## PROPOSED ORDER OF THE OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF INSURANCE AND THE

## BOARD OF GOVERNORS OF THE INJURED PATIENTS AND FAMILIES COMPENSATION FUND

#### AMENDING, AND REPEALING AND RECREATING A RULE

The office of the commissioner of insurance and the board of governors of the injured patients and families compensation fund propose an order to repeal and recreate s. Ins 17.25 (12m) and Ins 17.28 (6s), Wis Adm Code, relating to fund peer review surcharge rates for the injured patients and families compensation fund and for the wisconsin health care liability insurance plan and may have an effect on small business.

#### ANALYSIS PREPARED BY THE OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF INSURANCE (OCI)

- **1. Statutes interpreted:** ss. 655.27 (3), and 619.04 (5m), Wis Stats.
- **2. Statutory authority:** ss. 601.41 (3), 619.04 (5m) and 655.27 (3) (bg), Wis Stats.
- 3. Explanation of the OCI's authority to promulgate the proposed rule under these statutes:

The commissioner of insurance, with the approval of the board of governors (board) of the injured patients and families compensation fund (fund) and the Wisconsin health care liability insurance plan (plan), is required to establish by administrative rule the surcharge rates which may be applied to a health care provider's annual fund fee, and provider annual premium if participating in the plan, based upon recommendations from the fund's peer review council.

#### 4. Related Statutes or rules:

None.

#### 5. The plain language analysis and summary of the proposed rule:

This rule establishes the surcharge rates which a health care provider may be required to pay to the fund or the plan. These surcharge rates are based upon the number of claims paid on behalf of a health care provider in addition to the dollar amount of those claims. Paid claim reports are reviewed by the fund's peer review council, and, if deemed appropriate, the council makes a recommendation to the board of governors to assess a surcharge to the health care provider for their coverage under the fund. The board approved these surcharge rates at its meeting on December 14, 2005, based on the recommendation of the board's actuarial and underwriting committee and reports of the fund's actuaries.

# 6. Summary of and preliminary comparison with any existing or proposed federal regulation that is intended to address the activities to be regulated by the proposed rule:

To the fund board's and OCI's knowledge there is no existing or proposed federal regulation that is intended to address patient compensation fund rates, administration or peer review activities.

#### 7. Comparison of similar rules in adjacent states as found by OCI:

To the fund board's and OCI's knowledge there are no similar rules in the adjacent states to compare this rule to as none of these states have a patients compensation fund peer review council created by statute where rates are directed to be established yearly by rule as is true in Wisconsin.

# 8. A summary of the factual data and analytical methodologies that OCI used in support of the proposed rule and how any related findings support the regulatory approach chosen for the proposed rule:

None. This rule establishes peer review surcharge rates pursuant to the requirements of the above-noted Wisconsin statutes.

### 9. Any analysis and supporting documentation that OCI used in support of OCI's determination of the rule's effect on small businesses under s. 227.114:

The effect to small business would be minimal. The surcharge is applied only to individual health care providers. The only effect to small business would be if a surcharge was imposed upon a health care provider who was employed by a small business which paid medical malpractice insurance premium on the provider's behalf.

### 10. If these changes may have a significant fiscal effect on the private sector, the anticipated costs that will be incurred by private sector in complying with the rule:

The effect to small business would be minimal as stated in number 9 above.

#### 11. A description of the Effect on Small Business:

This rule will have very little effect on small businesses.

#### 12. Agency contact person:

A copy of the full text of the proposed rule changes, analysis and fiscal estimate may be obtained from the WEB sites at: http://oci.wi.gov/ocirules.htm

or by contacting Inger Williams, OCI Services Section, at:

Phone: (608) 264-8110

Email: Inger.Williams@OCI.State.WI.US

Address: 125 South Webster St – 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Madison WI 53702

Mail: PO Box 7873, Madison WI 53707-7873

#### 13. Place where comments are to be submitted and deadline for submission:

The deadline for submitting comments was 4:30p.m. on February 27, 2007.

Mailing address:

Theresa Wedekind OCI Rule Comment for Rule Ins 1725 Office of the Commissioner of Insurance PO Box 7873 Madison WI 53707-7873

#### Street address:

Theresa Wedekind OCI Rule Comment for Rule Ins 1725 Office of the Commissioner of Insurance 125 South Webster St – 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Madison WI 53702

WEB Site: http://oci.wi.gov/ocirules.htm

#### The proposed rule changes are:

#### SECTION 1. Ins 17.25 (12m) is repealed and recreated to read:

Ins 17.25 (12m) PREMIUM SURCHARGE TABLES. (a) This subsection implements s. 619.04 (5m) (a) Stats., requiring the establishment of an automatic increase in a provider's plan premium based on loss and expense experience.

