## Fiscal Estimate - 2017 Session

☑ Original	☐ Updated	Corrected		Supplemental				
LRB Number	17-4716/1	Introduction	Number	AB-0703				
Description training for newly hired correctional officers								
Fiscal Effect								
State:  No State Fisca Indeterminate Increase E Appropriati Decrease E Appropriati Create New	xisting Indons Re Existing De	crease Existing evenues ecrease Existing evenues		Managed to 1				
2. Decrease	Costs 3. ☐ Inc	crease Revenue rmissive Mandatory crease Revenue	5.Types of Loc Government Affected Towns Counties School Districts	t Units  Village Cities  Others O WTCS				
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations								
GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS								
Agency/Prepared E	Ву	Authorized Signatur	е	Date				
DOC/ Michael Slana (608) 240-5414 Dona		Donald Friske (608) 2	ald Friske (608) 240-5056					

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOC 12/21/2017

LRB Number 17-4716/1	Introduction Number	AB-0703	Estimate Type	Original			
Description							
training for newly hired correctional officers							

## Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill would require that the Department of Corrections (DOC) ensure that each newly hired Correctional Officer receives at least 4 weeks of on-the-job training with an experienced correctional officer. The bill does not define "experienced correctional officer". For this Fiscal Estimate, DOC is assuming that "experienced correctional officer" is meant in the broadest sense, to include Correctional Sergeants.

Under current law, no person may be permanently appointed as a Correctional Officer unless that person has satisfactorily completed a 7 week Pre-Service training program approved by DOC. Currently, DOC's Pre-service training program includes 10 hours of on-the-job training at a correctional facility.

Graduates of the Pre-Service training program are expected to complete a separate On-the-Job Training (OJT) program, which is a structured on-site training program provided to new and transferring Correctional Officers and Sergeants. Current DOC policy (DAI 300.00.77) is that OJT for new uniformed staff shall be a minimum of 160 hours.

OJT is led by a Field Training Officer (FTO). A FTO is a full-time, permanent staff member who has a minimum of two years of experience in DOC (unless that requirement is waived). The FTO is a Correctional Officer or Sergeant who facilitates the transition of new uniformed staff to their employing unit duties, and helps ensure the period of OJT progresses as designed.

While all new Correctional Officers receive OJT, on rare occasion some may not receive a full 160 hours of OJT. This may be due to the new staff member not being available due to illness, or an employee not needing the full 160 hours because of prior experience or showing task proficiency, or some other reason. In cases involving staff's absence, this bill's requirement that there be at least 4 weeks of on-the-job training could lead to increased costs if providing the full 160 hours of OJT would require additional overtime. This could also lead to increased costs due to the new staff member being unavailable to fill a post until the training is completed, requiring filling the post on overtime. Depending on the level of vacant security staff positions and preexisting use of overtime at a correctional facility, any additional need for overtime hours could pose additional costs and operational concerns.

The average hourly wage of Correctional Officer and Sergeants in permanent positions, as of December 9th, 2017, was about \$20.00 per hour. With overtime pay being 1.5 times base pay, and including the 19.75% protective overtime fringe rate, the total estimated overtime salary and fringe cost is about \$35.93 per hour.

DOC anticipates this bill would result in an indeterminate increase in costs. Because DOC is unable to estimate how many new Correctional Officers would not otherwise receive the full 160 hours of OJT, nor whether, and to what extent, additional overtime may be required to make up any missed OJT, DOC is not able to more precisely estimate the state fiscal effect of this bill. DOC anticipates that any increase in costs would be minor, and thus likely able to be absorbed within the agency's budget.

## **Long-Range Fiscal Implications**