

2015 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

Received: 12/30/2014 Received By: mshovers  
 Wanted: As time permits Same as LRB:  
 For: Legislative Council -study cmmte 6-2298 By/Representing: Melissa Schmidt & Scott Grosz  
 May Contact: Drafter: mshovers  
 Subject: Local Gov't - tax incr financing Addl. Drafters:  
 Extra Copies: EVM

Submit via email: YES  
 Requester's email: Scott.grosz@legis.wisconsin.gov  
 Carbon copy (CC) to: melissa.schmidt@legis.wisconsin.gov

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

TID technical changes

Instructions:

See attached. WLCS 0049/1

Drafting History:

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	mshovers 1/23/2015						
/P1		wjackson 1/30/2015	rschluet 1/29/2015		sbasford 1/29/2015		State S&L
/1			jfrantze 1/30/2015		sbasford 1/30/2015	lparisi 2/11/2015	State S&L

FE Sent For:

@  
INTRO

<END>

**2015 DRAFTING REQUEST**

**Bill**

Received: **12/30/2014** Received By: **mshovers**  
Wanted: **As time permits** Same as LRB:  
For: **Legislative Council -study cmmte 6-2298** By/Representing: **Melissa Schmidt & Scott Grosz**  
May Contact: Drafter: **mshovers**  
Subject: **Local Gov't - tax incr financing** Addl. Drafters:  
Extra Copies: **EVM**

Submit via email: **YES**  
Requester's email: **Scott.grosz@legis.wisconsin.gov**  
Carbon copy (CC) to: **melissa.schmidt@legis.wisconsin.gov**

---

**Pre Topic:**

No specific pre topic given

---

**Topic:**

TID technical changes

---

**Instructions:**

See attached. WLCS 0049/1

---

**Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	mshovers 1/23/2015			_____			
/P1		wjackson 1/30/2015	rschluet 1/29/2015	_____	sbasford 1/29/2015		State S&L
/1			jfrantze 1/30/2015	_____	sbasford 1/30/2015		State S&L

FE Sent For:

**<END>**

**2015 DRAFTING REQUEST**

**Bill**

Received: 12/30/2014 Received By: mshovers  
Wanted: As time permits Same as LRB:  
For: Legislative Council -study cmmte 6-2298 By/Representing: Melissa Schmidt & Scott Grosz  
May Contact: Drafter: mshovers  
Subject: Local Gov't - tax incr financing Addl. Drafters:  
Extra Copies: EVM

Submit via email: YES  
Requester's email: Scott.grosz@legis.wisconsin.gov  
Carbon copy (CC) to: melissa.schmidt@legis.wisconsin.gov

---

**Pre Topic:**

No specific pre topic given

---

**Topic:**

TID technical changes

---

**Instructions:**

See attached. WLCS 0049/1

---

**Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
/?	mshovers 1/23/2015			_____			
/P1		wjackson 1/29/2015	rschluet 1/29/2015	_____	sbasford 1/29/2015		State S&L
FE Sent For:		1 WJ 1/30	Jb	1/30			

<END>

**2015 DRAFTING REQUEST**

**Bill**

Received: 12/30/2014 Received By: mshovers  
Wanted: As time permits Same as LRB:  
For: Legislative Council -study cmmte 6-2298 By/Representing: Melissa Schmidt & Scott Grosz  
May Contact: Drafter: mshovers  
Subject: Local Gov't - tax incr financing Addl. Drafters:  
Extra Copies: EVM

Submit via email: YES  
Requester's email: Scott.grosz@legis.wisconsin.gov  
Carbon copy (CC) to: melissa.schmidt@legis.wisconsin.gov

---

**Pre Topic:**

No specific pre topic given

---

**Topic:**

TID technical changes



---

**Instructions:**

See attached. WLCS 0049/1

---

**Drafting History:**

<u>Vers.</u>	<u>Drafted</u>	<u>Reviewed</u>	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	<u>Submitted</u>	<u>Jacketed</u>	<u>Required</u>
1?	mshovers	/plwyj/29					
11	MES	1/23/15	12915				

FE Sent For:

<END>

CRB-1070

1       **AN ACT to repeal** 66.1105 (5) (bg) and 66.1105 (6) (am) 4., (dm) 1., 3. a., 4. and (e) 1.  
 2       c.; **to amend** 60.85 (4) (b) 2., 66.0602 (3) (dm), 66.1105 (4) (gm) 5., 66.1105 (4) (h)  
 3       1., 66.1105 (4e) (b) 3., (4m) (b) 2., and 2m. and 66.1106 (3) (b) 2.; and **to create**  
 4       66.1105 (6) (a) 7m. and 8m. and 66.1105 (7) (am) 2m. and 3m. of the statutes;  
 5       **relating to:** industrial zoning requirements in tax incremental districts, planning  
 6       commission notice for tax incremental district amendments, obsolete references  
 7       relating to tax incremental districts, allocation of tax increments, joint review board  
 8       review, and calculation of levy limits following dissolution of a tax incremental  
 9       district.

*The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:*

**JOINT LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL PREFATORY NOTE:** This draft was prepared for the Joint Legislative Council’s Study Committee on Review of Tax Incremental Financing.

**Industrial Zoning Requirements in Tax Incremental Districts**

Under current law, a resolution to create a tax incremental district (TID) must include a finding that not less than 50 percent, by area, of the real property within the district is at least one of the following: a blighted area; in need of rehabilitation or conservation work; suitable for industrial sites and zoned for industrial use; or suitable for mixed-use development. The resolution must also confirm that any real property within the district that is found suitable for industrial sites and is zoned for industrial use will remain zoned for industrial use for the life of the tax incremental district, and must declare that the district is a blighted area district, a rehabilitation or conservation district, an industrial district, or a mixed-use district based on the identification and classification of the property included within the district.

The draft specifies that the requirement related to maintenance of industrial zoning applies only to districts that are declared to be industrial districts.

### **Planning Commission Notice for TID Amendments**

Under current law, a TID project plan may be amended for several reasons, including modification of the allowed expenditures in a TID's project plan, addition or subtraction of territory to the TID's boundaries, extension of the TID's lifespan, and donation of tax increments to another TID.

Generally, the process to amend a TID is similar to the process of creating a TID, requiring a public hearing held by the planning commission and adoption of resolutions by the planning commission, municipality, and joint review board (JRB) to approve the amendment. As part of this process, the planning commission must publish a class 2 notice of its public hearing. The JRB must publish notice of its meeting as a class 1 notice, at least five days before the meeting.

Under current law, a class 2 notice consists of insertions of the notice for two consecutive weeks, with the last insertion at least a week prior to the meeting date, in the appropriate newspaper of record under ch. 985, stats. A class 1 notice, unless otherwise specified (for example, the requirement that the JRB must publish a notice five days before its meeting), requires a single insertion of the notice, at least a week prior to the meeting date, in the appropriate newspaper of record.

The draft amends the notice requirement of the planning commission from a class 2 notice to a class 1 notice with regard to notices relating to the TID amendment process.

### **Obsolete References**

Over time, the statutes relating to tax incremental financing have been amended to include numerous provisions that are significantly limited in their scope, often relating to a single municipality or a particular TID. Often, these amendments offer special statutory authorization regarding creation, amendment, or lifespan of a particular district or class of districts, or to TIDs in a particular municipality.

The draft repeals certain provisions of the statutes relating to tax incremental financing that the Department of Revenue identified as obsolete.

### **Timing Penalty**

Under current law, certain statutory and administrative deadlines relating to the allocation of positive tax increments to a TID combine to result in variation in the maximum number of positive increments that may be allocated to a TID, depending on the date on which a municipality acted to create the TID and its project plan. In particular, the maximum



number of positive increments that a TID may receive is one fewer for a TID and project plan created after September 30 and before May 15 than for TIDs created on or after May 15 and before October 1.

For newly-created TIDs, the draft extends a TID's lifespan and period for allocation of positive TID increments by one year if the municipality that creates the TID adopts the project plan for the TID after September 30 and before May 15.

#### **Joint Review Board Review Period**

Before a municipality's resolution to create a TID, amend a TID's project plan, or require the Department of Revenue (DOR) to redetermine the TID's base value may take effect, several steps are required. These steps include approval by a JRB, which consists of members who represent the overlying taxation districts. In general, the JRB must approve the resolution by a majority vote within 30 days after receiving the resolution. The review period applicable to an industry-specific TID located in a town and an environmental remediation TID is not less than 10 days nor more than 30 days.

The draft amends the maximum review period the JRB has to approve a municipality's resolution related to a TID from 30 days to 45 days after receiving the resolution.

