

Fiscal Estimate - 2023 Session

Original
 Updated
 Corrected
 Supplemental

LRB Number 23-4457/1	Introduction Number AB-0571
------------------------------------	---

Description
 maintenance of the voter registration list, training of municipal clerks, data sharing agreements, pre-election procedures, lines at the polls on election day, and granting rule-making authority

Fiscal Effect

State:

- No State Fiscal Effect
- Indeterminate
 - Increase Existing Appropriations
 - Decrease Existing Appropriations
 - Create New Appropriations
 - Increase Existing Revenues
 - Decrease Existing Revenues
 - Increase Costs - May be possible to absorb within agency's budget
 - Yes
 - No
 - Decrease Costs

Local:

- No Local Government Costs
 - Indeterminate
 - 1. Increase Costs
 - Permissive
 - Mandatory
 - 2. Decrease Costs
 - Permissive
 - Mandatory
 - 3. Increase Revenue
 - Permissive
 - Mandatory
 - 4. Decrease Revenue
 - Permissive
 - Mandatory
5. Types of Local Government Units Affected
- Towns
 - Counties
 - School Districts
 - Village
 - Others
 - WTCS Districts
 - Cities

Fund Sources Affected

GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS

Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations

Agency/Prepared By ELEC/ Robert Kehoe (608) 261-2019	Authorized Signature Meagan Wolfe (608) 266-8175	Date 11/3/2023
--	--	--------------------------

Fiscal Estimate Narratives

ELEC 11/3/2023

LRB Number	23-4457/1	Introduction Number	AB-0571	Estimate Type	Original
Description maintenance of the voter registration list, training of municipal clerks, data sharing agreements, pre-election procedures, lines at the polls on election day, and granting rule-making authority					

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The Wisconsin Elections Commission is unable to determine the fiscal effects of this bill because too many elements of the bill are unknown. The bill appears to require every municipality in the state to conduct two identical tests: one public and one private. This would effectively double testing costs but as the duplication of labor appears to serve no purpose it is unclear if this is actually the author's intent. In addition, the Commission already has extensive responsibilities to train municipal clerks, and Wisconsin municipalities already use tamper evident seals, so the intent of section 5.84(4) is unclear. Section 6.36(1)(ae)3 likewise restates existing practices.

The proposed sections 6.50(3)(a) and 6.50(3)(b) fail to define "reliable information" and thus lack actionable meaning.

It is unclear what the amended section 85.61(1) intends.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications