

# WISCONSIN LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL RULES CLEARINGHOUSE

**Scott Grosz** Clearinghouse Director Terry C. Anderson
Legislative Council Director

Margit Kelley Clearing house Assistant Director **Jessica Karls-Ruplinger** Legislative Council Deputy Director

#### **CLEARINGHOUSE RULE 18-043**

#### **Comments**

[NOTE: All citations to "Manual" in the comments below are to the Administrative Rules Procedures Manual, prepared by the Legislative Reference Bureau and the Legislative Council Staff, dated December 2014.]

### 1. Statutory Authority

As the term is defined in s. 227.26 (4), Stats., the proposed rule does not appear to meet the standard that is required to submit a petition for expedited repeal of an unauthorized rule. An "unauthorized rule" is a rule for which an agency lacks the authority to promulgate the rule due to the repeal or amendment of the law that previously authorized its promulgation.

In this case, the department is proposing to repeal a portion of a rule, s. DHS 82.06 (2m), which incorporates by reference a former statutory provision. The cross-referenced provision, s. 50.033 (2r) to (2t) Stats., was repealed by the 2007 Wisconsin Act 20. However, because that former provision is not the law that authorized the DHS provision, the statutory repeal does not make the DHS rule "unauthorized", as that term is defined in s. 227.26 (4), Stats. The department does not reference any changes to its statutory authority to promulgate ch. DHS 82, Wis. Adm. Code, and it appears that no such changes have been made by the Legislature.

In fact, even though the statutory reference no longer exists, the department proposes to continue to require provision of information and referral pursuant to s. DHS 10.73, suggesting that the changes proposed by the department in its petition are not driven by a change in agency authority. The proposed rule appears instead to be a "clean up" of an obsolete cross-reference, approval of which would represent an expansion in the nature of an unauthorized rule petition in comparison to those previously approved by the Joint Committee for Review of Administrative Rules.

## 2. Form, Style and Placement in Administrative Code

- a. An introductory clause should be inserted to specifically enumerate the rule provisions treated and to state the subject matter of the proposed rule. [s. 1.02 (1), Manual.]
- b. The rule summary's listing of statutes interpreted should be revised to cite the specific statutory provision that was interpreted for administration and enforcement in the department's original rule. [s. 1.02 (2m) (a) and (b), Manual.]
- c. The rule summary's listing of statutory authority should be revised to cite the specific statutory provision that granted rulemaking authority for the original rule. The statutory provision for the expedited rulemaking process, s. 227.26 (4), Stats., should not be cited, as that provision establishes the process and does not remove or confer rulemaking authority for the subject matter addressed in the proposed rule. [s. 1.02 (2m) (a), Manual.]
- d. The rule summary's explanation of agency authority should be updated to reflect any revisions made in accordance with the previous comment.
- e. The rule summary's listing of related statutes or rules should be revised to identify any statutes or rules that relate to the subject matter addressed in the proposed rule.