Generally, under current law, and subject to a number of exceptions, a municipality may not increase its base levy (the prior year's actual levy) in any year by more than the percentage change in the municipality's equalized value due to new construction, including new construction that occurs in a TID, less improvements removed, between the previous year and the current year, but not less than zero percent. Also, when determining its levy limit, a municipality must exclude the amount of any tax increment generated by property in a TID located in the municipality.

There are numerous exceptions that may be used to adjust a municipality's levy limit. One exception authorizes an increase in a municipality's levy limit for the year that a TID terminates. If DOR does not certify a TID as a result of the district's termination, the levy limit otherwise applicable is increased by an amount equal to the municipality's maximum allowable levy for the preceding year, multiplied by a percentage equal to 50 percent of the amount determined by dividing the terminated TID's value increment by the municipality's equalized value, as determined by DOR. The increase must be applied to the municipality's levy limit in the year that the TID terminates.

The draft specifies that the municipality's equalized value for the preceding year, as used in the calculation of the levy limit exception for the year that a TID terminates, excludes the value of any TID value increments.

1           **SECTION 1.** 60.85 (4) (b) 2. of the statutes is amended to read:

2           60.85 (4) (b) 2. No tax incremental district may be created and no project plan may be  
3 amended unless the joint review board approves the resolution adopted under sub. (3) (h) or  
4 (j) 1. by a majority vote not less than 10 days nor more than ~~30~~ 45 days after receiving the  
5 resolution.

**NOTE:** This SECTION extends the maximum review period that the JRB has to approve the creation or amendment of an industry-specific town TID from 30 days to 45 days.

6           **SECTION 2.** 66.0602 (3) (dm) of the statutes is amended to read:

7           66.0602 (3) (dm) If the department of revenue does not certify a value increment for  
8 a tax incremental district for the current year as a result of the district's termination, the levy  
9 increase limit otherwise applicable under this section in the current year to the political  
10 subdivision in which the district is located is increased by an amount equal to the political  
11 subdivision's maximum allowable levy for the immediately preceding year, multiplied by a  
12 percentage equal to 50 percent of the amount determined by dividing the value increment of  
13 the terminated tax incremental district, calculated for the previous year, by the political  
14 subdivision's equalized value, exclusive of any tax incremental district value increments, for  
15 the previous year, all as determined by the department of revenue.

**NOTE:** This SECTION excludes the value of any TID increments from the calculation of the levy limit exception that applies for the year a TID terminates.

16           **SECTION 3.** 66.1105 (4) (gm) 5. of the statutes is amended to read:

17           66.1105 (4) (gm) 5. ~~Confirms~~ If the district is declared to be an industrial district under  
18 subd. 6., confirms that any real property within the district that is found suitable for industrial

1 sites and is zoned for industrial use under subd. 4. a. will remain zoned for industrial use for  
2 the life of the tax incremental district.

NOTE: This SECTION specifies that maintenance of industrial zoning requirements applies only to districts that are declared to be industrial districts.

3 SECTION 4. 66.1105 (4) (h) 1. of the statutes is amended to read:

4 66.1105 (4) (h) 1. Subject to subds. 2., 4., 5., and 6., the planning commission may, by  
5 resolution, adopt an amendment to a project plan. The amendment is subject to approval by  
6 the local legislative body and approval requires the same findings as provided in par. (g) and,  
7 if the amendment adds territory to a district under subd. 2., approval also requires the same  
8 findings as provided in par. (gm) 4. c. Any amendment to a project plan is also subject to  
9 review by a joint review board, acting under sub. (4m). Adoption of an amendment to a project  
10 plan shall be preceded by a public hearing held by the plan commission at which interested  
11 parties shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity to express their views on the amendment.  
12 Notice of the hearing shall be published as a class 2 1 notice, under ch. 985. The notice shall  
13 include a statement of the purpose and cost of the amendment and shall advise that a copy of  
14 the amendment will be provided on request. Before publication, a copy of the notice shall be  
15 sent by 1st class mail to the chief executive officer or administrator of all local governmental  
16 entities having the power to levy taxes on property within the district and to the school board  
17 of any school district which includes property located within the proposed district. For a  
18 county with no chief executive officer or administrator, this notice shall be sent to the county  
19 board chairperson.

NOTE: This SECTION amends the notice a planning commission must provide with regard to consideration of a TID amendment.

20 SECTION 5. 66.1105 (4e) (b) 3., (4m) (b) 2., and 2m., of the statutes are amended to read:

1           66.1105 (4e) (b) 3. A resolution adopted under par. (a) 1. may not take effect unless the  
2 joint review board approves, by resolution, the designation under subd. 2. The joint review  
3 board shall approve or deny the designation within ~~30~~ 45 days after receiving the resolution  
4 under subd. 2.

5           **(4m)** (b) 2. Except as provided in subd. 2m., no tax incremental district may be created  
6 and no project plan may be amended unless the board approves the resolution adopted under  
7 sub. (4) (gm) or (h) 1., and no tax incremental base may be redetermined under sub. (5) (h)  
8 unless the board approves the resolution adopted under sub. (5) (h) 1., by a majority vote  
9 within ~~30~~ 45 days after receiving the resolution. With regard to a multijurisdictional tax  
10 incremental district created under this section, each public member of a participating city must  
11 be part of the majority that votes for approval of the resolution or the district may not be  
12 created. The board may not approve the resolution under this subdivision unless the board's  
13 approval contains a positive assertion that, in its judgment, the development described in the  
14 documents the board has reviewed under subd. 1. would not occur without the creation of a  
15 tax incremental district. The board may not approve the resolution under this subdivision  
16 unless the board finds that, with regard to a tax incremental district that is proposed to be  
17 created by a city under sub. (17) (a), such a district would be the only existing district created  
18 under that subsection by that city.

19           **(4m)** (b) 2m. The requirement under subd. 2., 2013-14 stats., that a vote by the board  
20 take place within 30 days after receiving a resolution does not apply to a resolution amending  
21 a project plan under sub. (4) (h) 1. if the resolution relates to a tax incremental district, the  
22 application for the redetermination of the tax incremental base of which was made in 1998,  
23 that is located in a village that was incorporated in 1912, has a population of at least 3,800 and  
24 is located in a county with a population of at least 108,000.

**NOTE:** This SECTION extends the review period that the JRB has to approve the designation of a TID as distressed or severely distressed from 30 days to 45 days. It also extends the review period that the JRB has to approve the creation or amendment of a TID located in a city or village from 30 days to 45 days and amends the statutory reference applicable to an exception to the 30-day JRB review period.

1       **SECTION 6.** 66.1105 (5) (bg) of the statutes is repealed.

**NOTE:** This SECTION repeals an obsolete reference.

2       **SECTION 7.** 66.1105 (6) (a) 7m. and 8m. of the statutes are created to read:

3           66.1105 (6) (a) 7m. Notwithstanding subd. 7., for a tax incremental district created after  
4 the effective date of this subdivision .... [LRB inserts date], one year after the date specified  
5 in subd. 7., if the district's project plan is adopted under sub. (4) (g) after September 30 and  
6 before May 15.

7           8m. Notwithstanding subd. 8., for a tax incremental district created after the effective  
8 date of this subdivision .... [LRB inserts date], one year after the date specified in subd. 8., if  
9 the district's project plan is adopted under sub. (4) (g) after September 30 and before May 15.

**NOTE:** This SECTION extends a TID's lifespan and allocation period for TID increments if a TID project plan is adopted after September 30 and before May 15.

10       **SECTION 8.** 66.1105 (6) (am) 4., (dm) 1., 3. a., 4. and (e) 1. c. of the statutes are repealed.

**NOTE:** This SECTION repeals obsolete references.

11       **SECTION 9.** 66.1105 (7) (am) 2m. and 3m. of the statutes are created to read:

12           (7) (am) 2m. Notwithstanding subd. 2., for a tax incremental district created after the  
13 effective date of this subdivision .... [LRB inserts date], one year after the date specified in  
14 subd. 2., if the district's project plan is adopted under sub. (4) (g) after September 30 and before  
15 May 15.

16           3m. Notwithstanding subd. 3., increment for a tax incremental district created after the  
17 effective date of this subdivision .... [LRB inserts date], one year after the date specified in

1 subd. 3., if the district's project plan is adopted under sub. (4) (g) after September 30 and before  
2 May 15.

**NOTE:** This SECTION extends a TID's lifespan and allocation period for TID increments if a TID project plan is adopted after September 30 and before May 15.

