Fiscal Estimate - 2017 Session

☑ Original	Updated	Corrected	Supplem	nental				
LRB Number 17-	2426/1	Introduction Number	AB-019	6				
Description possession of or use of a credit card scanner, providing civil immunity for sellers or distributors of fuel for scanners installed on pumps, and providing criminal penalties								
Fiscal Effect								
State: No State Fiscal Effet Indeterminate Increase Existing Appropriations Decrease Existing Appropriations Create New App	g Incre Reve g Deci Reve							
Local: No Local Government Indeterminate 1. Increase Cost Permissive 2. Decrease Cost Permissive	s 3. ☐ Incre Mandatory ☐ Perr ts 4. ☐ Decr	5.Types of L Governme Affected Towns rease Revenue missive Mandatory Mandatory District	nt Units Village es Others WTCS	S S				
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations								
GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS								
Agency/Prepared By Auth		Authorized Signature	orized Signature					
CTS/ Nancy Rottier (608) 267-9733 Nanc		Nancy Rottier (608) 267-9733	cy Rottier (608) 267-9733					

Fiscal Estimate Narratives CTS 6/6/2017

LRB Number	17-2426/1	Introduction Number	AB-0196	Estimate Type	Original		
Description							
possession of or use of a credit card scanner, providing civil immunity for sellers or distributors of fuel for							
scanners installed on pumps, and providing criminal penalties							

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill creates new criminal penalties for possession or use of credit card reencoders or scanning devices. The crimes are Class G, H or I felonies, depending on the device possessed or used and conduct involved.

To determine the impact on required judicial resources, we have relied on the Wisconsin Judicial Workload Assessment 2016, submitted to the Director of State Courts by the National Center for State Courts. For this study, all Wisconsin judges and court commissioners participated in a time study designed to measure the time currently spent processing different types of cases from initial filing to final resolution. According to the study, felony cases took 197 minutes of judicial time. For purposes of comparison, the same study found misdemeanor cases took 39 minutes of judicial time and contested traffic or ordinance violations that result in forfeitures took 8 minutes of judicial time.

It is impossible to predict how many such crimes will be filed in the circuit courts. Additional proceedings require additional judge, court reporter, court staff and juror time. These costs are borne by both the state and the county. An accurate estimate of the additional costs of this proposal, and how those costs will be divided between the state and the counties, is impossible with the data available.

This bill may result in greater usage of county jails, if persons prosecuted are sentenced to time in the county jail rather than state prison. These costs are borne by the counties. An accurate estimate of the change in costs is impossible with the data available.

This bill also creates civil immunity for sellers or distributors of motor vehicle fuel and for owners of automated teller machines, in circumstances involving the use of a credit card reencoder or scanning device. This provision does not appear to have a fiscal impact on the courts.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications