## Fiscal Estimate - 2023 Session

☑ Original ☐ Updated	Corrected	Supplen	nental			
LRB Number <b>23-2129/1</b>	Introduction	Number SB-026	69			
<b>Description</b> grants to map, control, eradicate, monitor, and minimize the spread of wild parsnip; funding for the Bureau of Natural Heritage Conservation; granting rule-making authority; and making an appropriation						
Fiscal Effect						
Appropriations Reve	ease Existing	Increase Costs - May be absorb within agency's b Yes Decrease Costs				
Permissive Mandatory Perm  2. Decrease Costs 4. Decr	5 ase Revenue nissive	Counties Others 2	☑ Cities <u>Native</u> American Tribes/Bands			
Fund Sources Affected  GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS 20.370 (1)(fq); 20.370 (6)(dr)						
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	е	Date			
R/ Paul Neumann (608) 266-0818 Paul Neumann (608) 266-0818		5/9/2023				

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 5/9/2023

LRB Number	23-2129/1	Introduction Number	SB-0269	Estimate Type	Original	
<b>Description</b> grants to map, control, eradicate, monitor, and minimize the spread of wild parsnip; funding for the Bureau						
of Natural Heritage Conservation; granting rule-making authority; and making an appropriation						

## Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill requires the Department to award up to \$100,000 per year in grants to local governments or American Indian tribes or bands that have declared wild parsnip to be a noxious weed for projects on public or private land to map, control, eradicate, monitor, and minimize the spread of wild parsnip. In addition, the bill appropriates \$50,000 per year to the Bureau of Natural Heritage Conservation (NHC) to administer the grant program.

#### A. State Fiscal Effect

- 1. Grant Administration NHC's costs to administer the grant program will be covered with the funding provided in the bill.
- 2. Grant expenditures will increase by an estimated \$100,000/year, which coincides with the funding provided in the bill.

### B. Local Fiscal Effect

Revenue and costs for local units of government (LUGs) will increase to the extent that they successfully compete for a grant. LUGs could incur costs that go above and beyond levels that are funded from the grants since successful wild parsnip eradication requires ongoing effort.

#### Long-Range Fiscal Implications

# Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2023 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

☑ Original ☐ Updated	Corrected	Supplemental				
LRB Number <b>23-2129/1</b>	Introduction Num	ber <b>SB-0269</b>				
<b>Description</b> grants to map, control, eradicate, monitor, and minimize the spread of wild parsnip; funding for the Bureau of Natural Heritage Conservation; granting rule-making authority; and making an appropriation						
I. One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for annualized fiscal effect):	State and/or Local Governme	ent (do not include in				
II. Annualized Costs:	Annualized Fis	Annualized Fiscal Impact on funds from				
	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs				
A. State Costs by Category						
State Operations - Salaries and Fringes	\$50,000					
(FTE Position Changes)						
State Operations - Other Costs						
Local Assistance						
Aids to Individuals or Organizations	100,000					
TOTAL State Costs by Category	\$150,000					
B. State Costs by Source of Funds						
GPR						
FED						
PRO/PRS						
SEG/SEG-S	150,000					
III. State Revenues - Complete this only wi (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee		decrease state revenues				
	Increased Rev	Decreased Rev				
GPR Taxes	\$	\$				
GPR Earned						
FED						
PRO/PRS						
SEG/SEG-S						
TOTAL State Revenues	\$	\$				
NET ANNUA	ALIZED FISCAL IMPACT					
	<u>State</u>	Loca				
NET CHANGE IN COSTS	\$150,000	\$100,000				
NET CHANGE IN REVENUE \$ \$100,00						
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date				
DNR/ Paul Neumann (608) 266-0818 Paul Neumann (608) 266-0818 5/9						