Fiscal Estimate - 2015 Session					
🛛 Original 🔲 Updated	Corrected Suppler	nental			
LRB Number 15-3186/1	Introduction Number AB-03	35			
Description Utility aid payments for decommissioned or clos	sed production plants				
Fiscal Effect					
Appropriations Reve	ease Existing enues Increase Costs - May to absorb within agend enues Increase Costs - May to absorb within agend Increase Costs				
Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory 2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Decrease Revenue Permissive Mandatory Decrease Revenue Decrease Revenue Districts					
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations					
GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS 20.835(1)(dm)					
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date			
DOR/ Robert Schmidt (608) 266-5773	Robert Schmidt (608) 266-5773	9/14/2015			

.

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 9/14/2015

LRB Number 15-3186/1	Introduction Number	AB-0335	Estimate Type	Original	
Description					
Utility aid payments for decommissioned or closed production plants					

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Under current law, utility aid payments to counties and municipalities for a decommissioned plant decrease from 100% to 0% in 20% increments over a period of five years. The phasedown for decommissioned plants only applies to nuclear and wind plants. Current law further reduces utility aid payments by property taxes paid by the plant to the municipality or county.

The bill would extend the phasedown of utility aid payments to all plant types and remove the subtraction for property taxes paid by a plant. The bill is first effective for payments made in 2015.

The overall fiscal effect depends on the number and timing of power plant closures and the taxes generated from such plants upon closure. In effect, the bill will increase utility aid payments to municipalities and counties for closed non-nuclear and non-wind plants (natural gas, coal, biomass, hydroelectric, solar). The removal of the property tax subtraction would increase utility aid payments to municipalities and counties for decommissioned nuclear and wind plants.

The nuclear plant in the Town of Carlton (Kewaunee County) is no longer in operation, and as a result is now subject to local property taxes. Town and county utility aid payments for the plant were \$357,000 and \$713,000 in 2014. The nuclear plant generated approximately \$8,000 and \$73,000 for town and county property taxes, respectively, on the plant's 2014 assessed value of \$10.3 million. The assessment for the Carlton plant increased to approximately \$457.7 million in 2015, which will significantly increase the plant's share of town and county property taxes on its 2015/16 property tax bills. Assuming no increase in 2014 levies, the plant's town and county tax bills will increase to \$63,000 and \$2.4 million, respectively. Under current law, this tax amount would decrease the utility aid payments to the town and county. The significant increase the county taxes paid by the power plant would entirely offset the county utility aid payment.

Under the bill, the property tax subtraction would not take place. This would result in an increase in 2015 utility aid payments of \$81,000 (\$8,000 town + \$73,000 county) for the Carlton nuclear plant. The 2016 payments would increase by \$491,000 (\$63,000 town + \$428,000 county) compared to current law.

Administrative costs can be absorbed by the department.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Wisconsin Department of Administration Division of Executive Budget and Finance

Fiscal	Estimate	Worksheet -	2015 Session
--------	----------	-------------	--------------

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

Original Updated	Corrected	Supplemental	
LRB Number 15-3186/1	Introduction Numb	oer AB-0335	
Description Utility aid payments for decommissioned or clo	osed production plants		
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for S annualized fiscal effect):			
II. Annualized Costs:		al Impact on funds from:	
	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs	
A. State Costs by Category			
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$	\$	
(FTE Position Changes)			
State Operations - Other Costs			
Local Assistance			
Aids to Individuals or Organizations			
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$	
B. State Costs by Source of Funds			
GPR			
FED			
PRO/PRS			
SEG/SEG-S			
III. State Revenues - Complete this only wh revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in lie	nen proposal will increase o cense fee, ets.)	r decrease state	
	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev	
GPR Taxes	\$	\$	
GPR Earned			
FED			
PRO/PRS			
SEG/SEG-S			
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$	
NET ANNUA	LIZED FISCAL IMPACT		
	<u>State</u>	<u>Local</u>	
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$	\$	
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$	\$see text	
Agency/Prepared By Authorized Signature Dat			
DOR/ Robert Schmidt (608) 266-5773	DOR/ Robert Schmidt (608) 266-5773 Robert Schmidt (608) 266-5773 9/14/20		