

P5 → P6

Kunkel, Mark

From: Champagne, Rick
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 1:44 PM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Subject: RE: UWSA analysis

LRB-0971

Treatment of Employees: All employees of the UW System are transferred to the UWSA, except that UW System employees who perform duties related to the veterinary diagnostic laboratory and the state laboratory of hygiene are transferred to DATCP. UW System employees who are transferred to UWSA are eligible to transfer back to a position in state government any time before July 1, 2017. UW System employees who are transferred to DATCP are not required to serve a probationary period.

From: Kunkel, Mark
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 1:36 PM
To: Shovers, Marc; Hanaman, Cathlene; Champagne, Rick; Kreye, Joseph
Subject: UWSA analysis

I will need help with the parts of the analysis that deals with changes that you made.

Marc, can you put something together on the chs. 13 and 16 building program changes?

Cathlene, can you do something on the ch. 16 procurement and collective bargaining?

Rick, can you do something on treatment of employees?

Joe, can you give me sentence or two on the sales tax funding? Also, can you talk about other tax issues? In the original proposal, DOA said to continue to exempt UW System from property and sales taxes, grandfather in current property tax exemptions, and make future property acquisitions subject to property taxes or a payment in lieu of taxes (PILT). I think you had to clarify what they wanted on those issues.

All of you: if you addressed another issue that I'm forgetting right now, can you address it? Thanks.

I was hoping to finish the analysis today, but am running out of steam and may go home after proofing operations are completed. So, I'll try to get it done tomorrow.

PS You might want to look at the analysis for the UW-Madison authority in the 2011 budget. The LRB number for that draft is 11-1187/P6. You may or may not be able to adapt that analysis for the UWSA.

Kunkel, Mark

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA <Nathan.Schwanz@wisconsin.gov>
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 2:31 PM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Subject: FW: UW draft bill
Attachments: Proposed amendments - Risk Management.docx

Mark,
I'm taking a look at P5 and noticed some of the items in the attachment didn't make the draft. Is there a reason for that?
Thanks.

Nathan

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA
Sent: Thursday, January 29, 2015 3:38 PM
To: Kunkel, Mark - LEGIS
Subject: FW: UW draft bill

Mark,
Attached are the recommendations from DOA Legal regarding risk management issues. Please let me know if you have any questions. Thanks.

Nathan

*I spoke with
Nathan to
resolve his
concerns.
The attachment
contains typos
for a few statute
numbers, so
he couldn't
find the changes in
the P5.
- MOK*

Kunkel, Mark

From: Kunkel, Mark
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 4:08 PM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Cc: Gary, Aaron
Subject: Academic fees and segregated fees

FYI

I noticed that the budget treats s. 45.20 (1) (d), which uses both of the above terms without a definition. I wondered whether that is okay, given the changes made by the UWSA budget draft.

It isn't pretty, but I think it is okay. Taking into account the UWSA changes, "academic fees" is defined for purposes of some ch. 36 fee remissions, but is used elsewhere in and outside ch. 36 without a definition. "Segregated fees" is not defined under current law, and continues to be used without a definition in and outside of ch. 36.

For future reference, the following remain in the statutes after 15-0971/P5:

Chapter 36

"Academic fees" are defined and used in s. 36.27 (3n) and (3p), which deal with fee remissions. The foregoing also refer to segregated fees, without a definition. "Academic fees" is used in s. 36.27 (3r), which is another fee remission. Both terms are used in s. 36.65 (4), which deals with a report to the legislature. "Segregated fee" is used without a definition in s. 36.27 (6).

Other chapters

"Academic fees" is used without a definition in ss. 39.41 (4) (a), 39.435 (7) (b) 1., 1m., 2. and 2m., 39.437 (4) (a) and (b), and 71.05 (6) (b) 28a. and 28am.

"Segregated fees" is used without a definition in s. 39.41 (2) and (b).

