## Fiscal Estimate - 2017 Session

☑ Original ☐ Updated	Corrected	Supplem	ental			
LRB Number <b>17-0564/1</b>	Introduction Number	r AB-007	5			
<b>Description</b> medical use of marijuana, the regulation of marijuana distribution entities, requiring the exercise of rule-making authority, making appropriations, and providing a criminal penalty						
Fiscal Effect						
Appropriations Reve	rease Existing to absort	Costs - May b o within agency Yes e Costs				
Permissive Mandatory Perm 2. Decrease Costs 4. Decr	5. Types of Government Affected Inissive Mandatory  rease Revenue Inissive Mandatory  5. Types of Government Affected In Town Count School	ent Units  S Village ties Others ol WTCS	 S			
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations						
GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS						
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature		Date			
SPD/ Martina Allen (608) 267-7734	Adam Plotkin (608) 264-8572 3/4/201		3/4/2017			

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives SPD 3/4/2017

LRB Number 17-0564/1	Introduction Number	AB-0075	Estimate Type	Original		
Description						
medical use of marijuana, the regulation of marijuana distribution entities, requiring the exercise of rule-						
making authority, making appropriations, and providing a criminal penalty						

## Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The State Public Defender (SPD) is statutorily authorized and required to appoint attorneys to represent indigent defendants in criminal and certain commitment proceedings. The SPD plays a critical role in ensuring that the Wisconsin justice system complies with the right to counsel provided by both the state and federal constitutions. Any legislation has the potential to increase SPD costs if it creates a new criminal offense, expands the definition of an existing criminal offense, or increases the penalties for an existing offense.

The bill does not provide for a new criminal offense, expand the definition of a criminal offense, or increase criminal penalties. The bill would amend procedures in criminal cases in which the defendant is charged with possession of marijuana. Specifically, the bill would provide a defense to prosecution if the defendant can show that he or she possessed the marijuana for medical reasons (the bill would also provide for an administrative process to document the medical reason for using the substance). As charges could still be issued, the SPD does not anticipate an increase in its caseload as a result of this proposal. The creation of a medical use defense may decrease the complexity of some of these cases.

## **Long-Range Fiscal Implications**