## 2015 DRAFTING REQUEST

Senate An	nendment (S	SA-SSA1-SB	21)			
Received:	7/7/2015			Received By:	pkahler	
For:	Mark Mille	Mark Miller (608) 266-9170				
May Contac	t:			By/Representing:	John	
Subject:	Subject: State Finance - claims agnst st			Drafter:	pkahler	
				Addl. Drafters:		
				Extra Copies:		
Submit via email:  Requester's email:  Carbon copy (CC) to:  YES  Sen.Miller@legis.wisconsin.gov						
Pre Topic:						
No specific	pre topic giver	1			·	
Topic:						
Talgo claim	against the sta	te				
Instruction	s:					
See attached	1					
Drafting H	istory:					
Vers. Dr	afted	Reviewed	Proofed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required
	ahler 7/2015	jdyer 7/7/2015				
/1				sbasford 7/7/2015	sbasford 7/7/2015	
FE Sent For	:					

<**END>** 

### Kahler, Pam

From:

Anderson, John

Sent:

Tuesday, July 07, 2015 9:34 AM Kahler, Pam

To:

Subject:

LRB-2211 Talgo Claim

Good Morning, Pam. Would you please draft LRB-2211 (Talgo Claim) as an amendment to the state budget bill for Senator Mark Miller? Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you.

John G. Anderson Office of Senator Mark Miller 608-266-9170



### State of Misconsin 2015 - 2016 LEGISLATURE

LRBb0699/2

# PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION SENATE AMENDMENT,

## TO SENATE SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1, TO SENATE BILL 21

At the locations indicated, amend the substitute amendment as follows:

1. Page 1453, line 21: after that line insert:

3

2

1

(END)

#### BILL

believes that DOT has acted in bad faith and presented a politically motivated analysis to JCF with inflated cost projections and that this dispute has been manufactured to serve a political purpose.

DOT responds that claimant has not completed manufacture, testing, and delivery of the train sets and has provided almost no data in support of its alleged damages; that the PA required claimant to conduct and pay for "dynamic testing" before delivery of the train sets and that claimant has failed to complete such testing; and that claimant terminated the train set contract, declared that it would keep both trains, as well as work milestone payments DOT has made, and demanded payments for remaining outstanding work milestones. DOT contends that the MA was properly terminated when JCF denied the appropriation of funds and disputes that it had sufficient other funds to cover the maintenance costs, much less build a permanent maintenance facility. DOT/asserts that it has already paid over \$40,000,000 for the promised train sets that claimant has failed to produce and that it will pay for the work if claimant would simply complete the testing and commissioning of the train sets required by the PA. DOT states that claimant has acted in bad faith and taken every/opportunity to increase the agreed-upon purchase price for the train sets while denying its work obligations.

The Claims Board denied the claim on May 16, 2014 (see 2014 Senate Journal, p. 875).

For further information see the **state** fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

Section 1. Claim against the state. (1) There is directed to be expended from the appropriation under section 20.505 (4) (d) of the statutes, as affected by the acts of 2013 and 2015, \$65,889,158 in payment of a claim against the state made by Talgo, Inc., of Seattle, Washington, for damages in connection with a 2009 contract for the designing, building, and delivery of 2 14-car train sets to the state and related maintenance. Acceptance of this payment releases this state and its state officers, employees, and agents from any further liability arising from the respective duties of the parties under this contract. ))

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

3

4

5

6

7

8