Both terms are used without a definition in s. 45.20 (1) (d).

Kunkel, Mark

From: Shovers, Marc
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 4:21 PM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Subject: RE: UWSA analysis

I had Eric look at my analysis piece and he thought it was fine. To which of your /P5 inserts would you like me to add it, or should I just check it in?

From: Kunkel, Mark
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 1:36 PM
To: Shovers, Marc; Hanaman, Cathlene; Champagne, Rick; Kreye, Joseph
Subject: UWSA analysis

I will need help with the parts of the analysis that deals with changes that you made.

Marc, can you put something together on the chs. 13 and 16 building program changes?

Cathlene, can you do something on the ch. 16 procurement and collective bargaining?

Rick, can you do something on treatment of employees?

Joe, can you give me sentence or two on the sales tax funding? Also, can you talk about other tax issues? In the original proposal, DOA said to continue to exempt UW System from property and sales taxes, grandfather in current property tax exemptions, and make future property acquisitions subject to property taxes or a payment in lieu of taxes (PILT). I think you had to clarify what they wanted on those issues.

All of you: if you addressed another issue that I'm forgetting right now, can you address it? Thanks.

I was hoping to finish the analysis today, but am running out of steam and may go home after proofing operations are completed. So, I'll try to get it done tomorrow.

PS You might want to look at the analysis for the UW-Madison authority in the 2011 budget. The LRB number for that draft is 11-1187/P6. You may or may not be able to adapt that analysis for the UWSA.

Kunkel, Mark

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA <Nathan.Schwanz@wisconsin.gov>
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 4:46 PM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Subject: Mission P3 Section

- 1215/P3
Brian
Quinn

Mark,
Here are the sections from P3 that I noticed were missing in P5:

- also 2 ✓
- 6 ✓
- 10 ✓ still in 1348(3)
- 11 ✓ 13.48(4) repaired in 1192/P6
- 12 ✓ 13.48(6) also repaired 1192/P6
- 16 ✓
- 18 ✓ 13.48(14)(d) still in P5
- 23 ✓
- 28 ✓
- 29 ✓
- 51 ✓
- 53 ✓
- 54 ✓
- 56 ✓
- 59 ✓
- 63 ✓
- 64 ✓
- 66 ✓
- 67 ✓
- 79 ✓
- 80 ✓
- 82 ✓
- 87 ✓
- 99 ✓
- 103 ✓ - 16,705(2)(a) - amended by - 0841/P1
- 115 ✓
- 116 ✓
- 118 ✓
- 119 ✓
- 120 ✓
- 121 ✓
- 123 ✓
- 130 ✓
- 266 ✓
- 573 ✓
- 595 ✓
- 640 ✓
- 641 ✓
- 680 ✓
- 688 ✓
- 712 ✓ - 758,13(1)(g) 8.

110/100
118.42(2)(b) 2, 3,
- 0333/P3

Can you please verify this is as it should be. I didn't notice anything that needs to be changed. I am going to run updated sections by those who made the suggestions to make sure changes are as they wanted.

Thanks

Nathan Schwanz
Executive Policy & Budget Analyst
State Budget Office
608-266-2843

Kunkel, Mark

From: Kunkel, Mark
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 4:54 PM
To: Kreye, Joseph
Subject: FW: UWSA analysis

Joe, can you also address the municipal services payments?

From: Kunkel, Mark
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 1:35 PM
To: Shovers, Marc; Hanaman, Cathlene; Champagne, Rick; Kreye, Joseph
Subject: UWSA analysis

I will need help with the parts of the analysis that deals with changes that you made.

Marc, can you put something together on the chs. 13 and 16 building program changes?

Cathlene, can you do something on the ch. 16 procurement and collective bargaining?

Rick, can you do something on treatment of employees?