3 **SECTION 10.** 66.1106 (3) (b) 2. of the statutes is amended to read:

4 66.1106 (3) (b) 2. No written application may be submitted under sub. (4) unless the  
5 board approves the written proposal under sub. (2) by a majority vote not less than 10 days  
6 nor more than ~~30~~ 45 days after receiving the proposal.

**NOTE:** This SECTION extends the maximum review period that the JRB has to approve the written proposal and statement required for the creation of an environmental remediation TID.

7

(END)

## Shovers, Marc

---

**From:** Grosz, Scott  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 20, 2015 10:33 AM  
**To:** Shovers, Marc; Schmidt, Melissa  
**Subject:** RE: WLCS 0049/LRB -1070

This change looks good to me as well.

Thanks,

Scott

---

**From:** Shovers, Marc  
**Sent:** Friday, January 16, 2015 4:10 PM  
**To:** Grosz, Scott; Schmidt, Melissa  
**Subject:** RE: WLCS 0049/LRB -1070

I think a change similar to what I proposed for s. 66.1105 (6) (a) 7. and 8. should be made in s. 66.1105 (7) (am) 2. and 3., which will fit better with the intro., instead of creating new subdivisions. My change also takes account for the need to refer back to sub. (7) (a), which says that a TID terminates when all its project costs are paid if that is earlier than the statutory termination date. Again, I think this language captures the intent from your proposed created sub. (7) (am) 2m. and 3m. Here's what I propose. Is this OK?

Section 3. 66.1105 (7) (am) 2. of the statutes is amended to read:

66.1105 (7) (am) 2. For a district that is created after September 30, 2004, about which a finding is made under sub. (4) (gm) 4. a. that not less than 50 percent, by area, of the real property within the district is suitable for industrial sites or mixed-use development, 20 years after the district is created, except that the city that created the district may, subject to sub. (8) (e), request that the joint review board extend the life of the district for an additional 3 years. Along with its request for a 3-year extension, the city may provide the joint review board with an independent audit that demonstrates that the district is unable to pay off its project costs within the 20 years after the district is created. The joint review board may deny or approve a request to extend the life of the district for 3 years if the request does not include the independent audit, and the board shall approve a request to extend the life of the district for 3 years if the request includes the audit. If the joint review board extends the district's life, the district shall terminate at the earlier of the end of the extended period or the period specified in par. (a). For a tax incremental district created after the effective date of this subdivision ... [LRB inserts date], the termination date for a district to which this subdivision applies shall either be increased by one year beyond the otherwise applicable termination date under this subd. 2. if that district's project plan is adopted under sub. (4) (g) after September 30 and before May 15, or shall be the period specified in par. (a), whichever is earlier.

Thanks,

Marc

P.S. If this change is OK with you both, I'll be ready to turn this draft in once you let me know what DOR said about possibly repealing s. 66.1105 (5) (bg).

---

**From:** Grosz, Scott  
**Sent:** Friday, January 16, 2015 12:57 PM  
**To:** Schmidt, Melissa; Shovers, Marc  
**Subject:** RE: WLCS 0049/LRB -1070

Melissa - if you want to run it by Nate Ristow one final time, I think that would be great.

Scott

---

**From:** Schmidt, Melissa  
**Sent:** Friday, January 16, 2015 12:56 PM  
**To:** Grosz, Scott; Shovers, Marc  
**Subject:** RE: WLCS 0049/LRB -1070

I agree. It looks consistent. As for the prior question about whether the TID terminated, I do not know the answer to that question. Scott did you research that? If not, I can call over to DOR and find out.

*Melissa Schmidt*  
Senior Staff Attorney  
Wisconsin Legislative Council  
(608) 266-2298

---

**From:** Grosz, Scott  
**Sent:** Friday, January 16, 2015 12:42 PM  
**To:** Shovers, Marc; Schmidt, Melissa  
**Subject:** RE: WLCS 0049/LRB -1070

Looks consistent to me.

Scott

---

**From:** Shovers, Marc  
**Sent:** Friday, January 16, 2015 12:41 PM  
**To:** Grosz, Scott; Schmidt, Melissa  
**Subject:** RE: WLCS 0049/LRB -1070

Hi again. I have a suggestion for created ss. 66.1105 (6) (a) 7m. and 8m. that I'd like you to consider, which may fit with the intro. better. Instead of creating new subdivisions, I proposed amending ss. 66.1105 (6) (a) 7. and 8. by adding the following, so the subdivisions would look like this:

7. Twenty years after the tax incremental district is created if the district is created on or after October 1, 2004, and if the district is at least predominantly suitable for mixed-use development or



industrial sites under sub. (4) (gm) 6., except that if the life of the district is extended under sub. (7) (am) 2. an allocation under this subdivision may be made 23 years after such a district is created. For a tax incremental district created after the effective date of this subdivision .... [LRB inserts date], the period during which a tax increment may be allocated under this subd. 7. shall be increased by one year if that district's project plan is adopted under sub. (4) (g) after September 30 and before May 15.

Your proposed subd. 7m read as follows:

Notwithstanding subd. 7., for a tax incremental district created after the effective date of this subdivision .... [LRB inserts date], one year after the date specified in subd. 7., if the district's project plan is adopted under sub. (4) (g) after September 30 and before May 15.

I think my proposed change is completely consistent with your intent, and I'd make the same change in subd. 8. What do you think? Thanks.

Marc

**From:** Shovers, Marc

**Sent:** Friday, January 16, 2015 11:58 AM

**To:** Grosz, Scott; Schmidt, Melissa

**Subject:** WLCS 0049/LRB -1070

Hello Melissa and Scott:

This bill makes a number of technical changes, including the repeal of (obsolete) s. 66.1105 (5) (bg). Clearly, DOR has treated the TID as if its forms have been filed before January 1, 2000, but DOR is also supposed to allocate tax increments as if the forms have been filed on or before 12/31/99.

Do you know whether this TID has terminated? If so, the statute may be repealed, but if DOR is still allocating increments, maybe it should not be repealed. Thanks.

Marc

Marc Shovers

Senior Legislative Attorney

Legislative Reference Bureau

608-266-0129

[marc.shovers@legis.wisconsin.gov](mailto:marc.shovers@legis.wisconsin.gov)



MES wij

**PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION**

IN  
1/23  
WANTED  
1/29

1 AN ACT ...; relating to: industrial zoning requirements in tax incremental  
2 districts, planning commission notice for tax incremental district amendments,  
3 obsolete references relating to tax incremental districts, allocation of tax  
4 increments, joint review board review, and calculation of levy limits following  
5 dissolution of a tax incremental district.

JLC NOTE Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

FE-SL

**The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:**

JOINT LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL PREFATORY NOTE: This <sup>bill</sup> draft was prepared for the Joint Legislative Council's Study Committee on Review of Tax Incremental Financing.

Industrial Zoning Requirements in Tax Incremental Districts

Under current law, a resolution to create a tax incremental district (TID) must include a finding that not less than 50 percent, by area, of the real property within the district is at least one of the following: a blighted area; in need of rehabilitation or conservation work; suitable for industrial sites and zoned for industrial use; or suitable for mixed-use development. The resolution must also confirm that any real property within the district that is found suitable for industrial sites and is zoned for industrial

use will remain zoned for industrial use for the life of the tax incremental district, and must declare that the district is a blighted area district, a rehabilitation or conservation district, an industrial district, or a mixed-use district based on the identification and classification of the property included within the district.

The ~~draft~~<sup>bill</sup> specifies that the requirement related to maintenance of industrial zoning applies only to districts that are declared to be industrial districts.

#### Planning Commission Notice for TID Amendments

Under current law, a TID/<sup>is project plan</sup> project plan may be amended for several reasons, including modification of the ~~allowed~~ expenditures in a TID's project plan, addition or subtraction of territory to the TID's boundaries, extension of the TID's lifespan, and donation of tax increments to another TID.

Generally, the process to amend a TID/<sup>is project plan</sup> is similar to the process of creating a TID, requiring a public hearing held by the planning commission and adoption of resolutions by the planning commission, municipality, and joint review board (JRB) to approve the amendment. As part of this process, the planning commission must publish a class 2 notice of its public hearing. The JRB must publish notice of its meeting as a class 1 notice, at least five days before the meeting. <sup>plan or</sup>

Under current law, a class 2 notice consists of insertions of the notice for two consecutive weeks, with the last insertion at least a week prior to the meeting date, in the appropriate newspaper of record under ch. 985, stats. A class 1 notice, unless otherwise specified (for example, the requirement that the JRB must publish a notice five days before its meeting), requires a single insertion of the notice, at least a week prior to the meeting date, in the appropriate newspaper of record.

