

### Fiscal Estimate - 2019 Session

Original     
  Updated     
  Corrected     
  Supplemental

<b>LRB Number</b> <b>19-3110/1</b>	<b>Introduction Number</b> <b>AB-0229</b>
<b>Description</b> creation of a Joint Committee on State Mandates and required funding of state mandates	
<b>Fiscal Effect</b>	
<b>State:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> No State Fiscal Effect <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 30%;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Appropriations  <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Appropriations  <input type="checkbox"/> Create New Appropriations                 </div> <div style="width: 30%;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues  <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues                 </div> <div style="width: 30%;"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs - May be possible to absorb within agency's budget  <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; font-size: small;"> <span><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes</span> <span><input type="checkbox"/> No</span> </div> <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs                 </div> </div>	
<b>Local:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> No Local Government Costs <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 30%;">                 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs  <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory                  2. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory             </div> <div style="width: 30%;">                 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Revenue  <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory                  4. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Revenue  <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory             </div> <div style="width: 30%;">                 5. Types of Local Government Units Affected  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Towns      <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Village      <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cities  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Counties      <input type="checkbox"/> Others  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> School Districts      <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WTCS Districts             </div> </div>	
<b>Fund Sources Affected</b>	<b>Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEGS	
<b>Agency/Prepared By</b> DOT/ Paul Nilsen (608) 261-0126	<b>Authorized Signature</b> Joan Meier (608) 267-6978
	<b>Date</b> 6/20/2019

**Fiscal Estimate Narratives**  
**DOT 6/20/2019**

LRB Number <b>19-3110/1</b>	Introduction Number <b>AB-0229</b>	Estimate Type <b>Original</b>
<b>Description</b> creation of a Joint Committee on State Mandates and required funding of state mandates		

**Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

The department of transportation currently administers various state mandates as affirmative duties (such as Trans 319, which requires local police to keep records of vehicles towed off private property by private towing companies) or prohibitions (such as Trans 277, which prohibits the storage of highway salt in any manner that fails to protect the waters of this state from harm due to contamination by dissolved chlorides).

The department assumes this bill applies prospectively, only to newly promulgated administrative rules. The department assumes this bill would allow continued enforcement of existing administrative rules, and that any such action (i.e. enforcement) would not be considered to impose a mandate that required compensation.

The department assumes that it would not be required to follow the law if it determined that doing so would impose a mandate and if available funds are insufficient to pay for that mandate. This bill appropriates no money for providing reimbursement, but the department assumes that appropriation would be made sufficient to ensure the department could meet its statutory obligations.

The bill could increase costs by requiring the department to comply with statutory directives in some indirect manner without imposing a mandate, if available funds are insufficient to pay for imposing a mandate. The amount of the cost increases is indeterminable.

This bill could increase revenue available to local units of government. This bill appropriates no money for providing reimbursement, but the department assumes that appropriation would be made sufficient to ensure the department could meet its statutory obligations. In the event insufficient sums are appropriated, this bill could either increase costs due to indirect regulation to achieve statutory goals, or reduce costs to local units of government by making state law unenforceable, though the cost savings or revenue increases are indeterminable.

**Long-Range Fiscal Implications**

Unknown.