### 2017 DRAFTING REQUEST

Senate Amendment	(SA-SSA1-SB15)
------------------	----------------

For:

Kathleen Vinehout (608) 266-8546

Drafter:

mduchek

By:

Leg. Council - Scott

Secondary Drafters:

Date:

5/1/2017

May Contact:

Same as LRB:

Submit via email:

YES

Requester's email: Carbon copy (CC) to: Sen.Vinehout@legis.wisconsin.gov michael.duchek@legis.wisconsin.gov

elizabeth.wheeler@legis.wisconsin.gov

Scott.grosz@legis.wisconsin.gov

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Require consideration of benefits in \$10,000,000 trigger

**Instructions:** 

Require consideration of benefits in \$10,000,000 trigger.

**Drafting History:** 

Vers. <u>Drafted</u>

Reviewed

**Submitted** 

**Jacketed** 

Required

/?

mduchek

aernsttr

5/1/2017

5/1/2017

/1

lparisi

lparisi

5/1/2017


5/1/2017

FE Sent For:

<END>



# State of Misconsin 2017 - 2018 LEGISLATURE



## PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

#### SENATE AMENDMENT,

## TO SENATE SUBSTITUTE AMENDMENT 1,

#### **TO SENATE BILL 15**



"rule" on line 10.

1

8

9

1	At the locations indicated, amend the substitute amendment as follows:
2	1. Page 9, line 23: delete "2." and substitute "3.".
3	2. Page 10, line 6: delete "2." and substitute "3.".
4	3. Page 10, line 7: after "whether" insert ", after consideration of the costs
5	under subd. 1. and the benefits under subd. 2., the net costs of the proposed rule will
6	be".
7	4. Page 10, line 7: delete the material beginning with "in" and ending with

**5.** Page 10, line 10: after that line insert:

17

	· Mose Comment of the
	"Section & 227.137 (3) (c) of the statutes is renumbered 227.137 (3) (b) 2. and
2	amended to read:
3	227.137 (3) (b) 2. An analysis of the actual and quantifiable benefits of the
4	proposed rule, including an assessment of how effective the proposed rule will be in
5	addressing the policy problem that the rule is intended to address. With respect to
6	an independent economic impact analysis prepared under sub. (4m) or s. 227.19(5)
7	(b) 3., the person preparing the analysis shall provide a detailed explanation of any
8	variance from the agency's estimate under this subdivision.
9 Histo	ry: 2003 a. 118; 2005 a. 249; 2011 a. 21 8s. 7 to 18, 21 to 26; 2011 a. 22; 2013 a. 166 s. 76; 2015 a. 391.  6. Page 12, line 17: after "that" insert "the proposed rule is reasonably expected
10	to result in".
11	7. Page 12, line 18: delete the material beginning with "implementation" and
12	ending with "proposed rule" on line 20 and substitute "net costs".
13	8. Page 13, line 12: after "that" insert "the proposed rule is not reasonably
14	expected to result in".
15	9. Page 13, line 12: delete the material beginning with "implementation" and

(END)