Joe, can you give me sentence or two on the sales tax funding? Also, can you talk about other tax issues? In the original proposal, DOA said to continue to exempt UW System from property and sales taxes, grandfather in current property tax exemptions, and make future property acquisitions subject to property taxes or a payment in lieu of taxes (PILT). I think you had to clarify what they wanted on those issues.

All of you: if you addressed another issue that I'm forgetting right now, can you address it? Thanks.

I was hoping to finish the analysis today, but am running out of steam and may go home after proofing operations are completed. So, I'll try to get it done tomorrow.

PS You might want to look at the analysis for the UW-Madison authority in the 2011 budget. The LRB number for that draft is 11-1187/P6. You may or may not be able to adapt that analysis for the UWSA.

Kunkel, Mark

From: Kreye, Joseph
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 6:42 PM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Subject: UW Authority analysis

Mark,

Here's my part:

In fiscal year 2017-18, the state will pay the Authority \$753,533,000 from state sales tax revenue to fund educational programs. In each fiscal year thereafter, the state will pay the Authority from state sales tax revenue an amount for educational programs equal to the amount the state paid in the previous fiscal year, adjusted to reflect the annual percentage change in the consumer price index.

Under the bill, the Authority retains the income, sales, and property tax exemptions that the University of Wisconsin System had under current law. The Authority will also make payments for municipal services in the same manner that the University of Wisconsin System made payments for municipal services.

Joe

Kunkel, Mark

From: Kunkel, Mark
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 7:06 PM
To: 'Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA'
Subject: RE: Mission P3 Section

No problem at all. Our budget drafts can look quite confusing.

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA [mailto:Nathan.Schwanz@wisconsin.gov]
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 6:41 PM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Subject: RE: Mission P3 Section

Thanks for the quick response Mark and clarifying what happened with those sections. Sorry to bug you with something like that at this point in the process.

From: Kunkel, Mark <Mark.Kunkel@legis.wisconsin.gov>
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 5:42 PM
To: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA
Subject: RE: Mission P3 Section

With a few exceptions, all of the sections listed below (as well as section 1) are included in LRB-1215/P3 . The DOA analyst is Quinn.

Section 10 is still in. You probably meant section 9. Sections 9, 11 and 12 involve statutes repealed in LRB-1192/P6. The DOA analyst is Bong.

Section 18 is still in. If you meant a different section, I couldn't figure it out.

Section 103 involves a statute that is amended before July 1, 2016 in LRB-0841/P1. That amendment made section 103 unnecessary. The DOA analyst is Bong.

Sections 640 and 641 involve statutes amended in LRB-0333/P3. I think those other amendments got rid of the language that is treated in those sections, so they are not necessary. The DOA analyst is Stritchko.

In section 712, current law refers to the UW law school. I thought it was okay to keep the reference and not change it to the UWSA law school. I don't recall why I didn't make that change earlier, and if you disagree, let me know.

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA [mailto:Nathan.Schwanz@wisconsin.gov]
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 4:46 PM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Subject: Mission P3 Section

Mark,

Here are the sections from P3 that I noticed were missing in P5:

6
10
11
12

16
18
23
28
29
51
53
54
56
59
63
64
66
67
79
80
82
87
99
103
115
116
118
119
120
121
123
130
266
573
595
640
641
680
688
712

Can you please verify this is as it should be. I didn't notice anything that needs to be changed. I am going to run updated sections by those who made the suggestions to make sure changes are as they wanted.

Thanks

Nathan Schwanz
Executive Policy & Budget Analyst
State Budget Office
608-266-2843

Kunkel, Mark

From: Kunkel, Mark
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 7:20 PM
To: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA
Subject: RE: UW employee status transfer in the P5

Nathan,

The language on page 157, lines 19 to 22 is okay as is. Sorry for the confusion.

I will correct page 160, lines 1 to 4, and page 161, lines 13 to 16, as follows (the 2nd sentence is okay as is):

“Employees transferred under paragraph (c) have all the comparable rights and same status in the department of agriculture, trade and consumer protection that they enjoyed in the University of Wisconsin System immediately before the transfer.”

