2019 DRAFTING REQUEST

Bill

For:

Todd Novak (608) 266-7502

Drafter:

mpfotenh

By:

Jake

Secondary Drafters:

Date:

11/22/2019

May Contact:

Same as LRB:

-5282

Submit via email:

YES

Requester's email:

Rep.Novak@legis.wisconsin.gov

Carbon copy (CC) to: mary.pfotenhauer@legis.wisconsin.gov

zachary.wyatt@legis.wisconsin.gov

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

DNR rules on municipal flood grants

Instructions:

See attached

Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Submitted	Jacketed	Required
/?	mpfotenh 11/25/2019	kfollett 11/27/2019			
/P1	mpfotenh 12/2/2019	kfollett 12/2/2019	mbarman 11/27/2019		State S&L
/P2	mpfotenh 12/6/2019	kfollett 12/6/2019	dwalker 12/2/2019		State S&L
/P3		kfollett 12/9/2019	dwalker 12/6/2019		State S&L
/P4	mpfotenh	kfollett	mbarman		State

Vers.	<u>Drafted</u> 12/9/2019	Reviewed 12/9/2019	<u>Submitted</u> 12/9/2019	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required S&L
/P5			mbarman 12/9/2019		State S&L
/1	6		mbarman 1/7/2020	mbarman 1/8/2020	State S&L

FE Sent For: At Torto

<**END**>

From:

Wolf, Jake

Sent:

Friday, November 22, 2019 2:45 PM

To:

Pfotenhauer, Mary

Subject:

Water Quality Draft Requests 11/22

Follow Up Flag: Flag Status:

Follow up Flagged

Hi Mary,

Below is some detail on the items we just discussed:

- 1. Under ATCP 50.30 (3). Currently, there are multiple lines that allow the county to take into account previous performance in executing their plans. We would like DATCP to take into account externalities that may have affected a county's ability to complete all the projects applied for under their resource management plan such as weather.
- 2. Allow for Producer Led Groups to operate in more than one watershed as long as they are adjacent to each other. I believe ATCP 93.59 requires membership to be limited to one watershed.
- 3. Under NR 199.01, the Municipal Flood Control Grant has the main goal of minimizing flooding and flood-related damages through activities including property acquisitions, floodproofing of structures and other activities. Currently, any activities other than property acquisitions are low-priority, meaning that very few funds get allocated beyond land acquisition and structure removal. We would like a that all types of flood control activities to be funded. See possible language below:
- 4. NR 199.05 Eligible and ineligible project activities.
- 5. (1) ELIGIBLE ACTIVITIES. The following activities, in priority
- 6. order, are eligible for funding under this section:
- 7. (a) Acquisition and removal of structures which, due to zoning
- 8. restrictions, cannot be rebuilt or repaired.
- 9. (b) Acquisition and removal of structures in the 100-year
- 10. floodplain.
- 11. (c) Acquisition and removal of repetitive loss or substantially
- 12. damaged structures.
- 13. (d) Acquisition and removal of other flood damaged structures.
- 14. (e) Floodproofing and elevation of structures.
- 15. (f) Riparian restoration projects, including removal of dams
- 16. and other artificial obstructions, restoration of fish and native
- 17. plant habitat, erosion control and streambank restoration projects.
- 18. (g) Acquisition of vacant land, or perpetual conservation or
- 19. flowage easements to provide additional flood storage or to facilitate
- 20. natural or more efficient flood flows.
- 21. (h) Construction of structures for the collection, detention,
- 22. retention, storage and transmission of stormwater and groundwater
- 23. for flood control and riparian restoration projects.
- 24. (i) Preparation of flood insurance studies and other flood mapping
- 25. projects.
- 26. (2) INELIGIBLE ACTIVITIES. The following activities are not eligible
- 27. for funding under this chapter:
- 28. (a) Dam repair and operation.
- 29. (b) Design, installation, operation or maintenance of sanitary
- 30. sewers, treatment plants or onsite sewerage systems.
- 31. (c) Projects which would adversely affect a watercourse by
- 32. causing increases in flood heights, velocities, sedimentation or
- 33. erosion, reducing the amount or complexity of fish and wildlife
- 34. habitat, reducing natural shore cover, or restricting navigation, or
- 35. any other negative impact, except temporary impacts caused by
- 36. dam removal or other approved restoration activities.

- 37. (d) Projects which would dredge or channelize a stream or line
- 38. a natural streambed with impervious materials.
- 39. (e) Projects which conflict with land use, watershed or other
- 40. resource management plans.
- 41. (f) Projects or applicants which are not compliant with minimum
- 42. state floodplain management requirements, as enumerated
- 43. in ch. NR 116, or with minimum national flood insurance program
- 44. requirements, as enumerated in 44 CFR Parts 59-78.
- 45. (g) Creation or enhancement of stormwater management
- 46. plans.
- 47. (h) Projects for the purchase, installation or operation of
- 48. mechanical pumping systems.
- **49.** History: CR 01-014: Cr. Register October 2001 No. 550, eff. 11-1-01.
- 50.
- 51. NR 199.07 Determination of project eligibility. After
- 52. receiving the application, the department shall determine eligible
- 53. projects by considering the factors identified in ss. NR 199.01 and
- 54. 199.05. The department may accept the application as eligible and
- 55. score it based on priority projects identified in s. NR 199.05 (1)
- 56. or deny the application as ineligible. The department shall score
- 57. the applications based on the cost effectiveness and shall
- 58. consider the loss of tax base as an adverse impact related to
- 59. project activities that involve the acquisition and removal
- 60. of structures. The department shall also consider additional
- 61. benefits to overall water quality

i.

62. History: CR 01-014: Cr. Register October 2001 No. 550, eff. 11-1-01.

WP 49,03 (5)?

Thank you,



In 11/25



PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

1 AN ACT ...; relating to: administrative rules on eligibility for municipal flood

2 control grants.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under rules promulgated by the Department of Natural Resources, when DNR receives an application for a municipal flood control grant it must score the application based on a priority list for eligible projects. This bill eliminates that requirement, and instead requires DNR to score applications based on cost-effectiveness. In addition, if an application proposes to acquire and remove structures, the bill requires DNR, when scoring the application, to consider the loss of tax based to be an adverse impact. Finally, the bill requires DNR, in scoring applications, to also consider additional benefits to overall water quality.

For further information see the **state** and **local** fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

3 SECTION 1. NR 199.05 (1) (intro.) of the administrative code is amended to read:

199.05 (1) (intro.) The following activities, in priority order, are eligible for

funding under this section:

4

SECTION 2. NR 199.07 of the administrative code is amended to read:

2 199.07 (1) Determination of project eligibility. After received

Determination of project eligibility. After receiving the application, the department shall determine eligible projects by considering the factors identified in ss. NR 199.01 and 199.05. The department may accept the application as eligible and score it based on priority projects identified in s. NR 199.05 (1) or deny the application as ineligible. The department shall score the application based on the cost effectiveness of the proposed activity. For proposed activities that involve the acquisition and removal of structures, the department, in scoring the application, shall consider the loss of tax base to be an adverse impact. In scoring applications the department shall also consider additional benefits to overall water quality.

12

 $\mathbf{3}$

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

(END)



MCP:kif

In 12/2 Dut Today

PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

1

under its current rules, provides a list of activities that are eligible for municipal flood control grants. These activities are listed in priority order.

provides that any of the activities listed in DNR's rules are eligible for municipal flood control grants, and clarifies that the listed activities are not in priority order. The bill also from

AN ACT relating to: administrative rules on eligibility for municipal flood control

 $\mathbf{2}$ grants.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under rules promulgated by the Department of Natural Resources, when DNR receives an application for a municipal flood control grant it must score the application based on a priority list for eligible projects. This bill eliminates that requirement, and instead requires DNR to score applications based on cost-effectiveness. In addition, if an application proposes to acquire and remove structures, the bill requires DNR, when scoring the application, to consider the loss of tax base to be an adverse impact. Finally, the bill requires DNR, in scoring applications, to also consider additional benefits to overall water quality.