- (b) In this subsection:
- 1. "Aggregate indemnity" has the meaning giving under s. Ins 17.285 (2) (a).
- 2. "Closed claim" has the meaning given under s. Ins 17.285 (2) (b).
- 3. "Provider" has the meaning given under s. Ins 17.285 (2) (d).
- 4. "Review period" has the meaning given under s. Ins 17.285 (2) (e).
- (c) The following tables shall be used in making the determinations required under s. Ins 17.285 as to the percentage increase in a provider's plan premium:
- 1. For a class 1 and class 8 physician, podiatrist, nurse anesthetist, nurse midwife, nurse practitioner or cardiovascular perfusionist:

	Number	of Close	d Claim	s During
Aggregate Indemnity		Reviev	v Period	
During Review Period				4 or
	1	2	3	more

Up to \$118,000	0%	0%	0%	0%	_
\$118,001 to \$585,000	0%	10%	25%	50%	
\$585,001 to \$1,571,000	0%	25%	50%	100%	
Greater \$1,571,000 Than	0%	50%	100%	200%	

2. For a class 2 physician:

	Number of Closed Claims During			
Aggregate Indemnity		Revie	w Period	
During Review Period				4 or
	1	2	3	more
Up to \$193,000	0%	0%	0%	0%
\$193,001 to \$779,000	0%	10%	25%	50%
\$779,001 to \$1,836,000	0%	25%	50%	100%
Greater \$1,836,000	0%	50%	100%	200%

3. For a class 3 physician:

	Number of Closed Claims During			
Aggregate Indemnity	Review Period			
<b>During Review Period</b>				4 or
	1	2	3	more
Up to \$211,000	0%	0%	0%	0%
\$211,001 to \$852,000	0%	10%	25%	50%
\$852,001 to \$2,215,000	0%	25%	50%	100%
Greater Than \$2,215,000	0%	50%	100%	200%

4. For a class 4 physician:

Aggregate Indemnity	Number		ed Claims w Period	s During
During Review Period				4 or
	1	2	3	more
Up to \$302,000	0%	0%	0%	0%
\$302,001 to \$1,012,000	0%	10%	25%	50%
\$1,012,001 \$2,886,000	0%	25%	50%	100%
Greater \$2,886,000 Than	0%	50%	100%	200%

5. For a class 5A physician:

Aggregate Indemnity	Number		d Claims v Period	During
During Review Period		Keviev	v remou	4 or
	1	2	3	more
Up to \$244,000	0%	0%	0%	0%
\$244,001 to \$892,000	0%	10%	25%	50%
\$892,001 to \$2,328,000	0%	25%	50%	100%

Greater \$2,328,000 Than 0% 50% 100% 200%

#### 6. For a class 5 physician:

	Number of Closed Claims During				
Aggregate Indemnity		Rev	iew Per	iod	
<b>During Review Period</b>					5 or
	1	2	3	4	more
Up to \$676,000	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
\$676,001 to \$1,033,000	0%	0%	10%	25%	50%
\$1,033,001 to \$1,769,000	0%	0%	25%	50%	75%
\$1,769,001 to \$3,923,000	0%	0%	50%	75%	100%
Greater than \$3,923,000	0%	0%	75%	100%	200%
7. For a class 6 physician	ı:				

Aggregate Indemnity	Numb		osed Cla		ıring
During Review Period					5 or
	1	2	3	4	more
Up to \$731,000	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
\$731,001 to \$1,163,000	0%	0%	10%	25%	50%
\$1,163,001 to \$1,982,000	0%	0%	25%	50%	75%
\$1,982,001 to \$4,215,000	0%	0%	50%	75%	100%
Greater than \$4,215,000	0%	0%	75%	100%	200%
8. For a class 7 physician:	•				

#### 8. For a class / physician:

	Number of Closed Claims During				
Aggregate Indemnity		Rev	iew Per	iod	
<b>During Review Period</b>					5 or
	1	2	3	4	more
Up to \$804,000	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
\$804,001 to \$1,292,000	0%	0%	10%	25%	50%
\$1,292,001 to \$2,194,000	0%	0%	25%	50%	75%
\$2,194,001 to \$4,482,000	0%	0%	50%	75%	100%
Greater than \$4,482,000	0%	0%	75%	100%	200%
9. For a class 9 physician	:				

#### Number of Closed Claims During Review Period Aggregate Indemnity During Review Period 5 or 2 4 1 3 more Up to \$1,861,000 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% \$1,861,001 to \$2,616,000 0% 0% 10% 25% 50% \$2,616,001 to \$4,467,000 0% 0% 25% 50% 75% \$4,467,001 to 0% 0% 50% 75% 100% \$10,294,000 Greater than \$10,294,000 0% 0% 75% 100% 200%

#### SECTION 2. Ins 17.28 (6s) is repealed and recreated to read:

Ins 17.28 (6s) SURCHARGE. (a) This subsection implements s. 655.27 (3) (bg) 1., Stats., requiring the establishment of an automatic increase in a provider's fund fee based on loss and expense experience.

- (b) In this subsection:
- 1. "Aggregate indemnity" has the meaning giving under s. Ins 17.285 (2) (a).
- 2. "Closed claim" has the meaning given under s. Ins 17.285 (2) (b).
- 3. "Provider" has the meaning given under s. Ins 17.285 (2) (d).
- 4. "Review period" has the meaning given under s. Ins 17.285 (2) (e).
- (c) The following tables shall be used in making the determinations required under s. Ins 17.285 as to the percentage increase in a provider's fund fee:
  - 1. For a class 1 physician or a nurse anesthetist:

	Number of Closed Claims During			
Aggregate Indemnity	Review Period			
During Review Period				4 or
	1	2	3	more
Up to \$118,000	0%	0%	0%	0%
\$118,001 to \$585,000	0%	10%	25%	50%
\$585,001 to \$1,571,000	0%	25%	50%	100%
Greater \$1,571,000 Than	0%	75%	100%	200%

2. For a class 2 physician:

	Number of Closed Claims During			
Aggregate Indemnity	Review Period			
During Review Period				4 or
	1	2	3	more
Up to \$226,000	0%	0%	0%	0%
\$226,001 to \$859,000	0%	10%	25%	50%
\$859,001 to \$2,212,000	0%	25%	50%	100%
Greater \$2,212,000 Than	0%	50%	100%	200%

3. For a class 3 physician:

	Number of Closed Claims During
Aggregate Indemnity	Review Period

During Review Period					5 or
_	1	2	3	4	more
Up to \$676,000	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
\$676,001 to \$1,066,000	0%	0%	10%	25%	50%
\$1,066,001 to \$1,822,000	0%	0%	25%	50%	75%
\$1,822,001 to \$3,996,000	0%	0%	50%	75%	100%
Greater than \$3,996,000	0%	0%	75%	100%	200%
4. For a class 4 physician:					

	Number of Closed Claims During					
Aggregate Indemnity	Review Period					
During Review Period					5 or	
·	1	2	3	4	more	
Up to \$931,000	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
\$931,001 to \$1,451,000	0%	0%	10%	25%	50%	
\$1,451,001 to \$2,467,000	0%	0%	25%	50%	75%	
\$2,467,001 to \$5,179,000	0%	0%	50%	75%	100%	
Greater than \$5,179,000	0%	0%	75%	100%	200%	

**SECTION 5** EFFECTIVE DATE. This rule will take effect on July 1, 2007.

Dated at Madison, Wisconsin, this _	day of	2007.
_		
;	Sean Dilweg	
	Commissioner of Insur	ance

#### Section 1.

### Office of the Commissioner of Insurance Private Sector Fiscal Analysis

for Rule Ins 17.25 (12m) and 17.28 (6s) peer review surcharge rates relating to annual injured patients and families compensation fund fees and wisconsin health care liability insurance plan premium rates

The changes in the surcharge rates promulgated by this rule do not result in a significant fiscal effect on the private sector. The cost of fund coverage is a very small portion of the expenses incurred by health care providers. The plan insures a relatively small number of health care provider, approximately 300, and therefore the potential for any significant impact is very minimal.

These surcharge rates have not been revised since the implementation of the peer review surcharge in the mid 1980's. Although a health care provider may pass any increase resulting from a surcharge on to its patients, there will not be a significant fiscal effect on the private sector as a result of this proposed rule.