--Mark

From: Kunkel, Mark
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 1:58 PM
To: 'Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA'
Cc: Champagne, Rick
Subject: UW employee status transfer in the P5

Nathan, we just noticed that we will have to make changes to the nonstat text on page 157 lines 19 to 22, as UW employees are currently not covered by ch. 230. Same issue regarding the lab employees on page 160, lines 1 to 6 and page 161, lines 13 to 18. It shouldn't be hard to fix, and Rick will look at it later today.

Kunkel, Mark

From: Kunkel, Mark
Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2015 10:50 AM
To: Hurley, Peggy
Subject: RE: Is the analysis below accurate? see the changes we discussed re: 15-0971/P6

I think item 4 is okay, it involves changes we made to ch. 165.

From: Hurley, Peggy
Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2015 10:49 AM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Subject: RE: Is the analysis below accurate? see the changes we discussed re: 15-0971/P6

I'm not sure about 4., but the others looks accurate.

From: Kunkel, Mark
Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2015 10:48 AM
To: Hurley, Peggy
Subject: Is the analysis below accurate? see the changes we discussed re: 15-0971/P6

The bill eliminates requirements that apply to the UW System and Board of Regents under current law, including the following:

The bill does the following regarding legal proceedings involving UWSA:

1. Under current law, no one may sue a state officer, employee, or agent who is acting in his or her official capacity for damages unless the person serves the attorney general with a written notice of claim within 120 days of the event that allegedly caused the damages. The bill applies the prohibition to actions against a UWSA officer or employee. However, the prohibition does not apply to actions by the state against UWSA officers and employees.
2. With few exceptions, current law limits damages in a case against a state officer, employee, or agent who is acting in his or her official capacity to \$250,000. The bill applies the limit to actions, including those by the state, against a UWSA officer or employee.
3. Under current law, generally, if a public officer or a state employee is sued in an official capacity or for actions undertaken within the scope of his or her employment, the state or political subdivision that employs the officer or employee must provide legal counsel to the defendant or cover legal costs for the defendant. If damages are assessed against the officer or employee, the state or political subdivision must pay any damages in excess of applicable insurance. The bill applies those duties to UWSA regarding its officers and employees.
4. Under current law, DOJ represents the state, state agencies, and state employees in certain legal proceedings, reviews, and actions. The bill requires DOJ to do the same for UWSA and its officials, employees, and agents, unless the state and the Board of Regents are adverse parties.

Kunkel, Mark

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA <Nathan.Schwanz@wisconsin.gov>
Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2015 11:05 AM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Subject: RE: Mission P3 Section

That all sounds good. Thanks for clarifying.

Nathan

From: Kunkel, Mark [mailto:Mark.Kunkel@legis.wisconsin.gov]
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 5:42 PM
To: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA
Subject: RE: Mission P3 Section

With a few exceptions, all of the sections listed below (as well as section 1) are included in LRB-1215/P3 . The DOA analyst is Quinn.

Section 10 is still in. You probably meant section 9. Sections 9, 11 and 12 involve statutes repealed in LRB-1192/P6. The DOA analyst is Bong.

Section 18 is still in. If you meant a different section, I couldn't figure it out.

Section 103 involves a statute that is amended before July 1, 2016 in LRB-0841/P1. That amendment made section 103 unnecessary. The DOA analyst is Bong.

Sections 640 and 641 involve statutes amended in LRB-0333/P3. I think those other amendments got rid of the language that is treated in those sections, so they are not necessary. The DOA analyst is Stritchko.

In section 712, current law refers to the UW law school. I thought it was okay to keep the reference and not change it to the UWSA law school. I don't recall why I didn't make that change earlier, and if you disagree, let me know.

