## Fiscal Estimate - 2017 Session

☑ Original ☐ Updated	☐ Corrected ☐ Supplen	nental				
LRB Number 17-5066/1	Introduction Number SB-660	)				
Description secured residential care centers for children and youth and granting rule-making authority						
Fiscal Effect						
Appropriations Reve	ease Existing enues Tease Existing enues To absorb within agence enues The provided HTML and the provided HTML					
Permissive Mandatory Perm	rease Revenue Counties Other	s S				
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations						
GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS						
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date				
DCF/ ELIZABETH ELGAR (608) 422-6344	Kim Swissdorf (608) 422-6351	1/26/2018				

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives DCF 1/26/2018

LRB Number 17-5066/1	Introduction Number SB-660	Estimate Type Original				
Description						
secured residential care centers for children and youth and granting rule-making authority						

## Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill transfers licensing authority for a secured residential care center for children and youth (SRCCCY) from the Department of Corrections (DOC) to the Department of Children and Families (DCF). Additionally, the proposed bill:

- requires Milwaukee County to establish or contract for enough SRCCCYs to accommodate all Milwaukee County juveniles who are under a secure correctional placement,
- · allows other counties to establish or contract for SRCCCYs, and
- authorizes DCF to establish uniform reimbursement rates for services offered at an SRCCCY.

Data obtained from DOC for calendar year 2016 show approximately 320 juveniles in a juvenile correctional placement. Of these, 190 juveniles were from Milwaukee. The bill allows for a maximum of 12 juveniles per secure RCC, which would require 16 secure RCCs in Milwaukee County. Additional secure RCCs may be established in the balance of the state.

DCF does not license or have specialized knowledge regarding secure facilities. DOC has indicated that it does not currently license secure RCCs. Secure RCCs would add more complexity to what current staff do. The caseload makeup of a current DCF child welfare licensor includes approximately three RCCs, ten group homes, five child placing agencies, and two shelter care facilities. RCCs in general are more complex and time consuming than the other types of facilities. Given this type of facility has not been licensed before by DCF staff, it is estimated that one licensor could license and monitor from 4-6 facilities. Therefore, an additional five licensing staff would be needed (538,600). The following staff would also be needed to respond to the additional caseload: (a) one supervisor (\$153,100); (b) one program and policy analyst (\$115,300); (c) one office program associate (\$77,400); (d) one accountant (\$122,900); and (e) one auditing staff to support the rate-setting process (\$120,000). In addition, one accountant contractor would be needed to help with the initial rate-setting implementation for a one-time cost of \$90,000. Because secure facilities are subject to numerous federal laws, regulations, and litigation risk not present in nonsecure facilities, one additional legal counsel would be required at an annual cost of \$171,300.

The fiscal effect for DCF would be \$1,388,600 for the eleven FTE and one contractor position. Ongoing costs would total \$1,298,600.

It is unknown if costs for counties for secure RCCs would be within their current Youth Aids allocation.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2017 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

☑ Original ☐ Updated		Corrected		Supplemental			
LRB Number 17-5066/1		Introduction Num	ber	SB-660			
Description							
secured residential care centers for children and youth and granting rule-making authority  I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in							
annualized fiscal effect):							
A contractor to help implement rate setting process would be one-time cost of \$90,000.							
II. Annualized Costs:  Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds fro				act on funds from:			
		Increased Costs		Decreased Costs			
A. State Costs by Category							
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes		\$946,600	\$				
(FTE Position Changes)							
State Operations - Other Costs		352,000					
Local Assistance							
Aids to Individuals or Organizations			**************************************				
TOTAL State Costs by Category		\$1,298,600		\$			
B. State Costs by Source of Funds							
GPR	·	844,100					
FED		454,500					
PRO/PRS							
SEG/SEG-S			and the second of the second o				
III. State Revenues - Complete this only w revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in I			r decr	ease state			
Teverides (e.g., tax morease, deorease in i		Increased Rev	<del></del>	Decreased Rev			
GPR Taxes	-	\$	**************************************	\$			
GPR Earned							
FED							
PRO/PRS							
SEG/SEG-S							
TOTAL State Revenues		\$		\$			
NET ANNUALIZED FISCAL IMPACT							
		<u>State</u>		Local			
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		\$1,298,600	\$				
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		\$ ]		\$			
Agency/Prepared By	Auth	norized Signature		Date			
DCF/ ELIZABETH ELGAR (608) 422-6344 Kim S		Swissdorf (608) 422-635	1	1/26/2018			