



## **Pleviak, Krista**

---

**From:** Boardman, David  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 05, 2017 9:42 AM  
**To:** Keith, Rachel; Pleviak, Krista  
**Subject:** RE: LRB 2015/1

Krista,

Please send the assembly companion of LRB 2015/1 to our office. Thank you!

**David Boardman**  
**Office of Representative Ballweg**

**608-266-8077**  
**Room 210 North, State Capitol**  
**Madison, WI 53708**

---

**From:** Keith, Rachel  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 05, 2017 9:28 AM  
**To:** Boardman, David <David.Boardman@legis.wisconsin.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: LRB 2015/1

---

**From:** Pleviak, Krista  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 04, 2017 4:52 PM  
**To:** Keith, Rachel <Rachel.Keith@legis.wisconsin.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: LRB 2015/1

Sure. As soon as I receive a request for the companion from Rep. Ballweg's office, I will be happy to prepare that for them.

**Krista R. Pleviak**  
Legislative Attorney  
Wisconsin Legislative Reference Bureau  
P.O. Box 2037  
Madison, WI 53701-2037  
(608) 266 - 7290  
[krista.pleviak@legis.wisconsin.gov](mailto:krista.pleviak@legis.wisconsin.gov)

---

**From:** Keith, Rachel  
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 04, 2017 3:53 PM  
**To:** Pleviak, Krista <Krista.Pleviak@legis.wisconsin.gov>  
**Subject:** LRB 2015/1

Hi Krista,

Can you please release LRB 2015/1 to Rep. Ballweg's office for an Assembly companion?

**Rachel Keith**

*Office of State Senator Alberta Darling*

608-266-5830



State of Wisconsin  
2017 - 2018 LEGISLATURE

TODAY

4474/1

LRB-2015/P1 e  
KRP:ahc

**PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION**

No CHANGES

- 1 **AN ACT to create** 103.10 (15) of the statutes; **relating to:** exempting from the  
2 state family and medical leave law an employer that is covered under the  
3 federal family and medical leave law.

---

***Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau***

This bill provides an exemption from state family and medical leave law for an employer that is covered under the federal family and medical leave law.

Under the current state family and medical leave law, an employer, including the state, that employs at least 50 individuals on a permanent basis in this state must permit an employee who has been employed by the employer for more than 52 consecutive weeks and who has worked for the employer for at least 1,000 hours during the preceding 52 weeks to take six weeks of family leave in a 12-month period for the birth or adoptive placement of a child or two weeks of family leave in a 12-month period to care for a child, spouse, parent, or domestic partner of the employee or a parent of the spouse or domestic partner of the employee (parent-in-law) who has a serious health condition. Current law also requires an employer to permit an employee to take two weeks of medical leave in a 12-month period when the employee has a serious health condition that makes the employee unable to perform the employee's employment duties.

Under the current federal family and medical leave law, an employer that employs at least 50 individuals for each working day for 20 or more weeks in the current or preceding year and the state or a political subdivision of the state, regardless of the number of employees employed (employer), must permit an employee who has been employed by the employer for at least 12 months and who

has worked at least 1,250 hours for that employer during the preceding 12 months (eligible employee) to take 12 weeks of family or medical leave in a 12-month period for the birth or adoptive or foster care placement of a child; to care for a spouse, child, or parent, but not a domestic partner or parent-in-law, who has a serious health condition; or when the employee has a serious health condition that makes the employee unable to perform the functions of his or her position. Federal law, however, excludes from the definition of "eligible employee" an employee who works at a worksite with fewer than 50 employees if the employer employs fewer than 50 employees within 75 miles of the worksite. In addition, the U.S. Supreme Court has held, in *Coleman v. Court of Appeals of Maryland*, 566 U.S. 30 (2012), that, absent a waiver of sovereign immunity, a state may not be sued for damages for a violation of the medical leave provisions of the federal family and medical leave law.

The bill provides that the state family and medical leave law does not apply to any of the following:

1. An employer that is required to provide leave under the federal family and medical leave law.

2. An employer that opts to provide leave under the federal family and medical leave law to an employee who is not an eligible employee, so long as the employer provides leave to such an employee in the same manner as the employer provides leave to an eligible employee.

The bill, however, does not prohibit any of the following:

1. An employee from taking leave under the state family and medical leave law to care for a spouse, child, parent, domestic partner, or parent-in-law who has a serious health condition.

2. An employee of the state who has a serious health condition that makes the employee unable to perform his or her employment duties from taking medical leave under the state family and medical leave law.

For further information see the *state and local* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

---

***The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:***

1           **SECTION 1.** 103.10 (15) of the statutes is created to read:

2           103.10 (15) NONAPPLICABILITY. (a) Subject to par. (b), this section does not apply  
3 to any of the following:

4           1. An employer, as defined in 29 USC 2611 (4), that is required to provide leave  
5 under 29 USC 2612.



**Barman, Mike**

---

**From:** Boardman, David  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 17, 2017 12:13 PM  
**To:** LRB.Legal  
**Subject:** Draft Review: LRB -4474/1

Please Jacket LRB -4474/1 for the ASSEMBLY.