the ability to define these titles yet refer to the correct individual. Do you agree with that?

Nathan

From: Champagne, Rick [<mailto:Rick.Champagne@legis.wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Monday, December 22, 2014 2:15 PM
To: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA
Cc: Kunkel, Mark - LEGIS; Gary, Aaron - LEGIS; Hynek, Sara - DOA
Subject: UW Authority More Questions

Nathan, all of these provisions refer either to chancellors or presidents. (These are the ones outside of ch. 36.) What do we do?

- 15.105 (25m) (b)
- 15.107 (12) (b) h.2.
- 15.137 (2) (a) 5.
- 15.137 (2) (a) 7.
- 15.347 (13) (b) 6.
- 15.57 (1)
- 15.94 (2m)
- 19.42 913) (b)
- 19.42 (13) (cm)
- 39.16 91)
- 40.02 (30)
- 40.285 (2) (c)
- 46.29 (3) (g)
- 233.02 (1) (c)
- 233.02 (1) (e)
- 233.02 (1) (f)

Also, how do I handle s. 20.921? I assume I not include UW System Authority, but should I also take out current law provisions that apply to UW hospitals and Clinics Authority too?

Rick

Kunkel, Mark

From: Kunkel, Mark
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2015 3:30 PM
To: Hanaman, Cathlene
Subject: RE:

I've been referring to adopting policies and procedures as a substitute for rule-making. It's wordy, but Mike Gallagher told me that is the approach he is taking with WEDC.

From: Hanaman, Cathlene
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2015 3:24 PM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Subject:

Is the UWSA establishing regulations or is it establishing policies? I need the term.

Kunkel, Mark

From: Kunkel, Mark
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2015 3:51 PM
To: Champagne, Rick
Subject: Cross reference to s. 36.30 in s. 40.05 (4) (bp) 1.

I'm repealing s. 36.30 and don't know what to do with s. 40.05 (4) (bp) 1. Should the entire par. (bp) be repealed?

*Per RAC,
do not
repeal
36.30.
- MOK*

Kunkel, Mark

From: Kunkel, Mark
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2015 4:02 PM
To: Kreye, Joseph
Subject: RE: Statutory reports

I just spoke with Nathan and DOA decided not to address this issue in the draft. Good work!

From: Kreye, Joseph
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2015 3:13 PM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Subject: RE: Statutory reports

Mark,

I'm not in the office right now, but I did call Nathan.

Based on what I told him, they (DOA) are going to "kick it around" a little longer and figure out what they want to do. I outlined the practical/procedural problems with getting joint finance to stop doing something. I told him that the best you may be able to do is create some reasonable conditions for JCF to follow when it comes to requiring these reports and then hope that JCF complies.

We can talk more about it tomorrow if you like.

Joe

From: Kunkel, Mark
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2015 2:08 PM
To: Kreye, Joseph
Subject: FW: Statutory reports

Thanks for your help.

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA [<mailto:Nathan.Schwanz@wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2015 12:51 PM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Cc: Hynek, Sara - DOA
Subject: RE: Statutory reports

Mark,

Would it be possible to outline the process for imposing new reports on the UW as opposed to prohibiting certain reports? Instead of naming the balances report or the course drop rate we could prohibit JCF from requiring reports of the UW without the approval of the legislature. What do you think of that approach?

Nathan

From: Kunkel, Mark [<mailto:Mark.Kunkel@legis.wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2015 11:29 AM
To: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA

Cc: Hynek, Sara - DOA
Subject: RE: Statutory reports

In the Legislative Audit Bureau's (LAB's) report 13-17 (Nov. 2013), LAB explains that the UW System's program revenue balance is the accumulated difference between revenues and expenses. The report lists the following main categories of program revenue: 1) academic fees (i.e., tuition); 2) auxiliary enterprises (such as fees for housing and food service); 3) federal revenue; and 4) gifts. The UW clarifies that auxiliary enterprises are those that furnish goods or services to students, faculty, or staff for a fee related to the cost of the goods or services. See <https://www.wisconsin.edu/financial-administration/accounting-and-budget-control/chart-of-accounts/program-8-auxiliary-enterprises/>

If you are only concerned about auxiliary enterprises, I could prohibit JCF from requiring that the University of Wisconsin System Authority (UWSA) submit to JCF any report or information regarding revenues or expenses for enterprises auxiliary to instruction that provide goods or services to students, faculty, or staff for a fee. Or I could simply refer to "auxiliary enterprises," if you are confident that people will know what that means.

However, if you are concerned about other types of program revenue, not just revenues from auxiliary enterprises, I could prohibit JCF from requiring that the UWSA submit to JCF any report or information regarding non GPR revenues or expenses related to non GPR revenues. Or something like that.

Let me know what you think,

--Mark

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA [<mailto:Nathan.Schwanz@wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2015 9:13 AM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Cc: Hynek, Sara - DOA
Subject: RE: Statutory reports

I think so. My only concern would be that the door would be left open for JCF to try to require the report by changing the language from PR to something else.

Nathan

From: Kunkel, Mark [<mailto:Mark.Kunkel@legis.wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, December 30, 2014 4:31 PM
To: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA
Cc: Hynek, Sara - DOA
Subject: RE: Statutory reports

As described by LFB below, the reporting requirement pertains to program revenue (PR) balances. However, we are repealing all UW PR appropriations.