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA [mailto:Nathan.Schwanz@wisconsin.gov]
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 4:46 PM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Subject: Mission P3 Section

Mark,
Here are the sections from P3 that I noticed were missing in P5:

6
10
11
12
16
18
23
28
29
51

53
54
56
59
63
64
66
67
79
80
82
87
99
103
115
116
118
119
120
121
123
130
266
573
595
640
641
680
688
712

Can you please verify this is as it should be. I didn't notice anything that needs to be changed. I am going to run updated sections by those who made the suggestions to make sure changes are as they wanted.

Thanks

Nathan Schwanz
Executive Policy & Budget Analyst
State Budget Office
608-266-2843

Kunkel, Mark

From: Kunkel, Mark
Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2015 4:57 PM
To: Kreye, Joseph
Subject: UW analysis

*Joe thinks it
looks ok
- MUK*

Joe,

I'm trying to fold your sales tax info into the rest of the analysis. Any suggestions for what I did below?

--Mark

The bill requires the UWSA Board of Regents to establish an annual budget and monitor fiscal management of UWSA. The bill allows the UWSA Board of Regents to issue bonds that are not public debt and specifies that the state pledges that, unless bondholders are adequately protected, the state will not limit or alter any rights before UWSA satisfies the bonds. The bill eliminates all appropriations to the UW System under current law, except general purpose revenues for educational programs and the payment of certain construction debt. The bill requires the DOA secretary to make quarterly payments to UWSA of the general purpose revenues appropriated for educational programs. However, the secretary is allowed to make the payments only if UWSA has made payments due on the lease described below, payments required for municipal services, and any other payments for obligations otherwise due to the state. In fiscal year 2017-18, the bill allocates \$753,533,000 from state sales tax revenue for the educational programs. In each fiscal year thereafter, the bill allocates the same amount with adjustments for inflation.

Kunkel, Mark

From: Kunkel, Mark
Sent: Sunday, February 01, 2015 10:25 AM
To: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA
Subject: 36.11 (1) (d)

One more "last minute" change:

36.11 (1) (d) should not be repealed. See section 301 of the P5. That statute says what happens to fines and forfeitures for violations of rules promulgated under the rest of the subsection. Because we restored the UWSA Board of Regents' authority to promulgate those rules, I should have also restored the language in s. 36.11 (1) (d). So, instead of repealing, I will renumber it as s. 36.11 (1x) (d).

Sorry for the late notice.

--Mark

From: Kunkel, Mark
Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2015 12:59 PM
To: 'Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA'
Subject: RE: LRB Review of Chapter 20 Schedule

We are marking up the compile to include "last minute" changes like this. So, the changes will be in the finalized budget.

For our future reference, we will also revise the underlying draft, LRB-0971/P5, and turn it into LR-0971/P6.

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA [<mailto:Nathan.Schwanz@wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2015 12:41 PM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Subject: RE: LRB Review of Chapter 20 Schedule

Sounds good, thanks Mark. Will these be in the errata or is there time to get them in the compile?

Nathan

From: Kunkel, Mark [<mailto:Mark.Kunkel@legis.wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2015 12:38 PM
To: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA
Subject: RE: LRB Review of Chapter 20 Schedule

We will have 3 amendments of s. 20.285 (1) (a).

The first takes effect on the budget's general effective date:

Section 1. 20.285 (1) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

20.285 (1) (a) General program operations. ~~The Biennially, the~~ amounts in the schedule for the purpose of educational programs and related programs. ~~The board of regents may not encumber amounts appropriated under this paragraph for groundwater research without the approval of the secretary of administration.~~

The 2nd takes effect July 1, 2016:

Section 2. 20.285 (1) (a) of the statutes, as affected by 2015 Wisconsin Act (this act), section [we will refer to # for 1st amendment], is amended to read:

20.285 (1) (a) General program operations. Biennially, the amounts in the schedule for the purpose of educational programs and related programs, to be paid as provided in s. 16.004 (19).

