Fiscal Estimate - 2021 Session

☐ Updated	Corrected Supple	mental
LRB Number 21-1433/1	Introduction Number AB-02	89
Description providing permanency plan and comments to out review or hearing	t-of-home care providers in advance of a permar	nency plan
Fiscal Effect		
AppropriationsRever	ease Existingabsorb within agency's l	
Permissive Mandatory Perm 2. Decrease Costs 4. Decre	5.Types of Local Governments ase Revenue Units Affected issive Mandatory ease Revenue School WTCS issive Mandatory Districts District	e Cities
Fund Sources Affected GPR FED PRO PRS	Affected Ch. 20 Appropria	ations
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date
CTS/ Bonnie Anderson (608) 267-0702	Karley Downing (608) 267-5277	6/28/2021

Fiscal Estimate Narratives CTS 6/28/2021

LRB Number 21-1	433/1 Introd	duction Number Al	B-0289	Estimate Type	Original	
Description providing permanency plan and comments to out-of-home care providers in advance of a permanency plan review or hearing						

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill allows an agency to provide a copy of a child's permanency plan and comments on the plan to a child's out-of-home care provider in the context of a permanency review and a permanency hearing. An out-of-home care provider includes a foster parent, guardian, relative other than a parent, or non-relative in whose home a child or juvenile is placed, or the operator of a group home, residential care center for children and youth, or shelter care facility in which a child or juvenile is placed.

Under this bill, any information that is required to remain confidential under federal or state law must be redacted from the permanency plan before it is provided to the out-of-home care provider.

It is possible that disagreements concerning situations where the out-of-home care provider is denied access to/copy of the permanency plan or the out-of-home care provider believes that some of the information should not be redacted could be raised to the court, increasing workload.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

The courts do not anticipate any long range fiscal effects from the bill; however, if disputes are raised with the courts it may increase the workload.