The ~~draft~~<sup>bill</sup> amends the notice requirement of the planning commission from a class 2 notice to a class 1 notice with regard to notices relating to the TID amendment process.

#### Obsolete References

Over time, the statutes relating to tax incremental financing have been amended to include numerous provisions that are significantly limited in their scope, often relating to a single municipality or a particular TID. Often, these amendments offer special statutory authorization regarding creation, amendment, or lifespan of a particular district or class of districts, or to TIDs in a particular municipality.

The ~~draft~~<sup>bill</sup> repeals certain provisions of the statutes relating to tax incremental financing that the Department of Revenue identified as obsolete. <sup>(DOR)</sup>

#### Timing Penalty

Under current law, certain statutory and administrative deadlines relating to the allocation of positive tax increments to a TID combine to result in variation in the maximum number of positive increments that may be allocated to a TID, depending on the date on which a municipality acted to create the TID and its project plan. In particular, the maximum number of positive increments that a TID may receive is one fewer for a TID and project plan created after September 30 and before May 15 than for TIDs created on or after May 15 and before October 1.

For newly-created TIDs, the ~~draft~~<sup>bill</sup> extends a TID's lifespan and/<sup>allocation</sup> period for allocation of positive ~~TID~~ increments by one year if the municipality that creates the TID adopts the project plan for the TID after September 30 and before May 15.

#### Joint Review Board Review Period

Before a municipality's resolution to create a TID, amend a TID's project plan, or require the Department of Revenue (DOR) to redetermine ~~the~~ TID's base value may take <sup>na</sup>

*One of these steps is JRB approval of a municipality's TID resolution. A*

effect, several steps are required. These steps include approval by a JRB, which consists of members who represent the overlying taxation districts. In general, the JRB must approve the resolution by a majority vote within 30 days after receiving the resolution. The review period applicable to an industry-specific TID located in a town and an environmental remediation TID is not less than 10 days nor more than 30 days.

The ~~draft~~<sup>bill</sup> amends the maximum review period the JRB has to approve a municipality's resolution related to a TID from 30 days to 45 days after receiving the resolution.

Generally, under current law, and subject to a number of exceptions, a municipality may not increase its base levy (the prior year's actual levy) in any year by more than the percentage change in the municipality's equalized value due to new construction, including new construction that occurs in a TID, less improvements removed, between the previous year and the current year, but not less than zero percent. Also, when determining its levy limit, a municipality must exclude the amount of any tax increment generated by property in a TID located in the municipality.

There are numerous exceptions that may be used to adjust a municipality's levy limit. One exception authorizes an increase in a municipality's levy limit for the year that a TID terminates. If DOR does not certify a TID as a result of the district's termination, the levy limit otherwise applicable is increased by an amount equal to the municipality's maximum allowable levy for the preceding year, multiplied by a percentage equal to 50 percent of the amount determined by dividing the terminated TID's value increment by the municipality's equalized value, as determined by DOR. The increase must be applied to the municipality's levy limit in the year that the TID terminates.

The ~~draft~~<sup>bill</sup> specifies that the municipality's equalized value for the preceding year, as used in the calculation of the levy limit exception for the year that a TID terminates, excludes the value of any TID value increments.

*political subdivisions*  
*political subdivisions*

*city, village, town, or county (political subdivision)*

*political subdivisions*

- 1       **SECTION 1.** 60.85 (4) (b) 2. of the statutes is amended to read:
- 2       60.85 (4) (b) 2. No tax incremental district may be created and no project plan
- 3       may be amended unless the joint review board approves the resolution adopted
- 4       under sub. (3) (h) or (j) 1. by a majority vote not less than 10 days nor more than 30
- 5       45 days after receiving the resolution.

NOTE: This SECTION extends the maximum review period that the JRB has to approve the creation or amendment of an industry-specific town TID from 30 days to 45 days.

- 6       **SECTION 2.** 66.0602 (3) (dm) of the statutes is amended to read:
- 7       66.0602 (3) (dm) If the department of revenue does not certify a value
- 8       increment for a tax incremental district for the current year as a result of the
- 9       district's termination, the levy increase limit otherwise applicable under this section
- 10      in the current year to the political subdivision in which the district is located is

1 increased by an amount equal to the political subdivision's maximum allowable levy  
2 for the immediately preceding year, multiplied by a percentage equal to 50 percent  
3 of the amount determined by dividing the value increment of the terminated tax  
4 incremental district, calculated for the previous year, by the political subdivision's  
5 equalized value, exclusive of any tax incremental district value increments, for the  
6 previous year, all as determined by the department of revenue.

NOTE: This SECTION excludes the value of any TID increments from the calculation  
of the levy limit exception that applies for the year a TID terminates.

7 **SECTION 3.** 66.1105 (4) (gm) 5. of the statutes is amended to read:

8 66.1105 (4) (gm) 5. ~~Confirms~~ If the district is declared to be an industrial  
9 district under subd. 6., confirms that any real property within the district that is  
10 found suitable for industrial sites and is zoned for industrial use under subd. 4. a.  
11 will remain zoned for industrial use for the life of the tax incremental district.

NOTE: This SECTION specifies that maintenance of industrial zoning requirements  
applies only to districts that are declared to be industrial districts.

12 **SECTION 4.** 66.1105 (4) (h) 1. of the statutes is amended to read:

13 66.1105 (4) (h) 1. Subject to subds. 2., 4., 5., and 6., the planning commission  
14 may, by resolution, adopt an amendment to a project plan. The amendment is subject  
15 to approval by the local legislative body and approval requires the same findings as  
16 provided in par. (g) and, if the amendment adds territory to a district under subd. 2.,  
17 approval also requires the same findings as provided in par. (gm) 4. c. Any  
18 amendment to a project plan is also subject to review by a joint review board, acting  
19 under sub. (4m). Adoption of an amendment to a project plan shall be preceded by  
20 a public hearing held by the plan commission at which interested parties shall be  
21 afforded a reasonable opportunity to express their views on the amendment. Notice  
22 of the hearing shall be published as a class 2 1 notice, under ch. 985. The notice shall

1 include a statement of the purpose and cost of the amendment and shall advise that  
 2 a copy of the amendment will be provided on request. Before publication, a copy of  
 3 the notice shall be sent by 1st class mail to the chief executive officer or administrator  
 4 of all local governmental entities having the power to levy taxes on property within  
 5 the district and to the school board of any school district which includes property  
 6 located within the proposed district. For a county with no chief executive officer or  
 7 administrator, this notice shall be sent to the county board chairperson.

NOTE: This SECTION amends the notice a planning commission must provide with regard to consideration of a TID amendment.

8 SECTION 5. 66.1105 (4e) (b) 3, (4m) (b) 2., and 2m., of the statutes are amended  
 9 to read:

10 66.1105 (4e) (b) 3. A resolution adopted under par. (a) 1. may not take effect  
 11 unless the joint review board approves, by resolution, the designation under subd.  
 12 2. The joint review board shall approve or deny the designation within 30 45 days  
 13 after receiving the resolution under subd. 2.

14 *SEC 5; Am. 66.1105 (4m) (b) 2.*  
 15 *66.1105* (4m) (b) 2. Except as provided in subd. 2m., no tax incremental district may  
 16 be created and no project plan may be amended unless the board approves the  
 17 resolution adopted under sub. (4) (gm) or (h) 1., and no tax incremental base may be  
 18 redetermined under sub. (5) (h) unless the board approves the resolution adopted  
 19 under sub. (5) (h) 1., by a majority vote within 30 45 days after receiving the  
 20 resolution. With regard to a multijurisdictional tax incremental district created  
 21 under this section, each public member of a participating city must be part of the  
 22 majority that votes for approval of the resolution or the district may not be created.  
 23 The board may not approve the resolution under this subdivision unless the board's approval contains a positive assertion that, in its judgment, the development

1 described in the documents the board has reviewed under subd. 1. would not occur  
 2 without the creation of a tax incremental district. The board may not approve the  
 3 resolution under this subdivision unless the board finds that, with regard to a tax  
 4 incremental district that is proposed to be created by a city under sub. (17) (a), such  
 5 a district would be the only existing district created under that subsection by that  
 6 city. *Sec.#; Am; 66.1105 (4m) (b) 2m.*  
 7 *66.1105* (4m) (b) 2m. The requirement under subd. 2., ~~2013-14 stats.~~, that a vote by the  
 8 board take place within 30 days after receiving a resolution does not apply to a  
 9 resolution amending a project plan under sub. (4) (h) 1. if the resolution relates to  
 10 a tax incremental district, the application for the redetermination of the tax  
 11 incremental base of which was made in 1998, that is located in a village that was  
 12 incorporated in 1912, has a population of at least 3,800 and is located in a county with  
 13 a population of at least 108,000.

