Fiscal Estimate - 2017 Session

☑ Original ☐ Updated	☐ Corrected ☐ Supp	olemental				
LRB Number 17-0550/1	Introduction Number AB-0)155				
Description prosecution decisions following deaths involving law enforcement officers						
Fiscal Effect		-				
State: No State Fiscal Effect Indeterminate Increase Existing Appropriations Decrease Existing Appropriations Create New Appropriations	Increase Existing Revenues Decrease Existing Revenues To absorb within ag The provided to absorb w					
Permissive Mandatory 2. Decrease Costs 4.	Decrease Revenue Counties Ot Permissive Mandatory School W	lage Cities hers TCS stricts				
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS						
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date				
DA/ Kasey Deiss (608) 267-2700	James Langdon (608) 264-6109	4/4/2017				

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DA 4/4/2017

LRB Number	17-0550/1	Introduction Number	AB-0155	Estimate Type	Original	
Description						
prosecution decisions following deaths involving law enforcement officers						

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill requires that following an officer involved shooting resulting in death that investigators provide the report to the chief judge, and that the judge must appoint a special prosecutor who then must determine whether to prosecute the officer.

The District Attorneys were of mixed opinion on the fiscal effect of this bill. The DAs who assumed that a prosecutor in a neighboring county would be appointed as the Special Prosecutor did not foresee a fiscal impact on their offices. Other DAs felt that due to overwhelming workloads across the state in every DA office, that the Special Prosecutor appointed would be a compensated private attorney. In that situation, paying a private attorney would cost the state additional financial resources.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

For the foregoing reasons discussed above, the long range fiscal impact of this bill is indeterminate.