For further information see the state and local fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

/the

took

Bylong

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

- 3 **SECTION 1.** NR 199.05 (1) (intro.) of the administrative code is amended to read:
- 4 NR 199.05 (1) (intro.) The following activities, in priority order, are eligible for
- 5 funding under this section:

1601 flood

grants

Section 2. NR 199.07 of the administrative code is amended to read:

NR 199.07 Determination of project eligibility. After receiving the application, the department shall determine eligible projects by considering the factors identified in ss. NR 199.01 and 199.05. The department may accept the application as eligible and score it based on priority projects identified in s. NR 199.05 (1) or deny the application as ineligible. The department shall score the application based on the cost-effectiveness of the proposed activity. For proposed activities that involve the acquisition and removal of structures, the department, in scoring the application, shall consider the loss of tax base to be an adverse impact. In scoring applications, the department shall also consider additional benefits to overall water quality.

12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

From:

Wolf, Jake

Sent:

Thursday, December 05, 2019 3:15 PM

To:

Pfotenhauer, Mary

Subject:

Changes to LRB 4984

Follow Up Flag:

Follow up

Flag Status:

Flagged

Hi Mary,

Could we make a small change to LRB 4984:

1. Maintain language as written but include clarifying language saying something like "Mitigation of flood damage is the priority of this program."

Thanks,

From:

Wolf, Jake

Sent:

Friday, December 06, 2019 10:09 AM

To:

Pfotenhauer, Mary

Subject:

RE: Changes to LRB 4984

Hi Mary,

Feel free to give me a call to discuss but I'm leaning more towards creating a 281.665(5)(c)5 as you described. Maybe it reads "The cost effectiveness of the project including any loss of tax base." The priority is to remove the language in the rule that requires that the activities listed are funded in priority order.

Thanks,

Jake Wolf Office of State Representative Todd Novak 51st Assembly District (608) 266-7502

From: Pfotenhauer, Mary < Mary. Pfotenhauer@legis.wisconsin.gov>

Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2019 4:22 PM **To:** Wolf, Jake < Jake. Wolf@legis.wisconsin.gov>

Subject: RE: Changes to LRB 4984

Jake:

I just noticed that we probably also need change the statutes to create a s. 281.665(5)(c)5.: "The cost-effectiveness of the project." Another option would be to get rid of 281.665(5)(c)1. to 4. entirely and list the criteria as "cost-effectiveness" and "benefits to overall water quality."

Let me know if you have a preference.

Thanks,

Mary Pfotenhauer
Senior Legislative Attorney
Wisconsin Legislative Reference Bureau
P.O. Box 2037
Madison, WI 53701-2037
(608) 504-5854
mary.pfotenhauer@legis.wisconsin.gov

From: Wolf, Jake < <u>Jake.Wolf@legis.wisconsin.gov</u>>

Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2019 3:15 PM

To: Pfotenhauer, Mary < Mary. Pfotenhauer@legis.wisconsin.gov>

Subject: Changes to LRB 4984

Hi Mary,

Could we make a small change to LRB 4984:

1. Maintain language as written but include clarifying language saying something like "Mitigation of flood damage is the priority of this program."

Thanks,



LRB-4984/P2 MCP:kif

In 12/6 Out 12/4 Today

PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

(priorities and critica for the

 $\operatorname{An}\operatorname{ACT}$ relating to: administrative rules on eligibility for municipal flood control

2

1

grants. earl riporion restore the program

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

The Department of Natural Resources, under its current rules, provides a list of activities that are eligible for municipal flood control grants. These activities are listed in priority order. When DNR receives an application for a municipal flood control grant, it must score the application based on that priority order.

