Fiscal Estimate - 2019 Session

	Updated	Corre	cted	Suppleme	ental			
LRB Number 19-52	282/1	Introduct	ion Number	SB-711				
Description priorities and criteria for the municipal flood control and riparian restoration program and amending administrative rules								
Fiscal Effect								
State: No State Fiscal Effect Indeterminate Increase Existing Appropriations Decrease Existing Appropriations Create New Appro	Revenu Decrea Revenu	se Existing	Increase Cost absorb within Yes	agency's budg				
Local: No Local Government Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive M 2. Decrease Costs Permissive M	3. ☐ Increas andatory ☐ Permis 4. ☐ Decrea	sive Mandatory	5.Types of Local Government U Affected Towns Counties School Districts	nits ⊠Village ⊠ 0thers <u>Se</u>	Cities etropolitan ewerage strict			
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations								
GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS								
Agency/Prepared By		Authorized Signa	ature		Date			
DNR/ Paul Neumann (608)	Paul Neumann (608) 266-0818			1/31/2020				

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 1/31/2020

LRB Number	19-5282/1	Introduction Number	SB-711	Estimate Type	Original	
Description priorities and criteria for the municipal flood control and riparian restoration program and amending administrative rules						

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The bill provides that any of the activities listed in DNR's rules for municipal flood control (MFC) grants are eligible for those grants, and clarifies that the listed activities are not in priority order. The bill also requires DNR, when scoring applications for municipal flood control grants, to consider the cost-effectiveness of the proposed activity. In addition, if an application proposes to acquire and remove structures, the bill requires DNR, when scoring the application, to consider the loss of tax base to be an adverse impact.

State Fiscal Effect

The bill would require the Department to amend Administrative Rule NR 199 to incorporate rating and ranking criteria for the new requirements, which will also result in revisions to the application materials, scoring system, and redrafting of guidance documents. The new scoring system would need to determine how to measure the cost-effectiveness of a proposed activity, which would also include the loss of tax base as an adverse impact of acquisition and removal of structures.

Implementing the provisions of the bill will increase the workload of staff involved with the MFC grant program. One-time costs for reviewing and updating administrative code, application materials, scoring system and redrafting guidance documents would take approximately 250 hours. This would include drafting the scope statement and amended rule along with the supporting documentation needed for administrative code change approval. Appearances before the Natural Resources Board will be required for scope statement approval, authorization to hold public hearings and final approval. In addition, because this rule involves several programs (i.e., Watershed Management and Community Financial Assistance), meeting and coordination among representatives of those programs will be required. The Department's rule coordinator will be involved to assist in publication of required notices and submission of the various requests for approval. Department legal counsel will assure compliance with the requirements of ch. 227, Wis. Stats.

In summary, the Department estimates 420 total hours of staff time and one-time costs of \$23,400 to implement the changes prescribed in the bill.

Local Fiscal Effect

The local fiscal effect of the bill is indeterminate.

In order to determine cost-effectiveness and loss of tax base, local units of government applying for MFC grants will incur additional staff time and costs to furnish additional information with their applications.

A municipality's ability to compete for MFC grants will either increase or decrease based upon the type of project applications submitted as it compares to the changes prescribed in the bill.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2019 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

☑ Original ☐ Updated	Corrected	Supplemental				
LRB Number 19-5282/1	Introduction Number SB-711					
Description priorities and criteria for the municipal flood control administrative rules	and riparian restoration pro	gram and amending				
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State annualized fiscal effect):	and/or Local Government	(do not include in				
One-time costs of \$23,400 to implement the provisions of the bill.						
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from					
A State Coste by Cotegory	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs				
A. State Costs by Category State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	1 \$	\$				
(FTE Position Changes)	Ψ	Ą				
State Operations - Other Costs						
Local Assistance						
Aids to Individuals or Organizations						
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$	\$				
B. State Costs by Source of Funds						
GPR						
FED						
PRO/PRS						
SEG/SEG-S						
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when p (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, ets.		ecrease state revenues				
	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev				
GPR Taxes	\$	\$				
GPR Earned						
FED						
PRO/PRS						
SEG/SEG-S						
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$				
NET ANNUALI	ZED FISCAL IMPACT					
NET OLIANOE IN COOTS	State	Loca				
NET CHANGE IN DEVENUE	\$	\$				
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE	\$	\$				
Agency/Prepared By Authorized Signature Date						
DNR/ Paul Neumann (608) 266-0818 Paul Neumann (608) 266-0818 1/31/2020						