Sorry for not noticing this sooner, but won't that repeal by itself take care of the problem? There won't be any PR appropriations left, so there will be nothing to report on. Or am I missing something?

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA [<mailto:Nathan.Schwanz@wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, December 30, 2014 4:12 PM
To: Kunkel, Mark

Cc: Hynek, Sara - DOA
Subject: RE: Statutory reports

I think your recommendation on prohibiting JCF from requiring reports on course dropout rates is fine. Regarding the balances, can we prohibit JCF from requiring reports regarding balances generally so we aren't specific yet don't leave the door open for JCF to still require some kind of report on balances?

Nathan

From: Kunkel, Mark [<mailto:Mark.Kunkel@legis.wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, December 30, 2014 3:41 PM
To: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA
Subject: FW: Statutory reports

Nathan:

Looks like we would create s. 13.101 (18) to prohibit JCF from requiring the UW to make the reports.

Regarding the dropout report, I could prohibit JCF from requiring the University of Wisconsin System Authority to submit reports regarding undergraduate course dropout rates. JCF's 1988 motion was a bit more specific, as JCF required annual reports on campuses where dropout rates exceed 5%, as well as on the steps being taken to achieve a maximum 5% dropout rate at those campuses. See the UW's discussion of the motion in the link below. Is a broad prohibition on reports regarding undergraduate course dropout rates okay, or do you want something more specific that tracks the motion as described by the UW?

Regarding auxiliary balances, do you want to prohibit the entire report described below, or do you want to prohibit JCF from requiring a justification from institutions with balances that exceed 12%?

--Mark

From: Kunkel, Mark
Sent: Tuesday, December 30, 2014 2:12 PM
To: 'Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA'
Subject: RE: Statutory reports

Let me ask around to see how we should deal with this issue and I'll get back to you.

For future reference, it looks like the undergrad drop rate report was first required in 1988. See the memo here which refers to a Sept. 1988 JCF 13.10 meeting:
http://legis.wisconsin.gov/lfb/jfc/reports/Documents/2014_08_05_UWS%20undergraduate%20course%20drop%20rate%20s.pdf

As for the auxiliary balances report, the LFB has the following background info, which can be found here:
<http://legis.wisconsin.gov/lfb/publications/budget/2015-17%20Budget/Documents/Agency%20Request/uw%20system.pdf>

"As recommended by the Joint Legislative Audit Committee in April, 2014, and approved

by the Joint Committee on Finance in May, 2014, the Board of Regents is required to submit a report to both Committees on the level of program revenue balances by October 15 of each year. This report includes the dollar amount of auxiliary operations balances as of June 30 of the previous fiscal year and those balances as a percentage of total auxiliary operations expenditures in the previous year for each UW institution. If the auxiliary operations balance as a percentage of expenditures exceeds 12%, the institution must justify the balance by reporting the specific projects or initiatives for which the funds are being held, the amount of funds held for each project or initiative, the total amount of program revenue funds the institution plans to accumulate for the project or initiative, the total amount of funds expected to be expended on each project or initiative, and the timeframe in which those funds will be expended. These justifications must be approved by the Board of Regents."

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA [<mailto:Nathan.Schwanz@wisconsin.gov>]

Sent: Tuesday, December 30, 2014 1:11 PM

To: Kunkel, Mark

Subject: RE: Statutory reports

They were reports instituted by the Joint Committee on Finance, that is probably why. Would JCF need to vote to remove these reports?

Nathan

From: Kunkel, Mark [<mailto:Mark.Kunkel@legis.wisconsin.gov>]

Sent: Tuesday, December 30, 2014 1:04 PM

To: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA

Subject: Statutory reports

Nathan:

Your table on statutory reports includes the following references to 13.10 meetings:

- Report on auxiliary balances of more than 12% of expenditures.
- Report on the annual course drop rate of undergraduate students.

I'm having some trouble finding statutory or nonstatutory references for the above. Can you point me in the right direction?

--Mark

Kunkel, Mark

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA <Nathan.Schwanz@wisconsin.gov>
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2015 4:54 PM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Cc: Hynek, Sara - DOA
Subject: UW Workers' Compensation Claims

Mark,

UW System Workers' Compensation claims are paid out of a single sum sufficient appropriation that is with DOA (20.505(2)(k)). As part of making UW an authority, we will need to make sure the UW is responsible for any claims that are paid out to a UW employee.

Let me know if you have any questions about this.

Nathan Schwanz
Executive Policy & Budget Analyst
State Budget Office
608-266-2843

Kunkel, Mark

From: Kunkel, Mark
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2015 7:15 PM
To: 'Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA'
Cc: Gary, Aaron
Subject: RE: Boards attached to the UW System

Regarding 36.58 (5), don't worry about it, as it is repealed effective July 1, 2015 under current law.

From: Kunkel, Mark
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2015 4:52 PM
To: 'Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA'
Cc: Gary, Aaron
Subject: Boards attached to the UW System

Nathan:

I need some guidance on what to do with the veterinary diagnostic laboratory board (VDLB) and the laboratory of hygiene board (LHB). Both are attached to the UW System under s. 15.915 (1) and (2). Section 36.58 sets out duties for the VDLB and s. 36.25 (11) sets out duties for the LHB. Those duties include developing biennial budget requests and treatment of employees. See, e.g., s. 36.25 (11) (e) and (em) (classified service and LTEs for LHB employees) and s. 36.58 (5) (VDLB classified service employees). Also, the SLH has the power to promulgate rules.