This bill provides that any of the activities listed in DNR's rules are eligible for municipal flood control grants, and clarifies that the listed activities are not in priority order. The bill also requires DNR to score applications for municipal flood control grants based on the cost-effectiveness of the proposed activity. In addition, if an application proposes to acquire and remove structures, the bill requires DNR, when scoring the application, to consider the loss of tax base to be an adverse impact. Finally, the bill requires DNR, in scoring applications, to also consider additional benefits to overall water quality.

For further information see the *state and local* fiscal estimate, which will be

printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

Ins 1-1

Section 1. NR 199.05 (1) (intro.) of the administrative code is amended to read:

NR 199.05 (1) (intro.)	The following activities, in priority order, are eligible for
funding under this section:	

Section 2. NR 199.07 of the administrative code is amended to read:

NR 199.07 Determination of project eligibility. After receiving the application, the department shall determine eligible projects by considering the factors identified in ss. NR 199.01 and 199.05. The department may accept the application as eligible and score it based on priority projects identified in s. NR 199.05 (1) or deny the application as ineligible. The department shall score the application based on the cost-effectiveness of the proposed activity. For proposed activities that involve the acquisition and removal of structures, the department, in scoring the application, shall consider the loss of tax base to be an adverse impact. In scoring applications, the department shall also consider additional benefits to overall water quality.

2019-2020 DRAFTING INSERT FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

INSERTA In addition,

The bill also provides that the priority of the municipal flood control and riparian restoration program is the mitigation of flood damage.

INSERT 1-1

Section 1. 281.665 (2) of the statutes is amended to read:

281.665 (2) Administration. The department shall administer the program under this section to provide financial assistance to local governmental units for facilities and structures for the collection and transmission of storm water and groundwater, including the purchase of perpetual flowage and conservation easement rights on land within floodways, and for the floodproofing of public and private structures that remain in the 100-year floodplain. The priority of this program shall be the mitigation of flood damage.

History: 1999 a. 9; 2015 a. 55; 2017 a. 59, 369.

Cross-reference: See also ch. NR 199, Wis. adm. code.

Section 2. 281.665 (5) (c) 5. of the statutes is created to read:

281.665 (5) (c) 5. The cost effectiveness of the project, including any loss to the tax base.

Section 3. NR 199.01 of the administrative code is amended to read:

NR 199.01 Purpose. The purpose of this chapter is to establish procedures for implementing a municipal flood control and riparian restoration grant program as provided for in s. 281.665, Stats. Grants made under this program will help local governments minimize flooding and flood-related damages by acquiring property, floodproofing structures, creating open-space flood storage areas, constructing flood control structures and restoring the flood-carrying capacity and natural and



beneficial functions of watercourses. Projects eligible under this program shall minimize harm to existing beneficial functions of water bodies and wetlands, maintain natural aquatic and riparian environments, use stormwater detention and retention structures and natural storage to the greatest extent possible and provide opportunities for public access to water bodies and to the floodplain. The priority of this program shall be the mitigation of flood damage.



	THENCE BURLE		10001
12/6/19		40.	-4984
	Jake @ Novale:		
- Take	out purpose statemen	<u> </u>	
- Regui	out surpose statemente DNR to consider (iost-effective	ess but not
55/2	without for sevi	\(\)	
		-MCf	
The second secon			
,			



LRB-4984/P3 MCP:kjf

In 12/4 Out 12/9 AM

PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

1 AN ACT to amend 281.665 (2); and to create 281.665 (5) (c) 5. of the statutes;

relating to: priorities and criteria for the municipal flood control and riparian

restoration program and amending administrative rules.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

The Department of Natural Resources, under its current rules, provides a list of activities that are eligible for municipal flood control grants. These activities are listed in priority order. When DNR receives an application for a municipal flood control grant, it must score the application based on that priority order.

This bill provides that any of the activities listed in DNR's rules are eligible for municipal flood control grants, and clarifies that the listed activities are not in priority order. The bill also requires DNR to score applications for municipal flood control grants based on the cost-effectiveness of the proposed activity. In addition, if an application proposes to acquire and remove structures, the bill requires DNR, when scoring the application, to consider the loss of tax base to be an adverse impact. The bill also requires DNR, in scoring applications, to also consider additional benefits to overall water quality.