NOTE: *These* ~~This SECTION~~ extends the review period that the JRB has to approve the  
 designation of a TID as distressed or severely distressed from 30 days to 45 days. ~~It also~~ *They*  
 extends the review period that the JRB has to approve the creation or amendment of a  
 TID located in a city or village from 30 days to 45 days and amends the statutory reference  
 applicable to an exception to the 30-day JRB review period.


14 SECTION 6. 66.1105 (5) (bg) of the statutes is repealed.

NOTE: This SECTION repeals an obsolete reference.

15 SECTION 7. 66.1105 (6) (a) 7m. and 8m. of the statutes ~~are~~ *is* created to read:

16 66.1105 (6) (a) 7m. Notwithstanding subd. 7., for a tax incremental district  
 17 created after the effective date of this subdivision .... [LRB inserts date], one year  
 18 after the date specified in subd. 7., if the district's project plan is adopted under sub.  
 19 (4) (g) after September 30 and before May 15.

20 *Sec.#; CR; 66.1105 (6) (a) 8m.*  
 21 *66.1105 (6) (a)* 8m. Notwithstanding subd. 8., for a tax incremental district created after the  
 effective date of this subdivision .... [LRB inserts date], one year after the date



1 specified in subd. 8., if the district's project plan is adopted under sub. (4) (g) after  
 2 September 30 and before May 15.

NOTE: This SECTION extends a TID's lifespan and allocation period for ~~TID~~ tax increments if a TID project plan is adopted after September 30 and before May 15.

3 SECTION 8. 66.1105 (6) (am) 4. ~~(dm) 1, 3, a, 4, and (e) 1~~ of the statutes are  
 4 repealed.

*Sec. #; RP; 66.1105 (6) (dm) 1.  
 Sec. #; RP; 66.1105 (6) (dm) 3, a.  
 Sec. #; RP; 66.1105 (6) (dm) 4.*

*Sec. # RP; 66.1105 (6) (e) 1.  
 Note: This section repeals an obsolete reference.*

NOTE: This SECTION repeals obsolete references.

*These SECTIONS*

5 SECTION 9. 66.1105 (7) (am) 2m. and 3m. of the statutes are created to read:

6 (7) (am) 2m. Notwithstanding subd. 2., for a tax incremental district created  
 7 after the effective date of this subdivision ... [LRB inserts date], one year after the  
 8 date specified in subd. 2., if the district's project plan is adopted under sub. (4) (g)  
 9 after September 30 and before May 15.

10 3m. Notwithstanding subd. 3., increment for a tax incremental district created  
 11 after the effective date of this subdivision ... [LRB inserts date], one year after the  
 12 date specified in subd. 3., if the district's project plan is adopted under sub. (4) (g)  
 13 after September 30 and before May 15.

*FNS 7-13*

NOTE: This SECTION extends a TID's lifespan and allocation period for TID increments if a TID project plan is adopted after September 30 and before May 15.

*These SECTIONS extend*

14 SECTION 10. 66.1106 (3) (b) 2. of the statutes is amended to read:

15 66.1106 (3) (b) 2. No written application may be submitted under sub. (4) unless  
 16 the board approves the written proposal under sub. (2) by a majority vote not less  
 17 than 10 days nor more than ~~30~~ 45 days after receiving the proposal.

NOTE: This SECTION extends the maximum review period that the JRB has to approve the written proposal and statement required for the creation of an environmental remediation TID.



2015-2016 DRAFTING INSERT  
FROM THE  
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-1070/P1ins  
MES.....

INS 6-15 p. 1062

**SECTION 1.** 66.1105 (6) (a) 7. of the statutes is amended to read:

66.1105 (6) (a) 7. Twenty years after the tax incremental district is created if the district is created on or after October 1, 2004, and if the district is at least predominantly suitable for mixed-use development or industrial sites under sub. (4) (gm) 6., except that if the life of the district is extended under sub. (7) (am) 2. an allocation under this subdivision may be made 23 years after such a district is created. For a tax incremental district created after the effective date of this subdivision ... [LRB inserts date], the period during which a tax increment may be allocated under this subd. 7. shall be increased by one year if that district's project plan is adopted under sub. (4) (g) after September 30 and before May 15.

**History:** 1975 c. 105, 199, 311; 1977 c. 29 ss. 724m, 725, 1646 (1), (3); 1977 c. 418; 1979 c. 221, 343; 1979 c. 361 s. 112; 1981 c. 20, 317; 1983 a. 27, 31, 207, 320, 405, 538; 1985 a. 29, 39, 285; 1987 a. 27, 186, 395; 1989 a. 31, 336; 1993 a. 293, 337, 399; 1995 a. 27 ss. 3330c to 3337, 9116 (5), 9130 (4); 1995 a. 201, 225, 227, 335; 1997 a. 3, 27, 237, 252; 1999 a. 9; 1999 a. 150 ss. 457 to 472; Stats. 1999 s. 66.1105; 2001 a. 5, 11, 16, 104; 2003 a. 34, 46, 126, 127, 194, 320, 326; 2005 a. 6, 13, 46, 328, 331, 385; 2007 a. 2, 10, 21, 41, 43, 57, 73, 96; 2009 a. 5, 28, 67, 170, 176, 310, 312; 2011 a. 10, 12, 32, 40, 41, 77, 137, 139; 2011 a. 260 s. 81; 2013 a. 2, 32, 90; 2013 a. 165 ss. 43, 44, 114; 2013 a. 173 s. 32; 2013 a. 183, 193, 284, 299; s. 35.17 correction in (5) (i) 1.

~~For a tax incremental district created after the effective date of this subdivision ... [LRB inserts date], the period during which a tax increment may be allocated under this subd. 7. shall be increased by one year if that district's project plan is adopted under sub. (4) (g) after September 30 and before May 15.~~

~~Notwithstanding subd. 7., for a tax incremental district created after the effective date of this subdivision ... [LRB inserts date], one year after the date specified in subd. 7., if the district's project plan is adopted under sub. (4) (g) after September 30 and before May 15.~~

**SECTION 2.** 66.1105 (6) (a) 8. of the statutes is amended to read:

66.1105 (6) (a) 8. Twenty-seven years after the tax incremental district is created if the district is created on or after October 1, 2004, and if the district is a

district specified under sub. (4) (gm) 6. other than a district specified under subd. 7., except that if the life of the district is extended under sub. (7) (am) 3. an allocation under this subdivision may be made 30 years after such a district is created. For a tax incremental district created after the effective date of this subdivision ... [LRB inserts date], the period during which a tax increment may be allocated under this subd. 8. shall be increased by one year if that district's project plan is adopted under sub. (4) (g) after September 30 and before May 15.

*2 subdivision*

**History:** 1975 c. 105, 199, 311; 1977 c. 29 ss. 724m, 725, 1646 (1), (3); 1977 c. 418; 1979 c. 221, 343; 1979 c. 361 s. 112; 1981 c. 20, 317; 1983 a. 27, 31, 207, 320, 405, 588; 1985 a. 29, 39, 285; 1987 a. 27, 186, 395; 1989 a. 31, 336; 1993 a. 293, 337, 399; 1995 a. 27 ss. 3330c to 3337, 9116 (5), 9130 (4); 1995 a. 201, 225, 227, 335; 1997 a. 3, 27, 237, 252; 1999 a. 9; 1999 a. 150 ss. 457 to 472; Stats. 1999 s. 66.1105; 2001 a. 5, 11, 16, 104; 2003 a. 34, 46, 126, 127, 194, 320, 326; 2005 a. 6, 13, 46, 328, 331, 385; 2007 a. 2, 10, 21, 41, 43, 57, 73, 96; 2009 a. 5, 28, 67, 170, 176, 310, 312; 2011 a. 10, 12, 32, 40, 41, 77, 137, 139; 2011 a. 260 s. 81; 2013 a. 2, 32, 90; 2013 a. 165 ss. 43, 44, 114; 2013 a. 173 s. 32; 2013 a. 183, 193, 284, 299; s. 35.17 correction-in-(5)(i)1.

