Fiscal Estimate - 2019 Session

☑ Original ☐ Updated		Corrected	Suppleme	ntal
LRB Number 19-1689/1		Introduction Number	AB-0033	
Description expungement of records of certain crimes			од на примете на приме	
Fiscal Effect				
State: No State Fiscal Effect Indeterminate Increase Existing Appropriations Increase Increase Existing Appropriations Appropriations Create New Appropriations	Existing	ues Increase Costs - May be budget I Yes Decrease Costs	-	n agency's
Local: ☐ No Local Government Costs ☐ Indeterminate 1. ☐ Increase Costs ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory ☐ Permissive ☐ ☐ Permissive ☐ Mandatory ☐ Permissive ☐ Permissive ☐	Mandatory evenue	5.Types of Local Governm Towns Counties School Districts	nent Units Affected Village Others WTCS Districts	Cities
Fund Sources Affected GPR FED PRO PRS	SEG	Affected Ch. 2	0 Appropriations	
Agency/Prepared By	Autho	rized Signature		Date
CTS/ Nancy Rottier (608) 267-9733	Nancy	Nancy Rottier (608) 267-9733		3/5/2019

Fiscal Estimate Narratives CTS 3/5/2019

LRB Number 19-1689/1	Introduction Number AB-0033	Estimate Type	Original		
Description					
expungement of records of certain crimes					

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill makes various changes to the procedures for expunging circuit court records of convictions. The bill removes the requirement that a request for expungement of a conviction be made at the time of sentencing and allows a defendant to petition the circuit court at a later time for an order expunging the record of conviction. The bill also removes the current provision limiting expungement to defendants who were under the age of 25 at the time of commission of the offense. The bill does not make changes to the types of crimes for which a petition may be brought.

Under the bill, the circuit court is required to review petitions for expungement to determine whether they meet the statutory eligibility criteria. The circuit court has discretion about holding hearings to determine whether to grant or deny petitions for expungement.

It is impossible to predict how many such petitions will be filed in the circuit courts. Although no exact figure is available, it is estimated that several thousand defendants are eligible to petition for expungement each year. In the three most recent calendar years, 2016 – 2018, there were an average of 46,900 misdemeanor cases and 41,450 felony cases opened each year. These figures do not include criminal traffic cases, which are not eligible for expungement. Expungement of circuit court records is not available to defendants in all of these cases. Only those felony cases that involve certain Class H or Class I felonies are eligible for expungement.**

Not all of those currently eligible for expungement request that the court order the record expunged, and it is not expected that all of those eligible under the terms of this bill would petition for expungement if this bill is passed.

This bill requires the court to review all petitions for eligibility and will require hearings in many cases for which petitions for expungement are filed. Additional proceedings require additional judge, court reporter, and court staff time. These costs are borne by both the state and the county. An accurate estimate of the additional costs of this proposal, and how those costs will be divided between the state and the counties, is impossible with the data available.

The bill provides for initial applicability to "any conviction for which sentencing has occurred but for which the record has not been ordered expunged on the effective date of this subsection." Assuming a substantial number of persons will file petitions for expungement based on cases already closed, it is anticipated this bill will result in a temporary increase in workload for the courts. It is expected that existing court staff will be able to absorb the impact of this temporary increase in workload.

This bill also requires a petitioner to pay a filing fee of \$100 for the second petition for expungement filed. As with all filing fees, petitioners may request that the court waive the fee because of indigency. The bill is unclear where the revenues raised by this fee are to be deposited. The amount of revenue that may be raised by the imposition of this fee is unknown; it will depend on the number of petitions filed and the percentage of petitions in which the fee is paid versus being waived for indigency.

**For a listing of Class H and Class I felonies, see Legislative Fiscal Bureau Information Paper #53 entitled "Felony Sentencing and Probation" on pages 73-83. The paper is available at:

http://docs.legis.wisconsin.gov/misc/lfb/informational_papers/january_2019/0053_felony_sentencing_and_probation_informational_paper_53.pdf

Long-Range Fiscal Implications