2019 DRAFTING REQUEST

7	٠	1	1
к	ı	1	1
v	1		J

For:

Administration-Budget 6-1923

Drafter:

mshovers

By:

Quinn

Secondary Drafters:

Date:

2/12/2019

May Contact:

Same as LRB:

Submit via email:

YES

Requester's email:

Carbon copy (CC) to:

do as bost at language@wisconsin.gov

eric.mueller@legis.wisconsin.gov

Pre Topic:

DOA:.....Quinn, BB0340 -

Topic:

Levy Limit Exclusion for Cross-Municipality Transit Routes

Instructions:

See attached

Drafting History:

Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required
/P1	mshovers 2/15/2019	ccarmich 2/14/2019	dwalker 2/14/2019		State S&L
/P2		ccarmich 2/15/2019	mbarman 2/15/2019		State S&L

FE Sent For:

<END>

Shovers, Marc

From:

Hanaman, Cathlene

Sent:

Tuesday, February 12, 2019 9:56 AM

To:

Shovers, Marc; Kreye, Joseph; Mueller, Eric

Subject:

FW: Statutory Language Drafting Request - 2019-21

From: Quinn, Brian D - DOA < Brian.Quinn@wisconsin.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, February 12, 2019 9:53 AM

To: Hanaman, Cathlene < Cathlene. Hanaman@legis.wisconsin.gov>

Cc: Ziegler, Paul - DOA <Paul2.Ziegler@wisconsin.gov>; Quinn, Brian D - DOA <Brian.Quinn@wisconsin.gov>

Subject: Statutory Language Drafting Request - 2019-21

Biennial Budget: 2019-21

Topic: Levy Limit Exclusion for Cross-Municipality Transit Routes

Tracking Code: BB0340

SBO Team: TLGED

SBO Analyst: Quinn, Brian D - DOA

Phone: (608) 266-1923

E-mail: brian.quinn@wisconsin.gov

Agency Acronym: 835

Agency Number: 835

Priority: Medium

Intent:

Create a levy limit exclusion for the amount of property tax revenue raised in each county or municipality where:

The counties and/or municipalities are adjacent and these localities have entered into an intergovernmental cooperation agreement to provide new or enhanced transit services across county and/or municipal boundaries.

The levy limit exclusion shall be limited to operating and capital costs directly associated with the transit route or routes that cross county and/or municipal boundaries.

The amount shall exclude any amounts currently levied by any county or municipality for the cross-boundary routes or for any other route.

The amount shall be limited to only new amounts levied for the new or expanded service under the agreement.

Require each participating county or municipality to pass a referenda approving the intergovernmental agreement.

Attachments: False

Please send completed drafts to SBOStatlanguage@spmail.enterprise.wistate.us



State of Misconsin 2019 - 2020 LEGISLATURE

LRB-1974/P1 MES: Cd

DOA:.....Quinn, BB0340 - Levy Limit Exclusion for Cross-Municipality Transit Routes

FOR 2019-2021 BUDGET -- NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

SIA X-ref SIC

1

AN ACT ...; relating to: ??

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

LOCAL GOVERNMENT

Generally, under current law, local levy limits are applied to the property tax levies imposed by political subdivisions. A political subdivision may not increase its levy above its "valuation factor," which is the greater of zero percent or the percentage change in the political subdivision's equalized value due to new construction, less improvements removed. Current law contains a number of exceptions to the levy limit, such as amounts a county levies for a countywide emergency medical system, for a county children with disabilities education board, and for certain bridge and culvert construction and repair.

The bill creates another exception to local levy limits. Under the bill, amounts levied by a political subdivision for costs related to new or enhanced transit services that cross adjacent county or municipal borders do not apply to the limit if the political subdivisions between which the routes operate have entered into an intergovernmental cooperation agreement to provide for the services and if the agreement is approved in a referendum in each of the participating political subdivisions.

 $\mathbf{2}$

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

ť

For further information see the *state and local* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 66.0602 (3) (n) of the statutes is created to read:

66.0602 (3) (n) 1. Subject to subd. 2., the limit otherwise applicable under this section does not apply to the amount that a political subdivision levies in that year for operating and capital costs directly related to the provision of new or enhanced transit services across adjacent county borders or across adjacent municipal borders. For costs to be eligible for the exception under this paragraph, the starting date for the new or enhanced transit services must be on or after the effective date of this paragraph [LRB inserts date], and the costs to which the levy applies must be described in the agreement under subd. 2.

- 2. A political subdivision may not use the exception under this paragraph unless all of the following apply:
- a. The political subdivisions between which the new or enhanced transit routes operate have entered into an intergovernmental cooperation agreement under s. 66 (134) to provide for the new or enhanced transit services. The agreement shall describe the services and the amounts that must be levied to pay for those services.
- b. The agreement described in this subd. 2. a. is approved in a referendum, by the electors in each political subdivision that is a party to the agreement, to be held at the next succeeding spring primary or election or partisan primary or general election to be held not earlier than 70 days after the adoption of the agreement by

- all of the parties to the agreement. The governing body shall file the resolution to
- be submitted to the electors as provided in s. 8.37.

