Fiscal Estimate - 2025 Session					
🛛 Original 🔲 Updated	Corrected Supplen	nental			
LRB Number 25-3183/1	Introduction Number SB-029	4			
Description labeling plants as beneficial to pollinators					
Fiscal Effect					
AppropriationsReve	ease Existing absorb within agency's b				
Local: No Local Government Costs   Indeterminate 5. Types of Local Government   1. Increase Costs 3.   Permissive Mandatory   Permissive Mandatory					
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriate					
GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS 20.115(7)(qc)					
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signature	Date			
DATCP/ Kelly Martinson (608) 224-6335	Waylon Hurlburt (608) 224-4857	7/1/2025			

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives DATCP 7/1/2025

LRB Number 25-3183/1	Introduction Number	SB-0294	Estimate Type	Original	
Description					
labeling plants as beneficial to pollinators					

## Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

This bill prohibits a person who sells plants at retail or provides plants to a user from labeling or advertising the plant as being beneficial to pollinators if the plant has been treated with and contains a certain concentration of insecticides that contain warnings about pollinator hazards on their labels. The bill would require the Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection (DATCP) nursery inspection staff to respond to complaints of potential violations of the statute. Since this would be complaint-driven, it is uncertain whether DATCP would receive any, or numerous complaints each year.

In response to receiving a complaint, a Plant Pest and Disease Specialist Senior would perform an investigation into the allegations to document whether a violation of the statute occurred. It is assumed an investigation would include interviewing the complainant, visiting the site where the plant material was purchased, identifying the lot of plants in question, purchasing plants to provide sufficient floral material for testing, performing laboratory analysis for pesticides of concern, and preparation of a narrative documenting the findings of the investigation.

Fiscal assumptions for each complaint:

- 1) Salary @\$32.02 X 10 Hours = \$320.20
- 2) Fringe @43.27% = \$138.55
- 3) Purchase Plants for Testing (5-10 plants) @\$100
- 4) Laboratory Analysis @\$800

5) Supplies and Services (vehicle, mileage, cellphone, computer, etc.) @\$100

## Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Additional resources may be needed in the future if complaints and the related costs exceed DATCP's ability to absorb.