Because the UW will become an authority, which is not a state agency, it is not appropriate to continue to attach the VDLB and LHB to the authority. Also, will the VDLB and LHB continue to be state agencies? Note that current law requires the board of regents to appoint the director of the laboratory of hygiene. If the LHB continues to be a state agency, who should appoint the lab director?

As for the environmental education board and rural health development council, which are also attached to the UW System, I assume you want to eliminate them, as you want to repeal ss. 36.54 and 36.62.

--Mark

Kunkel, Mark

From: Kunkel, Mark
Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 8:23 AM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Subject: FW: Statutory reports

Per phone call with Nathan yesterday, do not include anything about the JCF-required reports. Rationale is that those requirements will not apply to the new entity, the UWSA.

From: Kreye, Joseph
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2015 3:13 PM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Subject: RE: Statutory reports

Mark,

I'm not in the office right now, but I did call Nathan.

Based on what I told him, they (DOA) are going to "kick it around" a little longer and figure out what they want to do. I outlined the practical/procedural problems with getting joint finance to stop doing something. I told him that the best you may be able to do is create some reasonable conditions for JCF to follow when it comes to requiring these reports and then hope that JCF complies.

We can talk more about it tomorrow if you like.

Joe

From: Kunkel, Mark
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2015 2:08 PM
To: Kreye, Joseph
Subject: FW: Statutory reports

Thanks for your help.

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA [<mailto:Nathan.Schwanz@wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2015 12:51 PM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Cc: Hynek, Sara - DOA
Subject: RE: Statutory reports

Mark,

Would it be possible to outline the process for imposing new reports on the UW as opposed to prohibiting certain reports? Instead of naming the balances report or the course drop rate we could prohibit JCF from requiring reports of the UW without the approval of the legislature. What do you think of that approach?

Nathan

From: Kunkel, Mark [<mailto:Mark.Kunkel@legis.wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2015 11:29 AM
To: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA

Cc: Hynek, Sara - DOA
Subject: RE: Statutory reports

In the Legislative Audit Bureau's (LAB's) report 13-17 (Nov. 2013), LAB explains that the UW System's program revenue balance is the accumulated difference between revenues and expenses. The report lists the following main categories of program revenue: 1) academic fees (i.e., tuition); 2) auxiliary enterprises (such as fees for housing and food service); 3) federal revenue; and 4) gifts. The UW clarifies that auxiliary enterprises are those that furnish goods or services to students, faculty, or staff for a fee related to the cost of the goods or services. See <https://www.wisconsin.edu/financial-administration/accounting-and-budget-control/chart-of-accounts/program-8-auxiliary-enterprises/>

If you are only concerned about auxiliary enterprises, I could prohibit JCF from requiring that the University of Wisconsin System Authority (UWSA) submit to JCF any report or information regarding revenues or expenses for enterprises auxiliary to instruction that provide goods or services to students, faculty, or staff for a fee. Or I could simply refer to "auxiliary enterprises," if you are confident that people will know what that means.

However, if you are concerned about other types of program revenue, not just revenues from auxiliary enterprises, I could prohibit JCF from requiring that the UWSA submit to JCF any report or information regarding non GPR revenues or expenses related to non GPR revenues. Or something like that.

Let me know what you think,

--Mark

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA [<mailto:Nathan.Schwanz@wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2015 9:13 AM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Cc: Hynek, Sara - DOA
Subject: RE: Statutory reports

I think so. My only concern would be that the door would be left open for JCF to try to require the report by changing the language from PR to something else.

Nathan

From: Kunkel, Mark [<mailto:Mark.Kunkel@legis.wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, December 30, 2014 4:31 PM
To: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA
Cc: Hynek, Sara - DOA
Subject: RE: Statutory reports

As described by LFB below, the reporting requirement pertains to program revenue (PR) balances. However, we are repealing all UW PR appropriations.

Sorry for not noticing this sooner, but won't that repeal by itself take care of the problem? There won't be any PR appropriations left, so there will be nothing to report on. Or am I missing something?

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA [<mailto:Nathan.Schwanz@wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, December 30, 2014 4:12 PM
To: Kunkel, Mark

Cc: Hynek, Sara - DOA
Subject: RE: Statutory reports

I think your recommendation on prohibiting JCF from requiring reports on course dropout rates is fine. Regarding the balances, can we prohibit JCF from requiring reports regarding balances generally so we aren't specific yet don't leave the door open for JCF to still require some kind of report on balances?

Nathan

From: Kunkel, Mark [<mailto:Mark.Kunkel@legis.wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, December 30, 2014 3:41 PM
To: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA
Subject: FW: Statutory reports

Nathan:

Looks like we would create s. 13.101 (18) to prohibit JCF from requiring the UW to make the reports.

Regarding the dropout report, I could prohibit JCF from requiring the University of Wisconsin System Authority to submit reports regarding undergraduate course dropout rates. JCF's 1988 motion was a bit more specific, as JCF required annual reports on campuses where dropout rates exceed 5%, as well as on the steps being taken to achieve a maximum 5% dropout rate at those campuses. See the UW's discussion of the motion in the link below. Is a broad prohibition on reports regarding undergraduate course dropout rates okay, or do you want something more specific that tracks the motion as described by the UW?

