Fiscal Estimate - 2023 Session

☑ Original ☐ Updated	☐ Corrected ☐ Supp	olemental			
LRB Number 23-0606/1	Introduction Number AB	-0133			
Description farmland preservation agreements and tax cre	edits				
Fiscal Effect					
Appropriations Re	crease Existing evenues crease Existing evenues crease Existing evenues Increase Costs - possible to absor agency's budget Increase Costs - possible to absor agency's budget Increase Costs				
Permissive Mandatory Pe	ecrease Revenue Counties O ermissive Mandatory School W	illage			
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations					
☑ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐ PRS ☑ SEG ☐ SEGS 20.115(7)(dm), 20.115(7)(tm)					
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date			
DATCP/ Waylon Hurlburt (608) 224-4857	Waylon Hurlburt (608) 224-4857	4/10/2023			

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DATCP 4/10/2023

LRB Number 23-0606/1	Introduction Number	AB-0133	Estimate Type	Original	
Description					
farmland preservation agreements and tax credits					

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill makes the following changes involving farmland preservation program (FPP) reporting, agreements, and farmland preservation tax credits:

- 1. Decreases the minimum required length of a farmland preservation agreement between the Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection (DATCP) and a farmland owner to 10 years from 15 years.
- 2. Requires DATCP to include in a report submitted to the Board of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection, the Joint Committee on Finance, the standing committees of the legislature with jurisdiction over agriculture, the Department of Revenue, and the Department of Administration a review of the tax credit amounts for qualifying acres for the farmland preservation tax credit and recommendations for the tax credit levels for qualifying acres of farmland.
- 3. Under the farmland preservation tax credit, increases from \$7.50 to \$10.00 the amount that may be claimed, per qualifying acre, for qualifying acres that are located in a farmland preservation zoning district, but are not subject to a farmland preservation agreement.
- 4. Under the farmland preservation tax credit, increases from \$5.00 to \$10.00 the amount that may be claimed, per qualifying acre, for qualifying acres that are subject to a farmland preservation agreement, but not located in a farmland preservation zoning district.
- 5. Under the farmland preservation tax credit, increases from \$10.00 to \$12.50 the amount that may be claimed, per qualifying acre, for qualifying acres that are located in a farmland preservation zoning district and are subject to a farmland preservation agreement.
- 6. Adds a new category of farmland that qualifies for the farmland preservation tax credit. A credit of \$10 per acre may be claimed for farmland that is located in a farmland preservation area, but only to the extent that the acres are covered by an agriculture conservation easement purchased under s. 93.73, Wis. Stats.
- 7. Indexes the tax credits under s. 71.613(2)(am), (bm), (cm) and (d) for inflation.

This bill will increase state costs. The increased tax credit rates will apply to those currently in the program and may be attractive to potential new participants. Additionally, the bill may encourage new agreements as a result of the shorter agreement length. This will require additional staff time to administer.

This bill will likely increase local government costs. If additional participants join the FPP as a result of the bill, county staff will have additional work to complete FPP agreements and verification of soil and water conservation standards. While this work will be shared throughout the state, areas eligible for farmland preservation zoning that were not participating previously are likely to see an increase in workload if they choose to certify their zoning ordinance.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Long-term, this bill will increase state costs as the tax credit will be indexed to inflation. In addition, if higher tax credits are available, more participants may join FPP, which will increase work in the county conservation departments. DATCP and the counties may require additional staff resources to absorb the workload related to increased participation resulting from increased tax credits.