The 3rd takes effect July 1, 2017 or the 2nd day after publication of the 2017-19 biennial budget act, whichever is later:
Section 3. 20.285 (1) (a) of the statutes, as affected by 2015 Wisconsin Act (this act), section [we will refer to # for 2nd amendment], is amended to read:

20.285 (1) (a) General program operations. ~~Biennially, the~~ The amounts in the schedule for the purpose of educational programs and related programs, to be paid as provided in s. 16.004 (19).

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA [<mailto:Nathan.Schwanz@wisconsin.gov>]

Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2015 10:46 AM

To: Kunkel, Mark

Subject: FW: LRB Review of Chapter 20 Schedule

See below.

Nathan

From: Grinde, Kirsten - DOA

Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 5:55 PM

To: DOA DL Budget TL; DOA DL Budget AN

Subject: FW: LRB Review of Chapter 20 Schedule

Importance: High

Please see the list below and determine what needs to be fixed or let me know if your item(s) were already fixed – we can verify against Dan's draft from earlier today.

From: Malaise, Gordon [<mailto:Gordon.Malaise@legis.wisconsin.gov>]

Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 5:46 PM

To: Kraus, Jennifer - DOA; Grinde, Kirsten - DOA

Cc: Hanaman, Cathlene - LEGIS; Gary, Aaron - LEGIS; Wyatt, Zachary - LEGIS; Pfothenauer, Mary - LEGIS; Champagne, Rick - LEGIS

Subject: FW: LRB Review of Chapter 20 Schedule

I made a typo. In the list below, Bill Section 790 amends s. 20.505 (1) (ki), not (kc).

From: Malaise, Gordon

Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 5:33 PM

To: Kraus, Jennifer - DOA; Grinde, Kirsten - DOA

Cc: Hanaman, Cathlene; Gary, Aaron; Wyatt, Zachary; Pfothenauer, Mary; Champagne, Rick

Subject: LRB Review of Chapter 20 Schedule

Jenny and Kirsten:

We have completed our review of the chapter 20 schedule versus the text and have found the following minor discrepancies that you should double check:

Statute	Bill Section	Comment
20.115 (2) (jm)	484	Should be a Continuing appropriation.

20.144 (1) (g)	498	Titles do not match.
20.255 (1) (fm)	562	Created. Should appear in schedule.
20.285 (1) (a)	575	Repeal and recreation is effective July 1, 2017. Therefore, appropriation should not change from current law.
20.292 (2)	615	Effective date is January 1, 2016. Therefore, should retain schedule for first year.
20.395 (1) (cq)	649	Titles do not match.
20.395 (2) (bt)	650	Titles do not match.
20.395 (5) (jr)	656	Repealed. Should not appear in schedule.
20.410 (3) (ko)	664	Repealed January 1, 2016. Therefore, should have no money the 2 nd year.
20.435 (4) (jt)	686	Not repealed until 2018. Therefore, should appear in schedule.
20.435 (4) (kv)	687	Not repealed until 2018. Therefore, should appear in schedule.
20.445 (1) (b)	727	Titles do not match.
20.445 (1) (d)	728	Repealed. Should not appear in schedule.
20.445 (1) (e)	729	Repealed. Should not appear in schedule.
20.445 (1) (em)	730	Repealed. Should not appear in schedule.
20.455 (1) (q)	734	Renumbered. Should not appear in schedule.
20.455 (1) (gs)	746	Should be Continuing, not Annual.
20.455 (1) (km)	747	Should be Continuing, not Annual.
20.455 (2) (ky)	760	Titles do not match.
20.465 (3) (g)	766	Should be Continuing, not Annual.
20.490	772	Effective date is January 1, 2016. Therefore, should retain schedule for first year.
20.505 (1) (kc)	790	Titles do not match.
20.505(1) (kk) match.	791	Should be Continuing, not Annual. Titles do not match.