(END)



State of Misconsin 2019 - 2020 LEGISLATURE

LRB-1974/PI MES:cdc

DOA:.....Quinn, BB0340 - Levy Limit Exclusion for Cross-Municipality Transit Routes

FOR 2019-2021 BUDGET -- NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

AN ACT ...; relating to: the budget.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau LOCAL GOVERNMENT

1. Levy Limit Exclusion for Cross-Municipality Transit Routes

Generally, under current law, local levy limits are applied to the property tax levies imposed by political subdivisions. A political subdivision may not increase its levy above its "valuation factor," which is the greater of 0 percent or the percentage change in the political subdivision's equalized value due to new construction, less improvements removed. Current law contains a number of exceptions to the levy limit, such as amounts a county levies for a countywide emergency medical system, for a county children with disabilities education board, and for certain bridge and culvert construction and repair.

The bill creates another exception to local levy limits. Under the bill, amounts levied by a political subdivision for costs related to new or enhanced transit services that cross adjacent county or municipal borders do not apply to the limit if the political subdivisions between which the routes operate have entered into an intergovernmental cooperation agreement to provide for the services and if the agreement is approved in a referendum, in each of the participating political subdivisions.

 $\mathbf{2}$

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

For further information see the *state and local* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 66.0602 (3) (n) of the statutes is created to read:

66.0602 (3) (n) 1. Subject to subd. 2., the limit otherwise applicable under this section does not apply to the amount that a political subdivision levies in that year for operating and capital costs directly related to the provision of new or enhanced transit services across adjacent county borders or across adjacent municipal borders. For costs to be eligible for the exception under this paragraph, the starting date for the new or enhanced transit services must be on or after the effective date of this paragraph [LRB inserts date], and the costs to which the levy applies must be described in the agreement under subd. 2.

- 2. A political subdivision may not use the exception under this paragraph unless all of the following apply:
- a. The political subdivisions between which the new or enhanced transit routes operate have entered into an intergovernmental cooperation agreement under s. 66.0301 to provide for the new or enhanced transit services. The agreement shall describe the services and the amounts that must be levied to pay for those services.
- b. The agreement described in this subd. 2. a. is approved in a referendum, by the electors in each political subdivision that is a party to the agreement, to be held at the next succeeding spring primary or election or partisan primary or general election to be held not earlier than 70 days after the adoption of the agreement by

- all of the parties to the agreement. The governing body shall file the resolution to
- 2 be submitted to the electors as provided in s. 8.37.

3 (END)



State of Misconsin 2019 - 2020 LEGISLATURE

LRB-1974/P2 MES:cdc

DOA:.....Quinn, BB0340 - Levy Limit Exclusion for Cross-Municipality Transit Routes

FOR 2019-2021 BUDGET -- NOT READY FOR INTRODUCTION

AN ACT ...; relating to: the budget.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau LOCAL GOVERNMENT

1. Levy Limit Exclusion for Cross-Municipality Transit Routes

Generally, under current law, local levy limits are applied to the property tax levies imposed by political subdivisions. A political subdivision may not increase its levy above its "valuation factor," which is the greater of 0 percent or the percentage change in the political subdivision's equalized value due to new construction, less improvements removed. Current law contains a number of exceptions to the levy limit, such as amounts a county levies for a countywide emergency medical system, for a county children with disabilities education board, and for certain bridge and culvert construction and repair.

The bill creates another exception to local levy limits. Under the bill, amounts levied by a political subdivision for costs related to new or enhanced transit services that cross adjacent county or municipal borders do not apply to the limit if the political subdivisions between which the routes operate have entered into an agreement to provide for the services and if the agreement is approved in a referendum.

For further information see the *state and local* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

Section 1. 66.0602 (3) (n) of the statutes is created to read:

66.0602 (3) (n) 1. Subject to subd. 2., the limit otherwise applicable under this section does not apply to the amount that a political subdivision levies in that year for operating and capital costs directly related to the provision of new or enhanced transit services across adjacent county borders or across adjacent municipal borders. For costs to be eligible for the exception under this paragraph, the starting date for the new or enhanced transit services must be on or after the effective date of this subdivision [LRB inserts date], and the costs to which the levy applies must be described in the agreement under subd. 2.

- 2. A political subdivision may not use the exception under this paragraph unless all of the following apply:
- a. The political subdivisions between which the new or enhanced transit routes operate have entered into an intergovernmental cooperation agreement under s. 66.0301 to provide for the new or enhanced transit services. The agreement shall describe the services and the amounts that must be levied to pay for those services.
- b. The agreement described in subd. 2. a. is approved in a referendum, by the electors in each political subdivision that is a party to the agreement, to be held at the next succeeding spring primary or election or partisan primary or general election to be held not earlier than 70 days after the adoption of the agreement by

- all of the parties to the agreement. The governing body shall file the resolution to
- 2 be submitted to the electors as provided in s. 8.37.

3 (END)