

### Fiscal Estimate - 2021 Session

Original                     
  Updated                     
  Corrected                     
  Supplemental

<b>LRB Number</b> <b>21-4372/1</b>	<b>Introduction Number</b> <b>SB-625</b>	
<b>Description</b> Modifying the permit for certain oversize or overweight vehicles transporting forest products		
<b>Fiscal Effect</b>  <b>State:</b> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 30%;"> <input type="checkbox"/> No State Fiscal Effect  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate  <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Appropriations  <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Appropriations  <input type="checkbox"/> Create New Appropriations                     </div> <div style="width: 30%;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues  <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues                     </div> <div style="width: 30%;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs - May be possible to absorb within agency's budget  <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-left: 20px;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Yes                      <input type="checkbox"/> No                             </div> <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs                     </div> </div> <b>Local:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Local Government Costs <input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 10px;"> <div style="width: 30%;">                     1. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs  <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory                      2. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs  <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory                 </div> <div style="width: 30%;">                     3. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Revenue  <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory                      4. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Revenue  <input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory                 </div> <div style="width: 30%;">                     5. Types of Local Government Units Affected  <input type="checkbox"/> Towns                      <input type="checkbox"/> Village                      <input type="checkbox"/> Cities  <input type="checkbox"/> Counties                      <input type="checkbox"/> Others  <input type="checkbox"/> School Districts                      <input type="checkbox"/> WTCS Districts                 </div> </div>		
<b>Fund Sources Affected</b> <b>Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations</b> <input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEGS		
<b>Agency/Prepared By</b> DOT/ Peter Pavich (608) 266-1876	<b>Authorized Signature</b> Joan Meier (608) 267-6978	<b>Date</b> 11/2/2021

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives

DOT 11/2/2021

LRB Number	21-4372/1	Introduction Number	SB-625	Estimate Type	Original
<b>Description</b> Modifying the permit for certain oversize or overweight vehicles transporting forest products					

### Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill would add five highway segments to the Michigan boarder permit for transportation of timber products. The Department is unable to provide an estimate on increased costs for this change. While it is assumed that an increase in heavy truck traffic on these segments would lead to increased degradation of the pavement and structures along these segments, the Department has no reliable way to estimate the number of trips, origin and destination, and specific weight information of the vehicles using these highway segments. In addition, there is no generally accepted basic degradation factor that could be used in place of the specific information needed to estimate the impacts.

See attachment for the highway mileage affected by this bill and the number of bridges on those sections.

### Long-Range Fiscal Implications

The long-range fiscal impacts are indeterminate. There will likely be increased pavement and structure deterioration along these highway segments, but the costs associated with maintenance and repair of these segments cannot be adequately estimated.

<b>Route</b>	<b>Miles</b>	<b>Bridges</b>
1. STH 64, from USH 45 in the city of Antigo to USH 141 in Marinette County.	59.8	11
2. STH 64, from USH 141 in Marinette County to USH 41 in the city of Marinette.	19.5	5
3. USH 141, from the village of Crivitz to CTH S in Oconto County.	35	20
4. USH 41, from the town of Abrams to the city of Marinette.	35	25
5. USH 8, from USH 45 in Oneida County to a point four-tenths of a mile west.	0.4	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>214.1</b>	<b>68</b>