20.505 (1) (kL)	792	Titles do not match.
20.665 (1) (title)	837	Repealed. Should not appear in schedule.
20.670	840	Repealed. Should not appear in schedule.
20.680 (2) (b)	843	Repealed. Should not appear in schedule.
20.835 (2) (bb)	849	Should be Sum Sufficient, not Continuing.
20.885 (title)	884	Titles do not match.
20.885 (2) (title)	884	Titles do not match.
20.885 (2) (ah)	884	Spelling of "reserve" in title.
20.885 (3) (b)	885	Created. Should appear in schedule.

And there you have it. I will check in tomorrow to see if you have any questions. If you can't get a hold me, call Rick and he will try to help you.

Gordon

Kunkel, Mark

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA <Nathan.Schwanz@wisconsin.gov>
Sent: Sunday, February 01, 2015 12:45 PM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Subject: RE: 36.11 (1) (d)

OK, sounds good. Thanks.

From: Kunkel, Mark <Mark.Kunkel@legis.wisconsin.gov>
Sent: Sunday, February 1, 2015 10:25 AM
To: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA
Subject: 36.11 (1) (d)

One more "last minute" change:

36.11 (1) (d) should not be repealed. See section 301 of the P5. That statute says what happens to fines and forfeitures for violations of rules promulgated under the rest of the subsection. Because we restored the UWSA Board of Regents' authority to promulgate those rules, I should have also restored the language in s. 36.11 (1) (d). So, instead of repealing, I will renumber it as s. 36.11 (1x) (d).

Sorry for the late notice.

--Mark

From: Kunkel, Mark
Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2015 12:59 PM
To: 'Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA'
Subject: RE: LRB Review of Chapter 20 Schedule

We are marking up the compile to include "last minute" changes like this. So, the changes will be in the finalized budget.

For our future reference, we will also revise the underlying draft, LRB-0971/P5, and turn it into LR-0971/P6.

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA [<mailto:Nathan.Schwanz@wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2015 12:41 PM
To: Kunkel, Mark
Subject: RE: LRB Review of Chapter 20 Schedule

Sounds good, thanks Mark. Will these be in the errata or is there time to get them in the compile?

Nathan

From: Kunkel, Mark [<mailto:Mark.Kunkel@legis.wisconsin.gov>]
Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2015 12:38 PM
To: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA
Subject: RE: LRB Review of Chapter 20 Schedule

We will have 3 amendments of s. 20.285 (1) (a).

The first takes effect on the budget's general effective date:

Section 1. 20.285 (1) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

20.285 (1) (a) General program operations. ~~The Biennially, the~~ amounts in the schedule for the purpose of educational programs and related programs. ~~The board of regents may not encumber amounts appropriated under this paragraph for groundwater research without the approval of the secretary of administration.~~

The 2nd takes effect July 1, 2016:

Section 2. 20.285 (1) (a) of the statutes, as affected by 2015 Wisconsin Act ... (this act), section [we will refer to # for 1st amendment], is amended to read:

20.285 (1) (a) General program operations. Biennially, the amounts in the schedule for the purpose of educational programs and related programs, to be paid as provided in s. 16.004 (19).

The 3rd takes effect July 1, 2017 or the 2nd day after publication of the 2017-19 biennial budget act, whichever is later:

Section 3. 20.285 (1) (a) of the statutes, as affected by 2015 Wisconsin Act (this act), section [we will refer to # for 2nd amendment], is amended to read:

20.285 (1) (a) General program operations. ~~Biennially, the~~ The amounts in the schedule for the purpose of educational programs and related programs, to be paid as provided in s. 16.004 (19).

From: Schwanz, Nathan E - DOA [<mailto:Nathan.Schwanz@wisconsin.gov>]

Sent: Saturday, January 31, 2015 10:46 AM

To: Kunkel, Mark

Subject: FW: LRB Review of Chapter 20 Schedule

See below.