In-addition, the bill provides that the priority of the municipal flood control and riparian restoration program is the mitigation of flood damage.

2690

2

For further information see the *state and local* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

Section 1. 281.665 (2) of the statutes is amended to read:

281.665 (2) Administration. The department shall administer the program under this section to provide financial assistance to local governmental units for facilities and structures for the collection and transmission of storm water and groundwater, including the purchase of perpetual flowage and conservation easement rights on land within floodways, and for the floodproofing of public and private structures that remain in the 100-year floodplain. The priority of this program shall be the mitigation of flood damage.

Section 2. 281.665 (5) (c) 5. of the statutes is created to read:

281.665 (5) (c) 5. The cost-effectiveness of the project, including any loss to the tax base.

Section 3. NR 199.01 of the administrative code is amended to read:

NR 199.01 Purpose. The purpose of this chapter is to establish procedures for implementing a municipal flood control and riparian restoration grant program as provided for in s. 281.665, Stats. Grants made under this program will help local governments minimize flooding and flood-related damages by acquiring property, floodproofing structures, creating open-space flood storage areas, constructing flood control structures and restoring the flood-carrying capacity and natural and beneficial functions of watercourses. Projects eligible under this program shall minimize harm to existing beneficial functions of water bodies and wetlands, maintain natural aquatic and riparian environments, use stormwater detention and

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

retention structures and natural storage to the greatest extent possible and provide 1 2 opportunities for public access to water bodies and to the floodplain. The priority of this program shall be the mitigation of flood damage. 3 4 SECTION 4. NR 199.05 (1) (intro.) of the administrative code is amended to read: NR 199.05 (1) (intro.) The following activities, in priority order, are eligible for funding under this section: **Section 5.** NR 199.07 of the administrative code is amended to read: NR 199.07 Determination of project eligibility. After receiving the application, the department shall determine eligible projects by considering the factors identified in ss. NR 199.01 and 199.05. The department may accept the application as eligible and score it based on priority projects identified in s. NR 199.05 (1) or deny the application as ineligible. The department shall score the application based on the cost-effectiveness of the proposed activity. For proposed more. activities that involve the acquisition and removal of structures, the department, in scoring the application, shall consider the loss of tax base to be an adverse impact. In scoring applications, the department shall also consider additional benefits to overall water quality. (END)

In scoring applications, the department shall consider

From:

Wolf, Jake

Sent:

Monday, December 09, 2019 12:35 PM

To:

Pfotenhauer, Mary

Subject:

LRB 4984

Hi Mary,

Could we remove the reference to overall water quality on page 2. Sorry to keep going back and forth on this. It should read "in scoring applications, the department shall consider the cost effectiveness of the proposed activity. For proposed activities...

Thanks,



2

3

State of Misconsin 2019 - 2020 LEGISLATURE

In 12/9

LRB-4984/P4 MCP:kjf

but Today

PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

AN ACT to create 281.665 (5) (c) 5. of the statutes; relating to: priorities and criteria for the municipal flood control and riparian restoration program and amending administrative rules.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

The Department of Natural Resources, under its current rules, provides a list of activities that are eligible for municipal flood control grants. These activities are listed in priority order. When DNR receives an application for a municipal flood control grant, it must score the application based on that priority order.

This bill provides that any of the activities listed in DNR's rules are eligible for municipal flood control grants, and clarifies that the listed activities are not in priority order. The bill also requires DNR, when scoring applications for municipal flood control grants, to consider the cost-effectiveness of the proposed activity. In addition, if an application proposes to acquire and remove structures, the bill requires DNR, when scoring the application, to consider the loss of tax base to be an adverse impact. The bill also requires DNR, in scoring applications, to also consider additional benefits to overall water quality.