**SECTION 3. 66.1105 (7) (am) 2. of the statutes is amended to read:**

**66.1105 (7) (am) 2.** For a district that is created after September 30, 2004, about which a finding is made under sub. (4) (gm) 4. a. that not less than 50 percent, by area, of the real property within the district is suitable for industrial sites or mixed-use development, 20 years after the district is created, except that the city that created the district may, subject to sub. (8) (e), request that the joint review board extend the life of the district for an additional 3 years. Along with its request for a 3-year extension, the city may provide the joint review board with an independent audit that demonstrates that the district is unable to pay off its project costs within the 20 years after the district is created. The joint review board may deny or approve a request to extend the life of the district for 3 years if the request does not include the independent audit, and the board shall approve a request to extend the life of the district for 3 years if the request includes the audit. If the joint review board extends the district's life, the district shall terminate at the earlier of the end of the extended period or the period specified in par. (a). For a tax incremental district created after the effective date of this subdivision ... [LRB inserts date], the termination date for

*end of  
INS  
6-15*

*INS  
7-13  
P. 1063*

a district to which this subdivision applies shall either be increased by one year beyond the otherwise applicable termination date under this <sup>subdivision</sup> subd. 2, if that district's project plan is adopted under sub. (4) (g) after September 30 and before May 15, or shall be the period specified in par. (a), whichever is earlier.

**History:** 1975 c. 105, 199, 311; 1977 c. 29 ss. 724m, 725, 1646 (1), (3); 1977 c. 418; 1979 c. 221, 343; 1979 c. 361 s. 112; 1981 c. 20, 317; 1983 a. 27, 31, 207, 320, 405, 538; 1985 a. 29, 39, 285; 1987 a. 27, 186, 395; 1989 a. 31, 336; 1993 a. 293, 337, 399; 1995 a. 27 ss. 3330c to 3337, 9116 (5), 9130 (4); 1995 a. 201, 225, 227, 335; 1997 a. 3, 27, 237, 252; 1999 a. 9; 1999 a. 150 ss. 457 to 472; Stats. 1999 s. 66.1105; 2001 a. 5, 11, 16, 104; 2003 a. 34, 46, 126, 127, 194, 320, 326; 2005 a. 6, 13, 46, 328, 331, 385; 2007 a. 2, 10, 21, 41, 43, 57, 73, 96; 2009 a. 5, 28, 67, 170, 176, 310, 312; 2011 a. 10, 12, 32, 40, 41, 77, 137, 139; 2011 a. 260 s. 81; 2013 a. 2, 32, 90; 2013 a. 165 ss. 43, 44, 114; 2013 a. 173 s. 32; 2013 a. 183, 193, 284, 299; s. 35.17 correction in (5) (i) 1.

**SECTION 4. 66.1105 (7) (am) 3. of the statutes is amended to read:**

66.1105 (7) (am) 3. For a district that is created after September 30, 2004, about which a finding is made under sub. (4) (gm) 4. a. that not less than 50 percent, by area, of the real property within the district is a blighted area or in need of rehabilitation, 27 years after the district is created, except that the city that created the district may, subject to sub. (8) (e), request that the joint review board extend the life of the district for an additional 3 years. Along with its request for a 3-year extension, the city may provide the joint review board with an independent audit that demonstrates that the district is unable to pay off its project costs within the 27 years after the district is created. The joint review board may deny or approve a request to extend the life of the district for 3 years if the request does not include the independent audit, and the board shall approve a request to extend the life of the district for 3 years if the request includes the audit. If the joint review board extends the district's life, the district shall terminate at the earlier of the end of the extended period or the period specified in par. (a). For a tax incremental district created after the effective date of this subdivision .... [LRB inserts date], the termination date for a district to which this subdivision applies shall either be increased by one year beyond the otherwise applicable termination date under this <sup>subdivision</sup> subd. 3, if that district's project plan is

subdivision

adopted under sub. (4) (g) after September 30 and before May 15, or shall be the period specified in par. (a), whichever is earlier.

**History:** 1975 c. 105, 199, 311; 1977 c. 29 ss. 724m, 725, 1646 (1), (3); 1977 c. 418; 1979 c. 221, 343; 1979 c. 361 s. 112; 1981 c. 20, 317; 1983 a. 27, 31, 207, 320, 405, 538; 1985 a. 29, 39, 285; 1987 a. 27, 186, 395; 1989 a. 31, 336; 1993 a. 293, 337, 399; 1995 a. 27 ss. 3330c to 3337, 9116 (5), 9130 (4); 1995 a. 201, 225, 227, 335; 1997 a. 3, 27, 237, 252; 1999 a. 9; 1999 a. 150 ss. 457 to 472; Stats. 1999 s. 66.1105; 2001 a. 5, 11, 16, 104; 2003 a. 34, 46, 126, 127, 194, 320, 326; 2005 a. 6, 13, 46, 328, 331, 385; 2007 a. 2, 10, 21, 41, 43, 57, 73, 96; 2009 a. 5, 28, 67, 170, 176, 310, 312; 2011 a. 10, 12, 32, 40, 41, 77, 137, 139; 2011 a. 260 s. 81; 2013 a. 2, 32, 90; 2013 a. 165 ss. 43, 44, 114; 2013 a. 173 s. 32; 2013 a. 183, 193, 284, 299; s. 35.17 correction in (5) (i) 1.

END of  
INS  
7-13

**2015–2016 DRAFTING INSERT  
FROM THE  
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU**

LRB–1070/P1ins  
MES:wlj:...

**INSERT 7–4**

1

2

**SECTION 1.** 66.1105 (6) (e) 1. b. of the statutes is amended to read:

3

66.1105 (6) (e) 1. b. Except as provided in subd. 1. ~~e.~~ and e., the donor tax

4

incremental district and the recipient tax incremental district have been created

5

before October 1, 1995.

**History:** 1975 c. 105, 199, 311; 1977 c. 29 ss. 724m, 725, 1646 (1), (3); 1977 c. 418; 1979 c. 221, 343; 1979 c. 361 s. 112; 1981 c. 20, 317; 1983 a. 27, 31, 207, 320, 405, 538; 1985 a. 29, 39, 285; 1987 a. 27, 186, 395; 1989 a. 31, 336; 1993 a. 293, 337, 399; 1995 a. 27 ss. 3330c to 3337, 9116 (5), 9130 (4); 1995 a. 201, 225, 227, 335; 1997 a. 3, 27, 237, 252; 1999 a. 9; 1999 a. 150 ss. 457 to 472; Stats. 1999 s. 66.1105; 2001 a. 5, 11, 16, 104; 2003 a. 34, 46, 126, 127, 194, 320, 326; 2005 a. 6, 13, 46, 328, 331, 385; 2007 a. 2, 10, 21, 41, 43, 57, 73, 96; 2009 a. 5, 28, 67, 170, 176, 310, 312; 2011 a. 10, 12, 32, 40, 41, 77, 137, 139; 2011 a. 260 s. 81; 2013 a. 2, 32, 90; 2013 a. 165 ss. 43, 44, 114; 2013 a. 173 s. 32; 2013 a. 183, 193, 284, 299; s. 35.17 correction in (5) (i) 1.



State of Wisconsin  
2015 - 2016 LEGISLATURE

LRB-1070/P1

MES:wj:rs

Stays

**PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION**

Friday,  
1/30

1 **AN ACT to repeal** 66.1105 (5) (bg), 66.1105 (6) (am) 4., 66.1105 (6) (dm) 1., 66.1105  
2 (6) (dm) 3. a., 66.1105 (6) (dm) 4. and 66.1105 (6) (e) 1. c.; and **to amend** 60.85  
3 (4) (b) 2., 66.0602 (3) (dm), 66.1105 (4) (gm) 5., 66.1105 (4) (h) 1., 66.1105 (4e)  
4 (b) 3., 66.1105 (4m) (b) 2., 66.1105 (4m) (b) 2m., 66.1105 (6) (a) 7., 66.1105 (6) (a)  
5 8., 66.1105 (6) (e) 1. b., 66.1105 (7) (am) 2., 66.1105 (7) (am) 3. and 66.1106 (3)  
6 (b) 2. of the statutes; **relating to:** industrial zoning requirements in tax  
7 incremental districts, planning commission notice for tax incremental district  
8 amendments, obsolete references relating to tax incremental districts,  
9 allocation of tax increments, joint review board review, and calculation of levy  
10 limits following dissolution of a tax incremental district.

---

***Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau***

This bill is explained in the NOTES provided by the Joint Legislative Council in the bill.

For further information see the *state and local* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

---

***The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:***

JOINT LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL PREFATORY NOTE: This bill was prepared for the Joint Legislative Council's Study Committee on Review of Tax Incremental Financing.