Regarding auxiliary balances, do you want to prohibit the entire report described below, or do you want to prohibit JCF from requiring a justification from institutions with balances that exceed 12%?

--Mark

From: Kunkel, Mark
Sent: Tuesday, December 30, 2014 2:12 PM
To: 'Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA'
Subject: RE: Statutory reports

Let me ask around to see how we should deal with this issue and I'll get back to you.

For future reference, it looks like the undergrad drop rate report was first required in 1988. See the memo here which refers to a Sept. 1988 JCF 13.10 meeting:
http://legis.wisconsin.gov/lfb/jfc/reports/Documents/2014_08_05_UWS%20undergraduate%20course%20drop%20rates.pdf

As for the auxiliary balances report, the LFB has the following background info, which can be found here:
<http://legis.wisconsin.gov/lfb/publications/budget/2015-17%20Budget/Documents/Agency%20Request/uw%20system.pdf>

"As recommended by the Joint Legislative Audit Committee in April, 2014, and approved

by the Joint Committee on Finance in May, 2014, the Board of Regents is required to submit a report to both Committees on the level of program revenue balances by October 15 of each year. This report includes the dollar amount of auxiliary operations balances as of June 30 of the previous fiscal year and those balances as a percentage of total auxiliary operations expenditures in the previous year for each UW institution. If the auxiliary operations balance as a percentage of expenditures exceeds 12%, the institution must justify the balance by reporting the specific projects or initiatives for which the funds are being held, the amount of funds held for each project or initiative, the total amount of program revenue funds the institution plans to accumulate for the project or initiative, the total amount of funds expected to be expended on each project or initiative, and the timeframe in which those funds will be expended. These justifications must be approved by the Board of Regents.”

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA [<mailto:Nathan.Schwanz@wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, December 30, 2014 1:11 PM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Subject: RE: Statutory reports

They were reports instituted by the Joint Committee on Finance, that is probably why. Would JCF need to vote to remove these reports?

Nathan

From: Kunkel, Mark [<mailto:Mark.Kunkel@legis.wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, December 30, 2014 1:04 PM
To: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA
Subject: Statutory reports

Nathan:

Your table on statutory reports includes the following references to 13.10 meetings:

- Report on auxiliary balances of more than 12% of expenditures.
- Report on the annual course drop rate of undergraduate students.

I'm having some trouble finding statutory or nonstatutory references for the above. Can you point me in the right direction?

--Mark

Kunkel, Mark

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA <Nathan.Schwanz@wisconsin.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 8:53 AM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Cc: Gary, Aaron
Subject: RE: Boards attached to the UW System

Mark,

We have a meeting with the Governor's office tomorrow and will try to get guidance on the attached boards.

As for the environmental education board and rural health development council, you are correct, eliminate them.

Nathan

From: Kunkel, Mark [mailto:Mark.Kunkel@legis.wisconsin.gov]
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2015 4:52 PM
To: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA
Cc: Gary, Aaron - LEGIS
Subject: Boards attached to the UW System

Nathan:

I need some guidance on what to do with the veterinary diagnostic laboratory board (VDLB) and the laboratory of hygiene board (LHB). Both are attached to the UW System under s. 15.915 (1) and (2). Section 36.58 sets out duties for the VDLB and s. 36.25 (11) sets out duties for the LHB. Those duties include developing biennial budget requests and treatment of employees. See, e.g., s. 36.25 (11) (e) and (em) (classified service and LTEs for LHB employees) and s. 36.58 (5) (VDLB classified service employees). Also, the SLH has the power to promulgate rules.

Because the UW will become an authority, which is not a state agency, it is not appropriate to continue to attach the VDLB and LHB to the authority. Also, will the VDLB and LHB continue to be state agencies? Note that current law requires the board of regents to appoint the director of the laboratory of hygiene. If the LHB continues to be a state agency, who should appoint the lab director?

As for the environmental education board and rural health development council, which are also attached to the UW System, I assume you want to eliminate them, as you want to repeal ss. 36.54 and 36.62.

--Mark

Kunkel, Mark

From: Kunkel, Mark
Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 9:31 AM
To: Malaise, Gordon
Cc: Hanaman, Cathlene
Subject: FW: UW Workers' Compensation Claims

Gordon, here's the issue. We are converting the UW System to an authority. As a result, the authority, instead of the state, will be responsible for paying any worker comp claims made against the authority. Also, DOA's appropriation that covers payment of state worker comp claims (s. 20.505 (2) (k)) will no longer apply to the authority.

DOA wants to make sure that the authority is responsible for worker comp claims of the authority's employees. Do we need to include any language that ensures that result? Or is no language necessary to ensure that result?

--Mark

From: Kunkel, Mark
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2015 8:29 PM
To: Malaise, Gordon
Subject: FW: UW Workers' Compensation Claims

Gordon, I need help on a small piece in a big UW restructuring budget draft. I need to get the draft in editing by the end of the day Tues. Can you help me with the issue below? Maybe I can talk to you tomorrow.