For further information see the *state and local* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

1	SECTION 1. 281.665 (5) (c) 5. of the statutes is created to read:
2	281.665 (5) (c) 5. The cost-effectiveness of the project, including any loss to the
3	tax base.
4	SECTION 2. NR 199.05 (1) (intro.) of the administrative code is amended to read:
5	NR 199.05 (1) (intro.) The following activities, in priority order, are eligible for
6	funding under this section:
7	SECTION 3. NR 199.07 of the administrative code is amended to read:
8	NR 199.07 Determination of project eligibility. After receiving the
9	application, the department shall determine eligible projects by considering the
10	factors identified in ss. NR 199.01 and 199.05. The department may accept the
11	application as eligible and score it based on priority projects identified in s. NR
12	199.05 (1) or deny the application as ineligible. In scoring applications, the
(13)	department shall consider the cost-effectiveness of the proposed activity and any
14	additional benefits to overall water quality. For proposed activities that involve the
15	acquisition and removal of structures, the department, in scoring the application,
16	shall consider the loss of tax base to be an adverse impact.
17	(END)

From:

Wolf, Jake

Sent:

Tuesday, January 07, 2020 12:40 PM

To:

Pfotenhauer, Mary; Paczuski, Konrad; Wyatt, Zachary; Kunkel, Mark; Pleviak, Krista

Cc:

Abrahamian2, Robby; Conklin, Emily; Novak, Todd; Henning, Anna; Letzing, Rachel

Subject:

Water Quality Drafts for Introduction

Mary, Konrad, Zach, Mark, and Krista,

Thank you for all your help on these bills. I would like to request a 10n the following bill drafts. As soon as possible is best.

LRB 3915

LRB 4717

LRB 4716

LRB 4751

LRB 4304

LRB 4360

LRB 4489

LRB 5062 (I've copied Rep. Shankland's office on this in case you need their permission)

LRB 4806

LRB 3651

LRB 4984

LRB 5061

LRB 4931

Thank you,



LRB-4984/P5
MCP:kjf

PRELIMINARY DRAFT - NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

AN ACT to create 281.665 (5) (c) 5. of the statutes; relating to: priorities and criteria for the municipal flood control and riparian restoration program and amending administrative rules.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

The Department of Natural Resources, under its current rules, provides a list of activities that are eligible for municipal flood control grants. These activities are listed in priority order. When DNR receives an application for a municipal flood control grant, it must score the application based on that priority order.

This bill provides that any of the activities listed in DNR's rules are eligible for municipal flood control grants, and clarifies that the listed activities are not in priority order. The bill also requires DNR, when scoring applications for municipal flood control grants, to consider the cost-effectiveness of the proposed activity. In addition, if an application proposes to acquire and remove structures, the bill requires DNR, when scoring the application, to consider the loss of tax base to be an adverse impact.

For further information see the *state and local* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

1

2

1	281.665 (5) (c) 5. The cost-effectiveness of the project, including any loss to the
2	tax base.
3	SECTION 2. NR 199.05 (1) (intro.) of the administrative code is amended to read:
4	NR 199.05 (1) (intro.) The following activities, in priority order, are eligible for
5	funding under this section:
6	SECTION 3. NR 199.07 of the administrative code is amended to read:
7	NR 199.07 Determination of project eligibility. After receiving the
8	application, the department shall determine eligible projects by considering the
9	factors identified in ss. NR 199.01 and 199.05. The department may accept the
10	application as eligible and score it based on priority projects identified in s. NR
11	199.05 (1) or deny the application as ineligible. In scoring applications, the
12	department shall consider the cost-effectiveness of the proposed activity. For
13	proposed activities that involve the acquisition and removal of structures, the
14	department, in scoring the application, shall consider the loss of tax base to be an
15	adverse impact.

(END)

Walker, Dan

From:

Wolf, Jake

Sent:

Wednesday, January 08, 2020 8:40 AM

To:

LRB.Legal

Subject:

Draft Review: LRB -4984/1

ASAP

Please Jacket LRB -4984/1 for the ASSEMBLY.