Industrial Zoning Requirements in Tax Incremental Districts

Under current law, a resolution to create a tax incremental district (TID) must include a finding that not less than 50 percent, by area, of the real property within the district is at least one of the following: a blighted area; in need of rehabilitation or conservation work; suitable for industrial sites and zoned for industrial use; or suitable for mixed-use development. The resolution must also confirm that any real property within the district that is found suitable for industrial sites and is zoned for industrial use will remain zoned for industrial use for the life of the tax incremental district, and must declare that the district is a blighted area district, a rehabilitation or conservation district, an industrial district, or a mixed-use district based on the identification and classification of the property included within the district.

The bill specifies that the requirement related to maintenance of industrial zoning applies only to districts that are declared to be industrial districts.

Planning Commission Notice for TID Amendments

Under current law, a TID's project plan may be amended for several reasons, including modification of the expenditures allowed in a TID's project plan, addition or subtraction of territory to the TID's boundaries, extension of the TID's lifespan, and donation of tax increments to another TID.

Generally, the process to amend a TID's project plan is similar to the process of creating a TID, requiring a public hearing held by the planning commission and adoption of resolutions by the planning commission, municipality, and joint review board (JRB) to approve the plan or amendment. As part of this process, the planning commission must publish a class 2 notice of its public hearing. The JRB must publish notice of its meeting as a class 1 notice, at least five days before the meeting.

Under current law, a class 2 notice consists of insertions of the notice for two consecutive weeks, with the last insertion at least a week prior to the meeting date, in the appropriate newspaper of record under ch. 985, stats. A class 1 notice, unless otherwise specified (for example, the requirement that the JRB must publish a notice five days before its meeting), requires a single insertion of the notice, at least a week prior to the meeting date, in the appropriate newspaper of record.

The bill amends the notice requirement of the planning commission from a class 2 notice to a class 1 notice with regard to notices relating to the TID amendment process.

Obsolete References

Over time, the statutes relating to tax incremental financing have been amended to include numerous provisions that are significantly limited in their scope, often relating to a single municipality or a particular TID. Often, these amendments offer special statutory authorization regarding creation, amendment, or lifespan of a particular district or class of districts, or to TIDs in a particular municipality.

The bill repeals certain provisions of the statutes relating to tax incremental financing that the Department of Revenue (DOR) identified as obsolete.

Timing Penalty

Under current law, certain statutory and administrative deadlines relating to the allocation of positive tax increments to a TID combine to result in variation in the maximum number of positive increments that may be allocated to a TID, depending on

the date on which a municipality acted to create the TID and its project plan. In particular, the maximum number of positive increments that a TID may receive is one fewer for a TID and project plan created after September 30 and before May 15 than for TIDs created on or after May 15 and before October 1.

For newly created TIDs, the bill extends a TID's lifespan and allocation period of positive tax increments by one year if the municipality that creates the TID adopts the project plan for the TID after September 30 and before May 15.

Joint Review Board Review Period

Before a municipality's resolution to create a TID, amend a TID's project plan, or require DOR to redetermine a TID's base value may take effect, several steps are required. One of these steps is JRB approval of a municipality's TID resolution. A JRB consists of members who represent the overlying taxation districts. In general, the JRB must approve the resolution by a majority vote within 30 days after receiving the resolution. The review period applicable to an industry-specific TID located in a town and an environmental remediation TID is not less than 10 days nor more than 30 days.

The bill amends the maximum review period the JRB has to approve a municipality's TID resolution from 30 days to 45 days after receiving the resolution.

Generally, under the current local levy law, and subject to a number of exceptions, a city, village, town, or county (political subdivision) may not increase its base levy (the prior year's actual levy) in any year by more than the percentage change in the political subdivision's equalized value due to new construction, less improvements removed, including new construction that occurs in a TID between the previous year and the current year, but not less than 0 percent. Also, when determining its levy limit, a municipality must exclude the amount of any tax increment generated by property in a TID located in the municipality.

There are numerous exceptions that may be used to adjust a political subdivision's levy limit. One exception authorizes an increase in a municipality's levy limit for the year that a TID terminates. If DOR does not certify a TID as a result of the district's termination, the levy limit otherwise applicable is increased by an amount equal to the municipality's maximum allowable levy for the preceding year, multiplied by a percentage equal to 50 percent of the amount determined by dividing the terminated TID's value increment by the municipality's equalized value, as determined by DOR. The increase must be applied to the municipality's levy limit in the year that the TID terminates.

The bill specifies that the municipality's equalized value for the preceding year, as used in the calculation of the levy limit exception for the year that a TID terminates, excludes the value of any TID value increments.

1           **SECTION 1.** 60.85 (4) (b) 2. of the statutes is amended to read:

2           60.85 (4) (b) 2. No tax incremental district may be created and no project plan  
3           may be amended unless the joint review board approves the resolution adopted  
4           under sub. (3) (h) or (j) 1. by a majority vote not less than 10 days nor more than 30  
5           45 days after receiving the resolution.

NOTE: This SECTION extends the maximum review period that the JRB has to approve the creation or amendment of an industry-specific town TID from 30 days to 45 days.

6           **SECTION 2.** 66.0602 (3) (dm) of the statutes is amended to read:

*Calculation of  
levy limit exceptions*

*NEW  
HEADING*



1           66.0602 (3) (dm) If the department of revenue does not certify a value  
2 increment for a tax incremental district for the current year as a result of the  
3 district's termination, the levy increase limit otherwise applicable under this section  
4 in the current year to the political subdivision in which the district is located is  
5 increased by an amount equal to the political subdivision's maximum allowable levy  
6 for the immediately preceding year, multiplied by a percentage equal to 50 percent  
7 of the amount determined by dividing the value increment of the terminated tax  
8 incremental district, calculated for the previous year, by the political subdivision's  
9 equalized value, exclusive of any tax incremental district value increments, for the  
10 previous year, all as determined by the department of revenue.

NOTE: This SECTION excludes the value of any TID increments from the calculation  
of the levy limit exception that applies for the year a TID terminates.

11           **SECTION 3.** 66.1105 (4) (gm) 5. of the statutes is amended to read:

12           66.1105 (4) (gm) 5. Confirms If the district is declared to be an industrial  
13 district under subd. 6., confirms that any real property within the district that is  
14 found suitable for industrial sites and is zoned for industrial use under subd. 4. a.  
15 will remain zoned for industrial use for the life of the tax incremental district.

NOTE: This SECTION specifies that maintenance of industrial zoning requirements  
applies only to districts that are declared to be industrial districts.

16           **SECTION 4.** 66.1105 (4) (h) 1. of the statutes is amended to read:

17           66.1105 (4) (h) 1. Subject to subds. 2., 4., 5., and 6., the planning commission  
18 may, by resolution, adopt an amendment to a project plan. The amendment is subject  
19 to approval by the local legislative body and approval requires the same findings as  
20 provided in par. (g) and, if the amendment adds territory to a district under subd. 2.,  
21 approval also requires the same findings as provided in par. (gm) 4. c. Any  
22 amendment to a project plan is also subject to review by a joint review board, acting

1 under sub. (4m). Adoption of an amendment to a project plan shall be preceded by  
2 a public hearing held by the plan commission at which interested parties shall be  
3 afforded a reasonable opportunity to express their views on the amendment. Notice  
4 of the hearing shall be published as a class 2 1 notice, under ch. 985. The notice shall  
5 include a statement of the purpose and cost of the amendment and shall advise that  
6 a copy of the amendment will be provided on request. Before publication, a copy of  
7 the notice shall be sent by 1st class mail to the chief executive officer or administrator  
8 of all local governmental entities having the power to levy taxes on property within  
9 the district and to the school board of any school district which includes property  
10 located within the proposed district. For a county with no chief executive officer or  
11 administrator, this notice shall be sent to the county board chairperson.

NOTE: This SECTION amends the notice a planning commission must provide with  
regard to consideration of a TID amendment.

12 **SECTION 5.** 66.1105 (4e) (b) 3. of the statutes is amended to read:

13 66.1105 (4e) (b) 3. A resolution adopted under par. (a) 1. may not take effect  
14 unless the joint review board approves, by resolution, the designation under subd.  
15 2. The joint review board shall approve or deny the designation within 30 45 days  
16 after receiving the resolution under subd. 2.

17 **SECTION 6.** 66.1105 (4m) (b) 2. of the statutes is amended to read:

18 66.1105 (4m) (b) 2. Except as provided in subd. 2m., no tax incremental district  
19 may be created and no project plan may be amended unless the board approves the  
20 resolution adopted under sub. (4) (gm) or (h) 1., and no tax incremental base may be  
21 redetermined under sub. (5) (h) unless the board approves the resolution adopted  
22 under sub. (5) (h) 1., by a majority vote within 30 45 days after receiving the  
23 resolution. With regard to a multijurisdictional tax incremental district created

1 under this section, each public member of a participating city must be part of the  
2 majority that votes for approval of the resolution or the district may not be created.  
3 The board may not approve the resolution under this subdivision unless the board's  
4 approval contains a positive assertion that, in its judgment, the development  
5 described in the documents the board has reviewed under subd. 1. would not occur  
6 without the creation of a tax incremental district. The board may not approve the  
7 resolution under this subdivision unless the board finds that, with regard to a tax  
8 incremental district that is proposed to be created by a city under sub. (17) (a), such  
9 a district would be the only existing district created under that subsection by that  
10 city.