Nathan

From: Grinde, Kirsten - DOA

Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 5:55 PM

To: DOA DL Budget TL; DOA DL Budget AN

Subject: FW: LRB Review of Chapter 20 Schedule

Importance: High

Please see the list below and determine what needs to be fixed or let me know if your item(s) were already fixed – we can verify against Dan's draft from earlier today.

From: Malaise, Gordon [<mailto:Gordon.Malaise@legis.wisconsin.gov>]

Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 5:46 PM

To: Kraus, Jennifer - DOA; Grinde, Kirsten - DOA

Cc: Hanaman, Cathlene - LEGIS; Gary, Aaron - LEGIS; Wyatt, Zachary - LEGIS; Pfothenauer, Mary - LEGIS; Champagne, Rick - LEGIS

Subject: FW: LRB Review of Chapter 20 Schedule

I made a typo. In the list below, Bill Section 790 amends s. 20.505 (1) (ki), not (kc).

From: Malaise, Gordon

Sent: Friday, January 30, 2015 5:33 PM

To: Kraus, Jennifer - DOA; Grinde, Kirsten - DOA

Cc: Hanaman, Cathlene; Gary, Aaron; Wyatt, Zachary; Pfothenauer, Mary; Champagne, Rick

Subject: LRB Review of Chapter 20 Schedule

Jenny and Kirsten:

We have completed our review of the chapter 20 schedule versus the text and have found the following minor discrepancies that you should double check:

Statute	Bill Section	Comment
20.115 (2) (jm)	484	Should be a Continuing appropriation.
20.144 (1) (g)	498	Titles do not match.
20.255 (1) (fm)	562	Created. Should appear in schedule.
20.285 (1) (a)	575	Repeal and recreation is effective July 1, 2017. Therefore, appropriation should not change from current law.
20.292 (2)	615	Effective date is January 1, 2016. Therefore, should retain schedule for first year.
20.395 (1) (cq)	649	Titles do not match.
20.395 (2) (bt)	650	Titles do not match.
20.395 (5) (jr)	656	Repealed. Should not appear in schedule.
20.410 (3) (ko)	664	Repealed January 1, 2016. Therefore, should have no money the 2 nd year.
20.435 (4) (jt)	686	Not repealed until 2018. Therefore, should appear in schedule.
20.435 (4) (kv)	687	Not repealed until 2018. Therefore, should appear in schedule.
20.445 (1) (b)	727	Titles do not match.
20.445 (1) (d)	728	Repealed. Should not appear in schedule.
20.445 (1) (e)	729	Repealed. Should not appear in schedule.
20.445 (1) (em)	730	Repealed. Should not appear in schedule.
20.455 (1) (q)	734	Renumbered. Should not appear in schedule.
20.455 (1) (gs)	746	Should be Continuing, not Annual.
20.455 (1) (km)	747	Should be Continuing, not Annual.
20.455 (2) (ky)	760	Titles do not match.
20.465 (3) (g)	766	Should be Continuing, not Annual.

20.490	772	Effective date is January 1, 2016. Therefore, should retain schedule for first year.
20.505 (1) (kc)	790	Titles do not match.
20.505(1) (kk) match.	791	Should be Continuing, not Annual. Titles do not match.
20.505 (1) (kL)	792	Titles do not match.
20.665 (1) (title)	837	Repealed. Should not appear in schedule.
20.670	840	Repealed. Should not appear in schedule.
20.680 (2) (b)	843	Repealed. Should not appear in schedule.
20.835 (2) (bb)	849	Should be Sum Sufficient, not Continuing.
20.885 (title)	884	Titles do not match.
20.885 (2) (title)	884	Titles do not match.
20.885 (2) (ah)	884	Spelling of "reserve" in title.
20.885 (3) (b)	885	Created. Should appear in schedule.

And there you have it. I will check in tomorrow to see if you have any questions. If you can't get a hold me, call Rick and he will try to help you.

Gordon