--Mark

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA [<mailto:Nathan.Schwanz@wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2015 4:54 PM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Cc: Hynek, Sara - DOA
Subject: UW Workers' Compensation Claims

Mark,
UW System Workers' Compensation claims are paid out of a single sum sufficient appropriation that is with DOA (20.505(2)(k)). As part of making UW an authority, we will need to make sure the UW is responsible for any claims that are paid out to a UW employee.

Let me know if you have any questions about this.

Nathan Schwanz
Executive Policy & Budget Analyst
State Budget Office
608-266-2843

Kunkel, Mark

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA <Nathan.Schwanz@wisconsin.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 12:52 PM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Subject: RE: UW Workers' Compensation Claims

If the DOA appropriation does not apply is there anything we need to do to ensure they continue to make the payments? Do we need to create a separate appropriation?

Nathan

From: Kunkel, Mark [mailto:Mark.Kunkel@legis.wisconsin.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 12:44 PM
To: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA
Subject: RE: UW Workers' Compensation Claims

I spoke with our worker's comp drafting attorney, Gordon Malaise, and there is no need to specify that the authority will be responsible for workers comp claims, as the workers comp requirements apply to employers, and the authority will qualify as an employer. Also, under the changes we are making, the DOA appropriation below will not apply to the authority.

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA [mailto:Nathan.Schwanz@wisconsin.gov]
Sent: Monday, January 05, 2015 4:54 PM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Cc: Hynek, Sara - DOA
Subject: UW Workers' Compensation Claims

Mark,

UW System Workers' Compensation claims are paid out of a single sum sufficient appropriation that is with DOA (20.505(2)(k)). As part of making UW an authority, we will need to make sure the UW is responsible for any claims that are paid out to a UW employee.

Let me know if you have any questions about this.

Nathan Schwanz
Executive Policy & Budget Analyst
State Budget Office
608-266-2843

Kunkel, Mark

From: Kunkel, Mark
Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 2:46 PM
To: 'Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA'
Cc: Malaise, Gordon
Subject: RE: UW Workers' Compensation Claims

Yes, that is correct. The UW authority will be an employer as defined in s. 102.04.

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA [<mailto:Nathan.Schwanz@wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 1:59 PM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Subject: RE: UW Workers' Compensation Claims

You're right, we want to make the UW authority responsible for the claims. Sorry for the confusion.

To make sure I have this correct, we don't need to do anything in the statutes to specify that the UW will be responsible for worker's comp claims?

Nathan

From: Kunkel, Mark [<mailto:Mark.Kunkel@legis.wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 1:05 PM
To: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA
Subject: RE: UW Workers' Compensation Claims

You want to appropriate money to the UW authority to pay workers comp claims? I thought you didn't want to do that, but instead make the UW authority responsible for the claims.

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA [<mailto:Nathan.Schwanz@wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 12:52 PM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Subject: RE: UW Workers' Compensation Claims

If the DOA appropriation does not apply is there anything we need to do to ensure they continue to make the payments? Do we need to create a separate appropriation?

Nathan

From: Kunkel, Mark [<mailto:Mark.Kunkel@legis.wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, January 06, 2015 12:44 PM
To: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA
Subject: RE: UW Workers' Compensation Claims

I spoke with our worker's comp drafting attorney, Gordon Malaise, and there is no need to specify that the authority will be responsible for workers comp claims, as the workers comp requirements apply to employers, and the authority will qualify as an employer. Also, under the changes we are making, the DOA appropriation below will not apply to the authority.

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA [<mailto:Nathan.Schwanz@wisconsin.gov>]

Sent: Monday, January 05, 2015 4:54 PM

To: Kunkel, Mark

Cc: Hynek, Sara - DOA

Subject: UW Workers' Compensation Claims

Mark,

UW System Workers' Compensation claims are paid out of a single sum sufficient appropriation that is with DOA (20.505(2)(k)). As part of making UW an authority, we will need to make sure the UW is responsible for any claims that are paid out to a UW employee.

Let me know if you have any questions about this.

Nathan Schwanz

Executive Policy & Budget Analyst

State Budget Office

608-266-2843

UW instructions

NES = Nathan E. Schwanz

Governance	
NES 12-16 email attachment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Keep Board at the same size with staggered terms. ☐ Governor will continue to appoint Regents. • All new Regents will be appointed when the authority begins. o Will determine internal governance structure. o Shared governance language will be removed from the statutes.
HR/Personnel	
NES 12-16 email attachment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Board will create and administer its own HR system and structure.³ ☐ May continue to participate in ETF and WRS.³ ☐ Will set salary ranges and may award any type of salary increases.¹ ☐ Removed from the state's compensation reserve.¹ ☐ No "automatic" adjustments for full funding in budget.
NES 12-22 email	Take ... UW employees out of SELRA completely (I am told that is how employees for the UW Hospitals Authority were handled).
NES 1-5 email	UWSA responsibility for workers comp claims
Chancellors and presidents	
NES 12-22 email	<p>Based on the number of references to President of the UW System and Chancellors, it might be easiest to retain the definitions of those two positions in chapter 36, while still giving the Board the ability to appoint individuals and set the compensation and benefits, etc., for these positions. I think it might be difficult to keep the references to chancellors and president but change it to something that that is vague enough to give the Board the ability to define these titles yet refer to the correct individual. Do you agree with that? [RAC agrees in 12-22 email]</p> <p>Here is what we have initially:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 15.105 (25m) (b) Keep 15.107 (12) (b) h.2. Keep 15.137 (2) (a) 5. Keep 15.137 (2) (a) 7. Keep 15.347 (13) (b) 6. Keep 15.57 (1) Keep 15.94 (2m) Keep 19.42 913) (b) Not sure 19.42 (13) (cm) Not sure 39.16 91) Keep 40.02 (30) -Not sure 40.285 (2) (c) Not sure 46.29 (3) (g) Keep 233.02 (1) (c) Keep 233.02 (1) (e) Keep 233.02 (1) (f) Keep
NES 1-5 email	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 19.42(13)(b) – Keep 19.42(13)(cm) - Keep

