Fiscal Estimate - 2023 Session

☑ Original ☐ Updated	Corrected Suppl	emental					
LRB Number 23-0270/1	Introduction Number AB-10	038					
Description the wildlife damage abatement program and the wildlife damage claim program							
Fiscal Effect							
AppropriationsReve	ease Existing absorb within agency's						
□ No Local Government Costs □ Indeterminate 1. □ Increase Costs	ease Revenue	ge Cities ers CS					
Fund Sources Affected	Affected Ch. 20 Approp	riations					
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☒ SEG ☐ SEGS 20.370 (5)(fq)							
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date					
DNR/ Paul Neumann (608) 266-0818	Paul Neumann (608) 266-0818	2/19/2024					

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 2/19/2024

LRB Number 23-0270	11 Introduction Number	AB-1038	Estimate Type	Original		
Description						
the wildlife damage abatement program and the wildlife damage claim program						

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The bill makes several changes to the wildlife damage abatement program and the wildlife damage claim program.

- A. State Fiscal Effect
- 1. One-Time Costs
- a. \$87,500 for the purchase of 25 additional bear traps (\$3,500 each)
- b. \$17,500 for the purchase of 25 bear trap monitors (\$700 each)
- c. \$82,000 for the development of the on-line bear hunter registration system
- d. Total one-time costs of \$187,000
- 2. Ongoing Costs
- a. The department estimates a \$250,000/yr. increase in damage compensation paid to producers under the assumptions that:
- i. commodities grown will remain constant
- ii. commodity prices will remain constant
- iii. damage rates per acre will remain constant
- iv. existing agricultural acreages enrolled and eligible for compensation will remain constant
- b. The bill requires all live bear traps acquired with wildlife damage abatement assistance to be equipped with remote monitors, including traps placed under the program prior to the effective date of the bill. Therefore, the department estimates a \$1,250/yr. increase in costs for bear trap monitor fees, assuming annual satellite and activation fees for bear trap monitors will remain constant (\$50/trap/year).
- c.The department estimates a \$25,000/yr. increase in costs for bear abatement expenditures, assuming a 20% increase in for bear trapping and relocation services resulting from the option for trapping and relocation of bear on leased properties.
- d. The department estimates a \$5,000/yr. increase in costs to compensate farmers for crops destroyed due to accessing trap locations, assuming the amount of crops damaged to access bear trap locations does not increase significantly.
- e. The department estimates a \$10,000/yr. increase in annual maintenance costs for an on-line bear hunter registration system.
- f. The department estimates a \$63,000/yr. reduction in annual costs from no longer needing to reimburse counties for performing administrative tasks like preparing and submitting annual budgets and quarterly reimbursement requests and reviewing and approving claims and crop prices.
- f. Total estimated net increase in costs of \$228,300/yr. (250,000 + 1,250 + 25,000 + 5,000 + 10,000 63,000).
- B. Local Fiscal Effect

County revenues and costs would both decrease by \$63,000 (assuming \$900/county) because they would no longer be performing the administrative tasks identified above, and therefore, would no longer be compensated for those tasks by the department.

In addition, note that ten counties dedicate an employee for "on-the-ground" work to implement the wildlife

damage abatement and wildlife damage claim program--including such tasks as enrolling producers, providing abatement recommendations, conducting crop appraisals, etc. However, there would be no cost savings associated with what is proposed in the bill because those tasks still need to be performed, either by the department or the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

The bill would likely increase wildlife damage account expenditures to a level that exceeds typical annual revenues. However, there is an estimated \$4.0 million surplus in the account that could cover overages for several years should they occur.

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2023 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

☑ Original ☐ Updated	Corrected	Supplemental			
LRB Number 23-0270/1	Introduction I	Number AB-1038			
Description the wildlife damage abatement program and the	ie wildlife damage claim i	program			
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for S					
annualized fiscal effect):		•			
Estimated one-time costs of \$187,000 for the properties of an on-line bear hunter registra		nd bear trap monitors and for th	ne		
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualiz	Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from:			
	Increased (Costs Decreased Co	osts		
A. State Costs by Category					
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes		\$	\$		
(FTE Position Changes)					
State Operations - Other Costs	29	1,300 -63,	000		
Local Assistance			- nindli indicata vi		
Aids to Individuals or Organizations					
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$29	1,300 \$-63,	000		
B. State Costs by Source of Funds					
GPR .					
FED					
PRO/PRS		,			
SEG/SEG-S	29	1,300 -63,	000		
III. State Revenues - Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, ets.)					
	Increased	d Rev Decreased i	Rev		
GPR Taxes		\$	\$		
GPR Earned					
FED					
PRO/PRS					
SEG/SEG-S					
TOTAL State Revenues		\$	\$		
NET ANNUA	LIZED FISCAL IMPACT				
			<u>cal</u>		
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$228	8,300 -\$63,			
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE		\$ -\$63,0	000		
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date			
DNR/ Paul Neumann (608) 266-0818	Paul Neumann (608) 26	6-0818 2/19/20	24		