11 **SECTION 7.** 66.1105 (4m) (b) 2m. of the statutes is amended to read:

12 66.1105 (4m) (b) 2m. The requirement under subd. 2., 2013 stats., that a vote  
13 by the board take place within 30 days after receiving a resolution does not apply to  
14 a resolution amending a project plan under sub. (4) (h) 1. if the resolution relates to  
15 a tax incremental district, the application for the redetermination of the tax  
16 incremental base of which was made in 1998, that is located in a village that was  
17 incorporated in 1912, has a population of at least 3,800 and is located in a county with  
18 a population of at least 108,000.

NOTE: These SECTIONS extend the review period that the JRB has to approve the designation of a TID as distressed or severely distressed from 30 days to 45 days. They also extend the review period that the JRB has to approve the creation or amendment of a TID located in a city or village from 30 days to 45 days and amend the statutory reference applicable to an exception to the 30-day JRB review period.

19 **SECTION 8.** 66.1105 (5) (bg) of the statutes is repealed.

NOTE: This SECTION repeals an obsolete reference.

20 **SECTION 9.** 66.1105 (6) (a) 7. of the statutes is amended to read:

1           66.1105 (6) (a) 7. Twenty years after the tax incremental district is created if  
2 the district is created on or after October 1, 2004, and if the district is at least  
3 predominantly suitable for mixed-use development or industrial sites under sub. (4)  
4 (gm) 6., except that if the life of the district is extended under sub. (7) (am) 2. an  
5 allocation under this subdivision may be made 23 years after such a district is  
6 created. For a tax incremental district created after the effective date of this  
7 subdivision .... [LRB inserts date], the period during which a tax increment may be  
8 allocated under this subdivision shall be increased by one year if that district's  
9 project plan is adopted under sub. (4) (g) after September 30 and before May 15.

10           **SECTION 10.** 66.1105 (6) (a) 8. of the statutes is amended to read:

11           66.1105 (6) (a) 8. Twenty-seven years after the tax incremental district is  
12 created if the district is created on or after October 1, 2004, and if the district is a  
13 district specified under sub. (4) (gm) 6. other than a district specified under subd. 7.,  
14 except that if the life of the district is extended under sub. (7) (am) 3. an allocation  
15 under this subdivision may be made 30 years after such a district is created. For a  
16 tax incremental district created after the effective date of this subdivision .... [LRB  
17 inserts date], the period during which a tax increment may be allocated under this  
18 subdivision shall be increased by one year if that district's project plan is adopted  
19 under sub. (4) (g) after September 30 and before May 15.

      ↓ NOTE: <sup>These</sup> This SECTION<sup>s</sup> extends a TID's lifespan and allocation period for tax  
increments if a TID project plan is adopted after September 30 and before May 15.

20           **SECTION 11.** 66.1105 (6) (am) 4. of the statutes is repealed.

21           **SECTION 12.** 66.1105 (6) (dm) 1. of the statutes is repealed.

22           **SECTION 13.** 66.1105 (6) (dm) 3. a. of the statutes is repealed.

23           **SECTION 14.** 66.1105 (6) (dm) 4. of the statutes is repealed.

X

*o*  
NOTE: These SECTIONS repeal obsolete references.

X

1 SECTION 15. 66.1105 (6) (e) 1. b. of the statutes is amended to read:

2 66.1105 (6) (e) 1. b. Except as provided in subd. 1. e. ~~and e.~~, the donor tax  
3 incremental district and the recipient tax incremental district have been created  
4 before October 1, 1995.

5 SECTION 16. 66.1105 (6) (e) 1. c. of the statutes is repealed.

NOTE: *These* ~~THIS SECTION~~ *s* ~~repeals an~~ obsolete reference<sup>s</sup>

X

6 SECTION 17. 66.1105 (7) (am) 2. of the statutes is amended to read:

7 66.1105 (7) (am) 2. For a district that is created after September 30, 2004, about  
8 which a finding is made under sub. (4) (gm) 4. a. that not less than 50 percent, by area,  
9 of the real property within the district is suitable for industrial sites or mixed-use  
10 development, 20 years after the district is created, except that the city that created  
11 the district may, subject to sub. (8) (e), request that the joint review board extend the  
12 life of the district for an additional 3 years. Along with its request for a 3-year  
13 extension, the city may provide the joint review board with an independent audit  
14 that demonstrates that the district is unable to pay off its project costs within the 20  
15 years after the district is created. The joint review board may deny or approve a  
16 request to extend the life of the district for 3 years if the request does not include the  
17 independent audit, and the board shall approve a request to extend the life of the  
18 district for 3 years if the request includes the audit. If the joint review board extends  
19 the district's life, the district shall terminate at the earlier of the end of the extended  
20 period or the period specified in par. (a). For a tax incremental district created after  
21 the effective date of this subdivision ... [LRB inserts date], the termination date for  
22 a district to which this subdivision applies shall either be increased by one year  
23 beyond the otherwise applicable termination date under this subdivision if that

1 district's project plan is adopted under sub. (4) (g) after September 30 and before May  
2 15, or shall be the period specified in par. (a), whichever is earlier.

3 **SECTION 18.** 66.1105 (7) (am) 3. of the statutes is amended to read:

4 66.1105 (7) (am) 3. For a district that is created after September 30, 2004, about  
5 which a finding is made under sub. (4) (gm) 4. a. that not less than 50 percent, by area,  
6 of the real property within the district is a blighted area or in need of rehabilitation,  
7 27 years after the district is created, except that the city that created the district may,  
8 subject to sub. (8) (e), request that the joint review board extend the life of the district  
9 for an additional 3 years. Along with its request for a 3-year extension, the city may  
10 provide the joint review board with an independent audit that demonstrates that the  
11 district is unable to pay off its project costs within the 27 years after the district is  
12 created. The joint review board may deny or approve a request to extend the life of  
13 the district for 3 years if the request does not include the independent audit, and the  
14 board shall approve a request to extend the life of the district for 3 years if the request  
15 includes the audit. If the joint review board extends the district's life, the district  
16 shall terminate at the earlier of the end of the extended period or the period specified  
17 in par. (a). For a tax incremental district created after the effective date of this  
18 subdivision ... [LRB inserts date], the termination date for a district to which this  
19 subdivision applies shall either be increased by one year beyond the otherwise  
20 applicable termination date under this subdivision if that district's project plan is  
21 adopted under sub. (4) (g) after September 30 and before May 15, or shall be the  
22 period specified in par. (a), whichever is earlier.

NOTE: These SECTIONS extend a TID's lifespan and allocation period for TID  
increments if a TID project plan is adopted after September 30 and before May 15.

23 **SECTION 19.** 66.1106 (3) (b) 2. of the statutes is amended to read:



**Parisi, Lori**

---

**From:** Schmidt, Melissa  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 11, 2015 3:06 PM  
**To:** LRB.Legal  
**Subject:** RE: Bills Ready for Jacketing

Sorry! See below...

*Melissa Schmidt*

Senior Staff Attorney  
Wisconsin Legislative Council  
(608) 266-2298

---

**From:** LRB.Legal  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 11, 2015 3:04 PM  
**To:** Schmidt, Melissa  
**Subject:** RE: Bills Ready for Jacketing

For Senate or Assembly please on each?

---

**From:** Schmidt, Melissa  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 11, 2015 3:02 PM  
**To:** LRB.Legal  
**Cc:** Grosz, Scott; Young, Tracey; Mautz, Kelly  
**Subject:** Bills Ready for Jacketing

To Whom it May Concern:

The following bill drafts are ready for jacketing:

1. LRB-0918/1 (Assembly)
2. LRB-0932/1 (Assembly)
3. LRB-0922/1 (Assembly)
4. LRB-1063/1 (Senate)
5. LRB-1064/1 (Senate)
6. LRB-1065/1 (Senate)
7. LRB-1066/1 (Senate)
8. LRB-1067/1 (Senate)
9. LRB-1068/1 (Senate)
10. LRB-1069/1 (Senate)
11. LRB-1070/1 (Senate)

Thank you,