### Fiscal Estimate - 2017 Session

☑ Original ☐ Updated	Corrected	☐ Su	pplemental			
LRB Number 17-4969/1	Introduction N	lumber SB	-863			
<b>Description</b> Allowing a municipality to impose a sales and uses and use tax and making appropriations	se tax and allowing a cou	unty to increase th	ne rate of its			
Fiscal Effect						
Appropriations Reve	rease Existing enues	Increase Costs - to absorb within a Yes Decrease Costs				
Permissive Mandatory Perm 2. Decrease Costs 4. Decr	ease Revenue	Counties C	o Village ⊠Cities Others WTCS Districts			
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations						
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRS ☐ SEG ☐ SEGS 20.566 (1) (gh), 20.835 (4) (gh)						
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature		Date			
DOR/ Travis Arthur (608) 266-8565	Jamie Adams (608) 266	5-6785	3/19/2018			

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DOR 3/19/2018

LRB Number 17-4969/1	Introduction Number	SB-863	Estimate Type	Original		
<b>Description</b> Allowing a municipality to impose a sales and use tax and allowing a county to increase the rate of its						
sales and use tax and making appropriations						

#### **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

Under current law, a county may impose a sales and use tax at the rate of 0.5 percent of the sales price of tangible personal property, goods, and services sold or used in the county.

The bill allows a county, with the approval of the county electors at a referendum, to increase the tax rate in 0.1 percent increments, as long as the overall rate does not exceed 1 percent. The bill also allows a municipality to impose a sales and use tax at a rate of 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, or 0.5 percent, if approved by municipal voters at referendum. The overall municipal tax rate may not exceed 0.5 percent

The magnitude of the potential revenue increase is indeterminate and dependent on the number of counties that increase county sales taxes, the number of municipalities that vote to impose a municipal sales tax, and the tax rates imposed by the municipalities.

Based on the most recent revenue estimates published by the Legislative Fiscal Bureau, sales subject to sales/use tax are expected to reach \$109.3 billion in FY18. Assuming all municipalities adopt a local tax, the department estimates the following potential municipal sales and use tax collections for the given rate:

0.1 percent: \$109.3 million annually 0.2 percent: \$218.6 million annually 0.3 percent: \$327.9 million annually 0.4 percent: \$437.2 million annually 0.5 percent: \$546.5 million annually

Currently, 64 counties impose a county sales/use tax. Assuming all of these counties increased their tax rate by 0.1 percent, county sales tax collections would increase by \$67.8 million on an annual basis.

Current law requires DOR to provide a database for retailers to use that properly identifies address boundaries for purposes of imposing tax rates for particular jurisdictions. The department estimates the cost to build the database to be about \$100,000 with an annual maintenance cost of about \$20,000. In addition, changes to WINPAS, the state's tax processing software system, are estimated to cost about \$563,000 with ongoing maintenance costs to be about \$209,000 on an annual basis.

The department's costs would be partially funded by the 1.75% of collections it would retain to administer the county tax and the new municipality tax.

#### **Long-Range Fiscal Implications**

## **Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2017 Session**

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

☑ Original ☐	Updated	Corrected	Supplemental	
LRB Number 17-490	39/1	Introduction Num	ber SB-863	
<b>Description</b> Allowing a municipality to imp sales and use tax and making		use tax and allowing a coun	ty to increase the rate of its	
I. One-time Costs or Revenu annualized fiscal effect):	ie Impacts for S	State and/or Local Governr	nent (do not include in	
One-time cost of \$100,000 to changes WINPAS.	build address bo	oundary database. One-time	cost of \$563,000 to make	
II. Annualized Costs:	nnualized Costs: Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds			
		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs	
A. State Costs by Category	SS-15-16-16-16-16-16-16-16-16-16-16-16-16-16-			
State Operations - Salaries	and Fringes	\$	\$	
(FTE Position Changes)				
State Operations - Other Co	osts			
Local Assistance				
Aids to Individuals or Orgar	ıizations			
TOTAL State Costs by 0	Category	\$	\$	
B. State Costs by Source of	Funds			
GPR				
FED				
PRO/PRS				
SEG/SEG-S				
III. State Revenues - Comple revenues (e.g., tax increase		cense fee, ets.)		
	Militari kipunen sayan nengara sana manihakan saya sana makashi sahi sana sana sasa	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev	
GPR Taxes		\$	\$	
GPR Earned				
FED				
PRO/PRS				
SEG/SEG-S	Market and the second s			
TOTAL State Revenues		\$	\$	
	NET ANNUAL	IZED FISCAL IMPACT		
		<u>State</u>	<u>Local</u>	
NET CHANGE IN COSTS		\$	\$	
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		\$see text	\$see text	
Agency/Prepared By		Authorized Signature	Date	
DOR/ Travis Arthur (608) 266	i-8565	Jamie Adams (608) 266-678		