#### **FISCAL ESTIMATE WORKSHEET**

#### **Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect**

X ORIG	INAL	☐ UPDATED		   	LRB Number	Amendment No. if Applicable
				ı	Bill Number	Administrative Rule Number INS 17.25 and INS
☐ CORR	ECTED	SUPPLEMENTAL				17.28
Subject annual i	njured patients	and families compensati	on fund fees for	fisc	cal year beginning Ju	ıly 1, 2007
One-time Costs Non	_	pacts for State and/or Lo	cal Government	(do	not include in annu	alized fiscal effect):
	Annualiz	ed Costs:			Annualized Fiscal imp	act on State funds from:
	s by Category Operations - Sala	aries and Fringes		\$	Increased Costs	Decreased Costs \$ -0
(FTE F	osition Changes	s)			( <b>0</b> FTE)	( <b>-0</b> FTE)
State 0	Operations - Othe	er Costs			0	-0
Local A	Assistance				0	-0
Aids to	Individuals or O	rganizations			0	-0
ТС	OTAL State Costs	s by Category		\$	0	\$ -0
B. State Cost	s by Source of I	Funds			Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
GPR				\$	0	\$ -0
FED					0	-0
PRO/P	RS				0	-0
SEG/S	EG-S				0	-0
C. State Reve		e this only when proposal will increase			Increased Rev.	Decreased Rev.
GPR T		s (e.g., tax increase, decrease in lice	nse ree, etc.)	\$	0	\$ -0
GPR E	arned				0	-0
FED					0	-0
PRO/P	RS				0	-0
SEG/S	EG-S				0	-0
ТС	TAL State Reve	enues		\$	0 None	\$ -0 None
		NET ANNUA	LIZED FISCAL II	MPA	ACT	
NET CHANGE IN C	OSTS	\$	<u>STATE</u>	Nor	ne 0 \$	LOCAL None 0
NET CHANGE IN R	EVENUES	\$			ne 0 \$	None 0
Prepared by: Theresa	Wedekind		Telephone No. 608-266-095	3		Agency IPFCF/OCII
Authorized Signat	ure:		Telephone No.			Date (mm/dd/ccyy) 1/4/2007

### FISCAL ESTIMATE

▼ ORIGINAL	UPDATED	LRE	Number An	nendment No. if Applicable	
☐ CORRECTED	SUPPLEMENTAL	Bill I		ministrative Rule Number IS 17.25 and Ins 28	
Subject annual injured patien	ts and families co	mpensation fund fees	for fiscal year beginn	ning July 1, 2007	
· ·		•			
Fiscal Effect State: ☒ No State Fiscal Check columns below only if b or affects a sum sufficient appr ☐ Increase Existing Appropri ☐ Decrease Existing Appropri ☐ Create New Appropriation	ill makes a direct appropropropriation.  ation   Increa	riation se Existing Revenues ase Existing Revenues	☐ Increase Costs - Ma Within Agency's Bud	ay be possible to Absorb Iget □ Yes □ No	
Local: X No local government costs  1. Increase Costs					
☐ Permissive ☐ Mandar Fund Sources Affected	tory Pe	ermissive	☐ School Districts ed Chapter 20 Appropriation		
☐ GPR ☐ FED ☐ F Assumptions Used in Arriving at		G □ SEG-S			
The Injured Patients and Families Compensation Fund (IPFCF or Fund) is a segregated fund. Annual Fund fees are established to become effective each July 1 based on actuarial estimates of the Fund's needs for payment of medical malpractice claims. Health care providers may have a surcharge levied on their fund fees based upon claims experience, pursuant to a recommendation by the Fund's Peer Review Council. The proposed revisions to the surcharge tables were approved by the Fund's Board of Governors at its December 14, 2005 meeting.  The Fund is a unique fund; there are no other funds like it in the country. The WI Fund provides unlimited liability coverage and participation is mandatory. These two features make this Fund unique compared to funds in other states. The only persons who will be affected by this rule change are the Fund participants themselves as the IPFCF is fully funded through assessments paid by Fund participants.  The Wisconsin Health Care Liability Insurance Plan was created by statute and is a licensed insurance company. The insurance operations are funded by premiums paid by insured health care providers.  There is no effect on GPR.					
Long-Range Fiscal Implications					
None					
Prepared by: Theresa Wedekind		Telephone No. (608) 266-0953		Agency IPFCF	
Authorized Signature: Telephone No.				Date (mm/dd/ccyy) 1-4-07	