Fiscal Estimate - 2019 Session

☑ Original ☐ Updated	☐ Corrected ☐ Su	ipplemental
LRB Number 19-1426/1	Introduction Number AB-	0039
Description a higher wild animal protection surcharge for the u	ınlawful taking of deer	
Fiscal Effect		
Appropriations Reven	ase Existing absorb within agency	
2. Decrease Costs 4. Decrea	sive Mandatory Towns V Counties O School V	nment illage ☐Cities thers <u>0</u> √TCS istricts
Fund Sources Affected GPR FED PRO PRS	Affected Ch. 20 Approp SEG SEGS	riations
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date
DNR/ Paul Neumann (608) 266-0818	Paul Neumann (608) 266-0818 2/26/2	

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 2/26/2019

LRB Number 19-1426/1	Introduction Number	AB-0039	Estimate Type	Original	
Description					
a higher wild animal protection surcharge for the unlawful taking of deer					

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Under current law, a court may impose a wild animal protection surcharge against a defendant if the court imposes a fine or forfeiture for a violation of Chapter 29 or an order/rule related to the unlawful killing, wounding, catching, taking, trapping, or possession of a white-tailed deer (among other species). The current statutorily assigned surcharge for white-tailed deer is \$43.75.

The bill proposes to modify the wild animal protection surcharge assigned to white-tailed deer from a universally fixed value (\$43.75) to a flexible value range that is assignable at the court's discretion, subject to established minimum and maximum values (\$43.75-\$5,000).

Revenue from the wild animal protection surcharge is deposited into the Fish and Wildlife Account of the Conservation Fund, however, the Department does not presently index surcharge deposits by species type. Additionally, the Department cannot estimate the manner in which sentencing courts will elect to utilize this proposal's available upward departure, since each court's discretion will likely vary significantly based upon the size/quality of the unlawfully harvested animal, the circumstances of the case, and the prevailing community values incorporated into the local justice system. Therefore, the Department cannot estimate the revenue impact of this proposal's surcharge modifications with significant statistical confidence.

While the bill's proposed flexible surcharge system, if imposed by courts at amounts greater than the current surcharge value, has the potential to increase revenues, the competing deterrent effect that would likely reduce illegal harvest (and therefore reduce corresponding surcharge revenue) makes the overall fiscal impact indeterminate.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications