RULE REPORT

Department of Commerce

Clearir No.:	nghouse Rule	07-086			
Rule No.:	Chapter C	Chapter Comm 34			
Relatir to:	ng Amusem	ent Rides			
Conta	ct person for su	bstantive questions:		Contact	person for internal processing:
Nam e	James Quast	James Quast Program Manager		James (Quast
Title	Program Man			Program Manager	
Telephone (608) 266-9292 Number		Telepl Numb		(608) 266-9292	

1. Basis and purpose of the proposed rule.

The proposed rules will require an amusement ride owner to acquire and maintain liability insurance in order to operate rides in Wisconsin. The proposed rules also create safety field attachment or connection redundancy provisions for amusement rides where passengers donning harnesses are elevated. The department believes that the rules will promote public safety.

2. How the proposed rule advances relevant statutory goals or purposes.

Under the authority of s. 101.17, Stats., the Department of Commerce has oversight of various mechanical devices and equipment, which includes amusement rides, in order to protect public safety.

3. Changes to the rule analysis or fiscal estimate that was prepared for public hearing.

No substantive changes have occurred for the rule analysis or the fiscal estimate.

COM-10550 (R.02/04)

FINAL REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS

Department of Commerce

	CLEARINGHOUSE RULE NO.: 07-086
	RULE NO.: Chapter Comm 34
	RELATING TO: Amusement Rides
	Final regulatory flexibility analysis not required. (Statement of determination required.)
1.	Reason for including or failing to include the following methods for reducing impact of the rule on small businesses: Less stringent compliance or reporting requirements; less stringent schedules or deadlines for compliance or reporting requirements; simplification of compliance or reporting requirements; establishment of performance standards to replace design or operational standards; exemption from any or all requirements.
	The proposed rules under the Amusement Ride Code, chapter Comm 34, are intended to facilitate and promote safety for the patrons of amusement rides. The proposed liability insurance requirements were established to reflect the risks associated with the different types of rides. To create lesser safety standards or to waive safety standards on the basis whether the operation was a small business would be contrary to the statutory objective to protect public health and safety.
2.	Issues raised by small businesses during hearings, changes in proposed rules as a result of comments by small businesses and reasons for rejecting any alternatives suggested by small businesses.

The department did not receive any comments on any of the issues in the proposed rules.

3.	Nature and estimated cost of preparation of any reports by small businesses.
	The proposed rules do not require the preparation of any new or additional reports.
4.	Nature and estimated cost of other measures and investments required of small businesses.
	All amusement ride owners would be required to obtain liability insurance under the proposed rules. The cost of the amusement ride insurance is dependent upon several variables including the size of the operation and the type of rides. An insurance source indicated that the cost for a million dollar policy could range between \$30,000 and \$100,000 annually. However, it is unknown how many of these owners and operators already are covered by insurance either voluntarily or to satisfy contractual obligations or other state regulations.
5.	Additional cost to agency of administering or enforcing a rule which includes any of the methods in 1. for reducing impact on small businesses.
	The administration and enforcement of the standards under the Amusement Ride Code, chapter Comm 34, are not varied based upon whether the operation is a small business.
6.	Impact on public health, safety and welfare caused by including any of the methods in 1. for reducing impact on small businesses.
	The administration and enforcement of the standards under the Amusement Ride Code, chapter Comm 34, are not varied based upon whether the operation is a small business.

RESPONSE TO LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL CLEARINGHOUSE REPORT

Department of Commerce

CLEA	ARINGHOUSE RULE NO.: 07-086	_
RULE	E NO.: Chapter Comm 34	
RELA	ATING TO: Amusement Rides	
Agend	cy contact person for substantive questions.	
	Name James Quast :	
	Title: Program Manager	
	Telephone (608) 266-9292 No	
Legisl	ative Council report recommendations accepted in whole. Yes X No	
1.	Review of statutory authority [s. 227.15(2)(a)]	
	a. Accepted	
	b. Accepted in part	
	c. Rejected	
	d. Comments attached	
2.	Review of rules for form, style and placement in administrative code [s. 227.15(2)(c)]	
	a. X Accepted	
	b. Accepted in part	
	c. Rejected	
	d. Comments attached	

3.	Review rules for conflict with or duplication of existing rules [s. 227.15(2)(d)]			
	a. Accepted			
	b. Accepted in part			
	c. X Rejected			
	d. X Comments attached			
4 .	Review rules for adequate references to related statutes, rules and forms [s. 227.15(2)(e)]			
	a. Accepted			
	b. X Accepted in part			
	c. Rejected			
	d. X Comments attached			
5.	Review language of rules for clarity, grammar, punctuation and plainness [s. 227.15(2)(f)]			
.				
	a. X Accepted			
	b. Accepted in part			
	c. Rejected			
	d. Comments attached			
6 . 227.15	Review rules for potential conflicts with, and comparability to, related federal regulations [s $5(2)(g)$]			
	a. Accepted			
	b. Accepted in part			
	c. Rejected			
	d. Comments attached			
7.	Review rules for permit action deadline [s. 227.15(2)(h)]			
	a. Accepted			
	b. Accepted in part			
	c. Rejected			

d.	Comments attached
----	-------------------