Appropriations	
NES 12-16 email attachment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Continue GPR block grant appropriated directly to the Board. ☐ Similar to s. 20.192(1)(a) ☐ Release GPR to the Board in quarterly payments. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Require the Board to make lease and pension obligation bond quarterly payments to the state prior to receiving any GPR. o Remove all SEG appropriations. o The Board may use GPR however it chooses. ☐ After each FY, the Board will report, to JCF, how they spent GPR.
NES 12-17 email	<p>Rick,</p> <p>I wanted to give you a heads up on a PR related appropriation for the UW. They are currently required to transfer up to a certain sum to the medical assistance trust fund from their PR block grant.</p> <p>The Governor wants to make some changes to that and the budget analyst who handles DHS is submitting a separate drafting request to make those requested changes.</p> <p>While we are removing PR appropriations from chapter 20, this will need to stay in the statutes, probably moved to chapter 36.</p> <p>Nathan</p>
NES 12-17 email	<p>Regarding capital projects, as an authority, they won't get any GPR to finance projects. Additionally, we will be removing all SEG appropriations (I should have reflected this in the outline).</p> <p>Our intent is that they will be on their own to finance and pay for capital projects with revenues from tuition, fees and gifts/grants. Currently those revenues are PR funds. As an authority we will not be setting their expenditure authorities for these revenues in the budget, so I am not sure what to call them, but that is what I meant by PR in the outline.</p> <p>Does that make sense?</p>
NES 12-19 email	<p>Rick,</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. We would like the appropriation to be set up similar to the WEDC appropriation. In practice, the UW authority will receive quarterly payments from the state, assuming the authority is on-time in making the required lease and pension obligation bond payments to the state. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. We would like to address outstanding bonds issued by the state (whether they are GPR or PR supported) in a manner similar to how s. 233.04(7) addresses the issue for the UWHCA. The authority must enter a lease agreement which requires a provision that the authority make payments to the state equaling the debt service on all outstanding bonds issued by the state for UW facilities. 2. The statutes will only define the Board of Regents (yes, name can remain the same) and the Board appointed CEO, so references to other positions will be removed (other than the Board's authority to develop and implement a personnel structure and employ any agent, employee or advisor and fix their compensation and benefits).
NES 12-22 email	s. 20.285(1)(a), (fd), (fj), (u), (w) and s. 20.285(2)(h) should stay.
State property, capital planning and building	

NES 12-16 email attachment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Lease state property and buildings currently used by the Board to the Board through a lease agreement. ☑ Lease agreement will require the Board to pay the state for any outstanding GPR debt obligations related to UW properties. • Modeled after the UWHCA statutory requirement for a lease agreement.
NES 12-16 email attachment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Give the Board full autonomy for projects funded with PR, SEG or cash.3 ☑ Will have the authority to bond for these projects. ☑ Cannot use GPR to finance capital projects as an authority. o Transfer responsibility for leasing space from DOA to the Board.1
NES 12-22 email	<p>Rick, Yes to both items. Take UWSA entirely out of the Building Commission and DOA control and take UW employees out of SELRA completely (I am told that is how employees for the UW Hospitals Authority were handled).</p> <p>On a related note to the building commission, the section requiring a lease agreement between the state and UWSA will need a provision similar to s. 233.04(7)(d). There should be a requirement for UWSA to get building commission approval for certain larger projects involving state owned buildings/properties leased to UWSA. We like how this provision for UWHCA in ch. 233 is broad and allows the lease agreement to define the specifics.</p> <p>Nathan</p>
RAC 12-29 email	Re 36.33: I guess I would take it from Building Commission and DOA approval and see what they think. So strike references to s. 13.48 (14)(am) and 16.848 (1) and delete sub (5) entirely.
Procurement	
NES 12-16 email attachment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Exempt from Chapter 16 procurement restrictions.3 ☑ The Board will establish purchasing rules and standards.
Purpose and mission	
NES 12-30 email attachement	See changes to s. 36.01
Board of Regents powers and duties	
NES 12-18 email	<p>Mark, We don't have direction from the Governor's office on every aspect of Ch. 36 and, of course, things could change as we progress on this, but I will attempt to provide you direction on some of the questions you raised.</p> <p>First, s. 36.11 and its subsections. It is easier to start with what we would like to keep instead of listing everything that should be removed. The subsections that should initially be kept are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (1) Protection of people; custody and management of property o References to promulgating rules under ch. 227 can be removed, per your question regarding rulemaking. o In paragraph (b), the requirements to obtain the building commission's purchase property and DOA's approval of leases can be removed. o Paragraph (e) can be removed. • (2) Police Authority

- (3) Admission of applicants
- o Paragraph (d), defining application fees, should be removed.
- (4) Injunctive relief
- (5) Insurance
- (7) Confer Degrees
- (10) University Fund
- (16) Commencement of Fall Semester
- (19) Furnishing of services to school districts
- (28), (28m) and (29) UWHCA
- o In (29), the reference to ch. 16 in the last sentence may need to be removed since we will be exempting the authority from ch. 16.
- (47) Armed Forces
- o May be a good opportunity to clarify this subsection pursuant to your email to Sara dated 11/14/14 using Act 470.
- (47m) Service members; Priority registration
- (50) Reserve Officer Training Corps
- (52) Midwestern Higher Education Compact dues
- (55m) Classified Research
- o In paragraph (e), remove the phrase “, in consultation with the faculty,”
- (56) Travel policies
- o Could remove the effective date?

Additionally, s. 36.11, the powers of the Board, should include a clause stating the Board has the powers necessary to carry out its responsibilities and mission and purpose as outlined earlier in the chapter.

We agree with your thoughts on the rulemaking authority.

We would like to keep in place the tuition remission requirements.

You are correct, remove ss. 36.13 to 36.21.

s. 36.09 (2) and (3) should be removed. Those definitions should be up to the Board as part of determining the authority's governance structure and internal operations. Accordingly, there isn't a reason to include definitions for chancellor and president as currently outlined in s. 36.05 (5) and (10). The Board, as part of its creation and organization, should be directed to appoint a chief executive officer, similar to UWHCA in s. 233.02(9).

The responsibilities of the Board, s. 36.09, will direct the Board broadly to meet the statement of mission and purpose in s. 36.01. These responsibilities should include the establishment of a system(s) of governance, a personnel system(s) and related policies (ability to employ and set compensation and benefits) and other policies and activities the Board finds necessary to meet its purpose and mission and to provide affordable access to a high-quality post-secondary, graduate and doctoral education. As I mentioned on the phone earlier this week, these responsibilities should give direction to the Board as an authority, yet allow it the freedom to meet the mission and purpose without constraints from the state. s. 233.03, the powers of the UWHC authority, provide a good example of how we would like to structure the powers of the UW authority and Board of Regents.

Lastly, we would like to add to the statement of purpose and mission an piece about meeting the needs of the state's workforce, or something to that effect. This may fit well in s. 36.01(2) in the first

	<p>line after human resources: "...human resources to meet the state's workforce needs,..." Of course, we are open to suggestions if you have a better take or idea on that.</p>
<p>NES 12-30 email attachement</p>	<p>Responsibilities (36.09)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Board of Regents (1) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Retain <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ☐ Primary governance over the system (a). ☐ Requiring missions for each institution (b). ☐ Establish or discontinue educational programs (c). ☐ Establish policies to ensure programs are compatible with missions (d). ☐ Requires the distribution of funds to institutions (h). o Add: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ☐ The make-up of the Board (TBD). ☐ Ability to develop and implement a personnel structure and other employment policies. ☐ Contract for legal services. ☐ Establish an annual budget and monitor the fiscal management. ☐ Procure liability insurance. ☐ Enter into a lease agreement with the state for the use of facilities and property owned by the state. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will require the lease payments to be the amount of outstanding debt service from bonds issued for the facilities and property owned by the state. • State will have ownership of any improvements made. • Initial agreement and subsequent changes will need JCF approval. ☐ Provide the Secretary of DOA with financial and statistical information requested by the Secretary. o Remove: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ☐ Requirement to work with WEDC to accomplish certain tasks for economic development programs (am). ☐ Requirement to appoint the various officers of the system (e). ☐ Allows the delegation of authorities to chancellors (f). ☐ Prohibits the creation of graduate, professional or post-baccalaureate colleges or schools without the legislature's approval (gm). ☐ Adopt policies to account for GPR and other revenues (hm). ☐ Work with OSER to classify positions (i). ☐ Establish salaries for unclassified staff and other personnel matters (j). ☐ Correct pay inequities based on gender and race (k). ☐ Limits ability to sell and lease property (L). ☐ Definitions and roles of the President (2), the Chancellors (3), Faculty (4), Academic Staff (4m) and Students (5).
<p>NES 12-30 email attachement</p>	<p>Powers of the Board (36.11)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Keep: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Ability to hold and manage property (1). ☐ Ability to promulgate rules under ch. 227 will be removed. ☐ Will be exempt from seeking the Building Commission and DOA's approvals for capital projects and leases. o Establish admission requirements and standards (3). ☐ Application fee requirements will be removed. o Seek injunctive relief (4). o May procure liability and other insurance (5).

