## Fiscal Estimate - 2017 Session

☑ Original ☐ Updated		Corrected		Supplem	ental			
LRB Number 17-24	<b>1</b> 03/1	Introduction	Number	AB-036	1			
<b>Description</b> requiring a local referendum	to impose a wheel	tax						
Fiscal Effect	······································							
State:  No State Fiscal Effect Indeterminate Increase Existing Appropriations Decrease Existing Appropriations Create New Appro	☐Incre Reve ☐Decre Reve	ase Existing enues ease Existing enues	Increase Co to absorb w Ye Decrease C	rithin agency s				
Local:  No Local Governmen  Indeterminate  1. ☑Increase Costs  □ Permissive ☑M  2. □ Decrease Costs  □ Permissive □ M	3.☐Incre andatory ☐Perm 4.☐Decre	ase Revenue nissive Mandatory ease Revenue nissive Mandatory	i.Types of Loc Government Affected Towns Counties School Districts	Units  Village  Others				
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations								
GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS								
Agency/Prepared By		Authorized Signature			Date			
ELEC/ Michael Haas (608) 266-8005 Mic		Michael Haas (608) 26	ichael Haas (608) 266-8005					

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives ELEC 11/19/2017

LRB Number 17-2403/1	Introduction Number	AB-0361	Estimate Type	Original				
Description								
requiring a local referendum to impose a wheel tax								

## **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

The bill requires a county or municipality to hold a referendum election to approve a proposed wheel tax before the tax may be imposed in the jurisdiction. There is no fiscal impact to the Wisconsin Elections Commission because the Commission does not print ballots or collect results of municipal and county election contests. Commission staff may be asked to review a ballot design or answer routine questions regarding the inclusion of a referendum question on a ballot, but those tasks are part of the staff's normal course of duties and would not involve a significant amount of time or effort.

Depending upon whether a wheel tax is proposed at the county or municipal level, that jurisdiction would incur additional costs under the bill due to the requirement to hold a referendum election which is not required under current law. The referendum election is required to be held at a regular election, so there would be no additional costs for election inspectors or polling place set up and operation. The county or municipality would need to include the referendum question on the ballot and ensure that the voting equipment is programmed to properly tally votes cast in the referendum.

The costs for adding a question to the ballot and programming voting equipment are incremental and also vary widely depending upon several factors, including whether the equipment vendor or local staff program the voting equipment and the number of contests on the ballot. The jurisdiction (county or municipality) proposing the wheel tax is responsible for the prorated cost of adding the referendum question to the ballot. For example, if there are 9 other contests on the ballot, the responsible jurisdiction would be responsible for an additional one-tenth of the cost of printing the ballot and programming the voting equipment.

The Elections Commission sought estimates from several municipalities as to the local fiscal impact of requiring that a referendum question be conducted where it was not previously required. The City of Milwaukee estimates that, on average, it would be responsible for an additional \$20,000 - 30,000 in ballot printing and equipment coding costs. The City of Madison reported that, where there was not already a municipal contest on the ballot, the programming costs would increase by approximately \$26,000 and the additional cost of printing ballots would range between \$20,000 and \$40,000 at a spring election and up to \$100,000 at a fall election, depending upon the expected voter turnout.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications