Fiscal Estimate - 2017 Session							
🛛 Original 🔲 Updated		Corrected	Supplem	iental			
LRB Number 17-1662	2/2	Introduction Numbe	er SB-061				
Description forfeiture of property seized in relation to a crime							
Fiscal Effect	n na na standar a st	₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩₩					
State: No State Fiscal Effect Indeterminate Increase Existing Appropriations Decrease Existing Appropriations Create New Appropriat	Increase F Revenues Decrease Revenues tions	Existing to absor	e Costs - May b rb within agency]Yes se Costs				
Local: No Local Government Co Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive Mand 2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mand	3. Increase F atory Permissiv 4. Decrease	e Mandatory Affected	nent Units ns Village nties Others pol NTCS	s S			
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations							
GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS							
Agency/Prepared By	Auth	orized Signature		Date			
DA/ Kasey Deiss (608) 267-270	/ Kasey Deiss (608) 267-2700 James Langdon (608) 264-6109)	4/24/2017			

Fiscal Estimate Narratives

DA 4/24/2017

LRB Number 17-1662/2	Introduction Number	SB-061	Estimate Type	Original			
Description							
forfeiture of property seized in relation to a crime							

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill changes the procedure for forfeiture of property after it has been seized by law enforcement agencies in relation to a crime. Currently, law enforcement agencies may acquire certain property involved in the commission of a crime or seized in relation to a criminal investigation through a forfeiture proceeding. This bill would allow the property to be subject to forfeiture only: (1) after a person has been convicted of the crime related to the forfeiture action; and (2) only if the court finds that the property seized is proportional to the crime committed. If the person is acquitted or the charges dropped, the court must order that the property be returned within 30 days. Additionally, this bill removes the ability for law enforcement agencies to retain a percentage of the proceeds from the sale of forfeited property.

Prosecutors indicated that the most significant fiscal impact would be on law enforcement agencies because agencies would have no financial incentive to seize property to support law enforcement activities. Further, if law enforcement brings fewer seizure actions, prosecutors indicated there would be a decrease in the number of forfeiture referrals to district attorney's offices.

On the other hand, a prosecutor indicated that seizures and forfeitures under the bill will become more complicated and time consuming for District Attorneys due to the need to both: (1) convict a person of a crime related to the forfeiture action; and (2) show whether the property seized is proportional to the crime committed.

As such, the fiscal impacts of this bill on District Attorney's offices are indeterminate.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

For the reasons stated above, the long term fiscal impact is indeterminate.