- o May confer degrees (7).
- o Allows for the expenditure of funds from the University fund (10).
- o Enter agreements with the UWHCA (28, 28m and 29).
- Add:
- o The Board will have any power necessary to carry out its mission and purpose.
- o May adopt bylaws and policies and procedures.
- o Sue and be sued.
- o Negotiate and enter leases.
- o Accept gifts, grants, bequests, loans and financial assistance.
- o Procure insurance on its debt.
- o Employ anyone they see fit and fix their compensation and benefits.
- o Appoint advisory committees.
- o Enter into procurement contracts as necessary.
- o Issue bonds.
- o Seek financing from WHEFA.
- o Construct or improve facilities on state-owned land without Building Commission review.
- o Acquire, design, construct or improve any facility not on state-owned land.
- o Buy, sell and lease real estate.
- Remove:
- o Police authority (2).
- ☐ Will be included in s. 175.42 with Marquette University.
- o May establish financial aid programs (6).
- o May make parking and related rules, levy parking fees and must develop a transportation plan (8, 8e, and 8m).
- o May acquire property through eminent domain (9).
- o Allows for the investment of surplus funds from an appropriation that no longer exists (11).
- o May participate in a book sharing agreement with other universities (12).
- o Requires auxiliary reserves accumulated prior to the merger to be used for their original purpose (13).
- o Allows for tax deferred annuities and participation in the American football coaches retirement trust (15 and 15m).
- o Establishes rules for sabbatical leave (17).
- o Permits membership in the Midwest Technology Development Institute (18).
- o May provide services to K-12 school districts (19).
- o Prohibits the use of controlled substances at campuses (21).
- o Requires an orientation program and information to be provided on sexual assault and harassment (22).
- o Requires DOA to provide two staff for the Board (23).
- o Requires the Board to have 2 FTE dedicated to compliance with environmental laws (23m).
- o Requires the retention of administrative documents (24).
- o Requires the promotion of the training of health professionals (25).
- o Places building projects under the review of the Building Commission (26).
- o Prohibits aid being given to anyone who does not register for the Selective Service (27).
- o Establishes reports for economic development assistance programs (29r).
- o Must cooperatively research preschool through postsecondary programs (31).
- o Must create a nursing program at UWSP (32).
- o Creates report on management and staff positions systemwide (33).
- o Must create an aquaculture demonstration facility (36).
- o Must conduct research on K-12 school safety (36m).
- o Must offers programs to educate local government officials on local planning (37).

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Establishes the Gaylord Nelson Chair of Integrated Environmental Studies (39). o Establishing a Center for Cooperatives at UW Madison (40). o Requires joint programming between UWGB and the Oneida Tribe (43). o Requires UW Milwaukee to conduct an economic development study of the Fond du Lac Ave corridor in Milwaukee (46). o Requires UW Madison to report to DOA on utility charges (48). o Prohibits GPR from being used for automobile allowances (51). o Requires the establishment of bidding procedures and policies and other building requirements (53 and 53m). o Establishes another employee report (54). o Requires the review of certain contracts (55). o Requires GPR to be distributed to institutions as block grants (57). • Create new sections for: o Sets the date for the commencement of the fall semester (16). o Provides for immediate re-enrollment for members of the armed forces (47). o Give priority registration to service members (47m). o Prohibits GPR being given to institutions that prohibits ROTC from operating on its campus (50). o Requires membership dues be paid to the Midwestern Higher Education Compact (52). o Establishes criteria to follow when accepting classified research (55m). ☐ Remove requirement for consulting the faculty. o Requires the establishment of travel policies (56).
NES 1-5 email	See table for other changes to ch. 36
Tuition	
NES 12-16 email attachment	o Board will have full autonomy to set all tuition rates beginning July 1, 2016.3
NES 12-16 email attachment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • WI-MN Tuition Reciprocity o Transfer responsibility for administering student reciprocity to the Board. ☐ May continue the program, at their cost, or end it
Police power	
NES 12-29 email	<p>Regarding police power, we would like to approach it similar to how 2013 SB 610 set up police authority for Marquette University. We think expanding the existing statutes (s. 175.42) to include UW System institutions makes the most sense. Instead of entering an agreement with the City of Milwaukee, each institution would enter an agreement with the city in which it is located.</p> <p>We will ask the UW System if they have any feedback on this particular item and will let you know if they do. In the meantime, we would like to set up UW institutions similar to Marquette University to address the issue of police power.</p>
Statutory reports	
NES 12-16 email	See table attached to email
NES 12-30 email	Also eliminate s. 36.45 (4)

MDK 1-6 email and prior emails	Do nothing on JCF-required reports.
Other	
NES 12-16 email attachment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="radio"/> May opt in or out of the state's risk management program with 6 months' notice.3 <input type="radio"/> May utilize DOJ for litigation and advice in specialized areas.3 <input type="radio"/> Continue to exempt UW System from property and sales taxes.3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grandfather in current property tax exemptions. • Future property acquisitions will be subject to property taxes or a payment in lieu of taxes (PILT). <input checked="" type="radio"/> Open Meetings and Public Records Laws will continue to apply, except for proprietary research records and intellectual property.3 <input type="radio"/> Require the Board to deposit all moneys received from any source except gifts, grants and donations, into the local government investment pool (stabilizes state cash flow).3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Allow the Board to keep the interest earned on these funds. <input type="radio"/> LAB will have the ability to audit any part of the authority at any time. - CMI <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Require LAB to conduct annual audits. - CMI <input type="radio"/> The Board will need to have its own bank account. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Revenues and expenses of authorities take place outside the state treasury. <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 50px;">MPC June amburgo locals GG MES not rely?</p>
NES 1-5 email	Treat UWSA like UWHCA in 70.119
Effective date	
NES 12-17 email	Mark, I forgot to mention that we would like the authority to be created and begin on July 1, 2016. Sorry I did not mention that earlier.

~~19.33(14)~~
19.36(14)