

Fiscal Estimate - 2025 Session

☒ Original ☐ Updated ☐ Corrected ☐ Supplemental

LRB Number 25-1094/1		Introduction Number AB-0155	
Description designating the Tom Diehl Memorial Highway			
Fiscal Effect			
State:			
<input type="checkbox"/> No State Fiscal Effect			
<input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate			
<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Appropriations		<input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues	
<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Appropriations		<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues	
<input type="checkbox"/> Create New Appropriations		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs - May be possible to absorb within agency's budget	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs	
Local:			
<input type="checkbox"/> No Local Government Costs			
<input type="checkbox"/> Indeterminate			
1. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Costs		3. <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Revenue	
<input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory		<input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	
2. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Costs		4. <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Revenue	
<input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory		<input type="checkbox"/> Permissive <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory	
5. Types of Local Government Units Affected			
<input type="checkbox"/> Towns		<input type="checkbox"/> Village <input type="checkbox"/> Cities	
<input type="checkbox"/> Counties		<input type="checkbox"/> Others	
<input type="checkbox"/> School Districts		<input type="checkbox"/> WTCS Districts	
Fund Sources Affected		Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations	
<input type="checkbox"/> GPR <input type="checkbox"/> FED <input type="checkbox"/> PRO <input type="checkbox"/> PRS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SEG <input type="checkbox"/> SEGS		20.395(3)(eq)	
Agency/Prepared By		Authorized Signature	
DOT/ Peter Pavich (608) 266-1876		Bruce Rheineck (608) 266-5164	
		Date	
		5/6/2025	

Fiscal Estimate Narratives

DOT 5/6/2025

LRB Number	25-1094/1	Introduction Number	AB-0155	Estimate Type	Original
Description designating the Tom Diehl Memorial Highway					

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill requires the Department to designate and mark USH 12 in the village of Lake Delton in Sauk County as the Tom Diehl Memorial Highway. Per the Wisconsin Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices, the Department would place two interpretive markers erected outside of the highway right-of-way to designate the Tom Diehl Memorial Highway. The Department typically installs interpretive markers outside of the highway right-of-way at waysides, rest areas, parks, or other suitable local locations as coordinated with local authorities. There are two options for off right-of-way memorial highway signing: Option one - the sign may have black lettering on a white background, and would list the name of the memorial highway, as designated by statute. It would also contain the text of paragraph one of the statute and include the statute number. Option two - the sign may be a multi-colored interpretive sign with a choice of wording and design. The cost for option one is approximately \$780 per sign and the cost for option two is approximately \$1,560 per sign. The typical approach is for two signs, one at each terminus of the highway. Therefore, the estimated cost would be either \$1,560 for option one or \$3,120 for option two. These costs include manufacturing and installation of the signs. The costs can be absorbed by the Department's existing budget.

The Department must follow the requirements of the Wisconsin Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices for signage when marking this memorial highway.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

These signs will require ongoing maintenance and replacement every 12 years. Replacing the signing erected outside of the highway right-of-way is estimated to cost \$560.00 per sign for the standard signing in Option one and \$980.00 per interpretive marker in option two. All long-term costs assume no post replacements, which would increase the costs.