Fiscal Estimate - 2017 Session

☑ Original □	Updated	Corrected		Supplem	ental		
LRB Number 17-2808	/1	Introduction	Number <i>i</i>	AB-041	5		
Description authorizing the creation of a Chippewa Valley regional transit authority and making appropriations							
Fiscal Effect							
State: No State Fiscal Effect Indeterminate Increase Existing Appropriations Decrease Existing Appropriations Create New Appropriat	☑Increase E Revenues ☐Decrease Revenues ions	<u> </u>	Increase Cos to absorb witl Yes Decrease Co	hin agency	e possible 's budget No		
Local: No Local Government Cost Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive Manda 2. Decrease Costs Permissive Manda	3. ☑ Increase Ratory ☑ Permissive 4. ☐ Decrease	Revenue Mandatory	Types of Loca Government U Affected Towns Counties Oschool Districts		Cities Regional Transit Authority		
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS 20.566(1)(gc) and 20.835(4)(gc)							
Agency/Prepared By	Auth	orized Signature			Date		
DOR/ Travis Arthur (608) 266-85	ert Schmidt (608) 266-5773			6/30/2017			

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 6/30/2017

LRB Number 17-2808/1	Introduction Number	AB-0415	Estimate Type	Original			
Description							
authorizing the creation of a Chippewa Valley regional transit authority and making appropriations							

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

2009 Wisconsin Act 28 authorized certain counties and municipalities to create Regional Transit Authorities (RTAs) and impose a sales tax of up to 0.5% within the authority's jurisdiction to fund transit systems. 2011 Wisconsin Act 32 removed the authority for municipalities to create RTAs and dissolved the existing transit authorities.

The bill authorizes the creation of a Chippewa Valley RTA with the same powers and authorities as provided to RTAs under 2009 Wisconsin Act 28. Under the bill, Eau Claire County may create an RTA by adopting a resolution that is ratified by referendum at a general election. After the Chippewa Valley RTA is created, Chippewa County may join the RTA if the county adopts a resolution that is ratified at a referendum and the RTA board approves the expansion of the RTA. The jurisdictional area of an RTA created under the bill is the territorial boundaries of the political subdivision (municipalities and counties) that make up the authority.

Under the bill, the RTA may adopt a sales tax of up to 0.5% (0.1%, 0.2%, 0.3%, 0.4% or 0.5%) that would be imposed in the authority's jurisdictional area. The Department of Revenue (DOR) would retain 1.5% of the collections to cover the department's costs of administering the tax.

Under the bill, political subdivisions that are part of the RTA may not levy property taxes for transit purposes in excess of the amount of property taxes levied for transit purposes in the year before the year in which the RTA tax is imposed.

The fiscal effect of the bill depends on the size of the RTA created and the sales tax rate adopted by the authority.

County sales tax collections for Eau Claire County and Chippewa County totaled \$14.04 million in FY 2016. Assuming the Chippewa Valley RTA is created with a jurisdictional area covering both counties, a 0.5% RTA sales tax would generate approximately \$13.83 million on an annual basis (\$14.04 x 98.5%). Under such a scenario, state administrative fee revenue would increase by approximately \$210,700 (\$14.04 million x 1.5%) on an annual basis.

The administrative cost of the bill itself is minimal as it simply provides authority for the creation of the RTA. The creation of a Chippewa Valley RTA would require one-time programming costs of approximately \$40,000.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2017 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

☑ Original ☐ Updated		Corrected		Supplemental					
LRB Number 17-2808/1		Introduction Num	ber	AB-0415					
Description authorizing the creation of a Chippewa Valley regional transit authority and making appropriations									
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for annualized fiscal effect):	I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in								
One-time cost of \$40,000 to make programming changes to WINPAS									
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:								
		Increased Costs Decreased Costs							
A. State Costs by Category									
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes		\$. \$					
(FTE Position Changes)									
State Operations - Other Costs									
Local Assistance									
Aids to Individuals or Organizations									
TOTAL State Costs by Category		\$		\$					
B. State Costs by Source of Funds									
GPR									
FED									
PRO/PRS									
SEG/SEG-S									
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state									
revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in	lice		I	D					
IODD Towns		Increased Rev	ļ	Decreased Rev					
GPR Taxes		\$		· \$					
GPR Earned									
PRO/PRS				**************************************					
SEG/SEG-S									
TOTAL State Revenues		\$		\$					
NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT									
State Local									
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		\$see text							
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		\$see text		\$see tex					
# # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # #									
Agency/Prepared By	Agency/Prepared By Au		thorized Signature						
DOR/ Travis Arthur (608) 266-8565	Ro	obert Schmidt (608) 266-5773 6/30/2017							