## Fiscal Estimate - 2025 Session

Original Upda	ated Correc	cted	Suppleme	ental				
LRB Number 25-1850/1	Introduct	ion Number	SB-0032	2				
Description requiring a school board to spend at least 70 percent of its operating expenditures on direct classroom expenditures and annual pay increases for school administrators								
Fiscal Effect								
State:  No State Fiscal Effect  Indeterminate Increase Existing Appropriations Decrease Existing Appropriations Create New Appropriations	☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues	Increase Cos absorb within Yes	agency's bu					
Permissive Mandatory  2. Decrease Costs 4	3.  Increase Revenue Permissive  Mandatory 1.  Decrease Revenue Permissive  Mandatory	Counties	I Governmen Village Others WTCS Districts	Cities				
Fund Sources Affected Ch. 20 Appropri				ions				
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ PRO ☐	PRS SEG SEG	S 20.255 (2) (ac)						
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signa	ature		Date				
DPI/ Erin Fath (608) 266-2804	Erin Fath (608) 26	Erin Fath (608) 266-2804						

## Fiscal Estimate Narratives DPI 2/13/2025

LRB Number	25-1850/1	Introduction Number	SB-0032	Estimate Type	Original		
Description							
requiring a school board to spend at least 70 percent of its operating expenditures on direct classroom							
expenditures and annual pay increases for school administrators							

## Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Under this bill, all school boards would be required to spend a minimum of 70 percent of its operating expenditures on direct classroom expenditures, as defined in the bill, defined as "salaries and benefits of teachers and teacher aides, instructional supplies, tuition, athletic programs, and cocurricular activities". For school boards that do not meet the 70 percent minimum, the school board would be required to increase the percent of its operating budget spent on direct classroom instruction each year, by two (percentage points), until the 70 percent minimum is reached.

Additionally, the bill directs DPI to impose a penalty on non-compliant school boards, by reducing state aid payments for the school district, equal to the difference between the 70 percent minimum amount and the actual amount spent on direct classroom instruction. Further, the bill specifies that if the penalty amount exceeds the amount of state aid for which a school district is eligible that year, DPI would be required to order the school board reduce property tax obligations by the remaining penalty amount. The bill also refers to the "school district's refunds to taxpayers", suggesting the intent is for school boards to literally return monies to taxpayers (as opposed to reducing the subsequent year's tax levy for school operations).

Finally, the bill limits annual compensation increases for school administrators, business managers, or school principals, or an assistant to any of those positions (collectively, school administrators), to the average annual percentage increase in total compensation that the school board provided to teachers in the school district.

State Impact: potential for reduction of outlays / indeterminate

Under the bill, school districts could incur a loss of aid if they are determined to be out of compliance with the bill's requirements. The unpaid aid would revert to the state's general fund (i.e., net impact of reducing outlays from the State's General Fund). DPI cannot estimate what this amount will be for future years if the bill is implemented.

Local Impact: potential for loss of revenue / indeterminate

If found to be non-compliant with respect to the 70 percent benchmark for direct classroom expenditures, school districts could suffer a loss of aid. The amount of the revenue loss would depend on the difference between an individual district's benchmark (70 percent) amount and actual expenditures on direct classroom expenditures. DPI cannot estimate what this amount will be for future years if the bill is implemented.

**Long-Range Fiscal Implications**