### ORDER OF THE STATE OF WISCONSIN NATURAL RESOURCES BOARD AMENDING RULES

The State of Wisconsin Natural Resources Board proposes an order to amend NR 105 Tables 2, 2A, 5, 6, 8, and 9 relating to surface water quality criteria.

#### WT-35-07

#### Summary Prepared by the Department of Natural Resources

Statutory Authority: ss. 227.11(2)(a) and 281.15, Stats.

Statutes Interpreted: s. 281.15, Stats.

<u>Explanation of Agency Authority</u>: In addition to the general authority granted by s. 227.11(2)(a), Stats., to implement and interpret its statutory authority, the Department of Natural Resources has specific authority in ch. 281, Stats., to promulgate rules setting standards of water quality to be applicable to the waters of the State and to implement those standards, as appropriate, under the Water Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (WPDES).

Related statute or rule: ch. 283, Stats., and chs. NR 102, 104 and 106.

<u>Plain Language Analysis:</u> Chapter NR 105 is the principal rule setting water quality criteria and secondary values for toxic substances in surface waters of the State of Wisconsin. Those criteria and values are designed to protect surface waters from potentially toxic levels of chemical compounds, including the consideration of short and long-term impacts on fish and other aquatic life, wildlife, and human health. These criteria and values may be used as a basis for regulating wastewater discharges to surface waters and for justifying monitoring and remedial action (cleanup) activities statewide. This chapter is reviewed and revisions proposed by staff on a regular basis.

Criteria were first developed and included in ch. NR 105 in February of 1989. The code was revised in August of 1997 to update criteria and incorporate procedures in the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (U.S. EPA) Great Lakes Water Quality Initiative (GLWQI or GLI), a federal law passed in 1995. Other revisions have taken place since 1989 to modify existing numerical water quality criteria or create new criteria for toxic substances.

The revisions proposed at this time are done, in part, in response to formal actions taken by the U.S. EPA in December of 2000 to object to Wisconsin's water quality criteria for four substances regulated under the GLWQI. In addition, criteria for fourteen other substances are being proposed for revision or addition in response to human health criteria developed by U.S. EPA after 1995.

No revisions are proposed to the methods of calculating numerical water quality criteria, which are also listed in ch. NR 105. Only the numerical criteria themselves are being added or revised at this time. Fifteen (15) of the 124 substances currently addressed in the code are proposed for revision, while 3 new criteria are being added.

New criteria are proposed for the following substances:

- Chronic aquatic life toxicity criteria = Selenium
- Human threshold (non-cancer) criteria = Total chromium (only in waters used for public drinking water supplies)

• Human cancer criteria = 1,3-dichloropropene

Revised criteria that are more restrictive (tighter or more stringent) than those already in ch. NR 105 are proposed for the following substances:

- Acute aquatic life toxicity criteria = Copper (only in softer water areas), and nickel
- Chronic aquatic life toxicity criteria = Copper, nickel, endrin
- Human threshold (non-cancer) criteria = Cadmium, chlorobenzene, hexavalent chromium, cyanide, 1,2-dichlorobenzene, ethylbenzene, hexachlorocyclopentadiene, toluene
- Human cancer criteria = Arsenic (only in waters not used for public drinking water supplies), 3,3'- dichlorobenzidine (only in waters used for public drinking water supplies)

Revised criteria that are less restrictive (looser or less stringent) than those already in ch. NR 105 are proposed for the following substances:

- Acute aquatic life toxicity criteria = Copper (only in harder water areas)
- Human threshold (non-cancer) criteria = Trivalent chromium
- Human cancer criteria = Arsenic (only in waters used for public drinking water supplies), 3,3'- dichlorobenzidine (only in waters not used for public drinking water supplies)

<u>Federal Regulatory Analysis:</u> The formal actions taken by U.S. EPA in 2000 were done because the criteria published in ch. NR 105 in 1997 were determined to be *not as protective as* the federal criteria. To address those concerns, criteria were developed or revised for copper, nickel, selenium, and endrin. The proposed criteria for nickel, selenium, and endrin are identical to federal criteria. The copper criteria are slightly relaxed or less stringent than federal criteria, but in this case a difference is allowable because the federal criteria in the GLWQI are, in part, based on the protection of a sensitive species of fish that is not present in the Great Lakes states or Iowa. The criteria calculation approach in the GLWQI allows for less restrictive criteria based on consideration of resident organisms as long as the approach is followed. In late 2000, before the U.S. EPA actions were formally taken, a representative of that agency approved the calculated criteria that eventually became the proposed revisions to the ch. NR 105 copper criteria.

A critical component in the development of human health criteria in Wisconsin is the fish consumption rate. Because people in the Great Lakes states eat more fish on the average than nationwide as a whole, human health criteria in the Great Lakes states are typically more stringent than federal criteria. The difference in fish consumption rates was considered as part of the 1997 update to ch. NR 105 and appropriately recognizes the differences in consumption rates among the general public and especially tribal populations in Wisconsin. As a result, the proposed human health criteria are considered to be as protective as criteria developed using the GLWQI approach.

Substance	Illinois	Minnesota	Michigan	Iowa
Copper	MS	Acute $=$ LS,	MS	LS
		Chronic = EQ in		
		soft water, MS in		
		hard water		
Nickel	MS	LS	EQ	EQ
Selenium	EQ	EQ	EQ	EQ
Endrin	EQ	EQ	EQ	EQ
Antimony	NA	MS	NA	LS in PWS,
-				NA in non-PWS

Comparison of Criteria in Adjacent States to the Proposed Wisconsin Criteria:

Arsenic	LS	LS in PWS,	NA	MS in PWS,
		MS in non-PWS		LS in non-PWS
Cadmium	NC	LS in PWS,	NS	MS in PWS,
		NC in non-PWS		LS in non-PWS
Chromium, triv.	NC	NC	NA	NA
Chromium, hexav.	NC	NC	NA	LS in PWS,
				MS in non-PWS
Chromium, total	NA	EQ in PWS	NA	EQ in PWS
Cyanide	NC	NC	NA	LS in PWS,
				NA in non-PWS
Chlorobenzene	MS	MS	NA	EQ
Ethylbenzene	NA	LS in PWS,	NA	LS
		MS in non-PWS		
Toluene	LS	NC	LS	MS
Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	NC	LS in PWS,	NA	LS
		NC in non-PWS		
3,3'-dichlorobenzidine	MS	NA	NA	MS
1,2-dichlorobenzene	NC	EQ in PWS,	NS	EQ in PWS,
		NA in non-PWS		NA in non-PWS
1,3-dichloropropane	NA	NA	NA	NA

LS = Less stringent than proposed Wisconsin criteria

MS = More stringent than proposed Wisconsin criteria

EQ = Equal to proposed Wisconsin criteria

NC = No corresponding criteria are available because others in that state are more stringent and only the most stringent criteria are published

NA = No criteria available in state rule at this time

PWS = Waters classified as public water supplies in Wisconsin

Non-PWS = Waters not classified as public water supplies in Wisconsin

<u>Summary of Factual Data and Analytical Methodologies</u>: The criteria are calculated in a manner consistent with that already listed in ch. NR 105. This approach is identical to that contained in the GLWQI. No changes are proposed to the calculation approach. New toxicity information is available to supplement the existing databases, and corrections were made to errors that were made in the calculation of the criteria for copper, nickel, and endrin in the existing ch. NR 105. A technical support document can be requested from the Water Evaluation Section of the Department's Bureau of Watershed Management pursuant to Wis. Stats., s. 281.15(2)(e); these documents show how the revised criteria were calculated.

The Department did not take into account any specific economic or social considerations when developing these criteria. The revised criteria were calculated using procedures already present in the Wisconsin Administrative Code and in federal procedures to provide consistency with federal guidelines based on current toxicity information.

<u>Effects on Small Business</u>: The Department has determined that the changes to criteria proposed in this rule package will not have a significant impact on small businesses.

Of the 18 substances proposed for criteria revisions or additions, it is estimated that no WPDES permits will be affected for 14 of those substances. This is because the criteria are high enough and/or the discharge levels are low enough that no effluent limitations will be needed in any WPDES permit for 14 substances.

The only substances for which changes in permit limitations may occur are arsenic, selenium, nickel and copper. For arsenic, selenium and nickel, based on current effluent data, the Department anticipates that there will be no increased ch. NR 101 fees or new permit limits for permitted facilities that are considered small businesses.

For copper, out of 580 permitted facilities that have been evaluated recently for copper discharges, approximately 39 facilities (public and private) may receive lower acute limits, and approximately 40 facilities (public and private) may receive lower chronic limits due to the proposed changes in copper criteria. Since the changes in criteria are relatively small, the Department does not expect that significant treatment plant construction or upgrading will be necessary to meet the revised limits. In addition, it is anticipated that another 6 permits will need acute limits and 15 will need chronic limits for the first time. These initial impositions of limits are not expected to require major construction or upgrading either since discharges will be barely over the level for needing permit limits. These facilities will have to pay increased ch. NR 101 fees, but the costs are not expected to be significant.

In conclusion, due to the proposed changes in criteria, the number of permits that would need new or lower permit limits for copper include 52 municipalities, 26 industries (many of which are not small businesses), and 7 publicly or privately owned facilities (such as military, health care, and golf courses). A few of the 26 industries may be considered small businesses, and the changes in the limits for municipalities may have indirect impacts on small businesses located within those communities, but overall the Department does not expect significant fiscal impacts to small businesses due to the proposed changes. For copper limits, it is estimated that the decrease in copper limits at these 85 facilities will result in approximately \$9,000 in increased state revenues for environmental fees under the chapter NR 101 fee program.

These proposed rules do not include any reporting, implementation, compliance or enforcement procedures. All reporting, implementation, compliance or enforcement procedures that may apply to the proposed criteria are found in existing regulations and statutory provisions.

#### Agency Contact Persons:

Bob MasnadoE-mail: robert.masnado@wisconsin.govJim SchmidtE-mail: jamesw.schmidt@wisconsin.gov

Phone: (608) 267-7662 Phone: (608) 267-7658

#### SECTION 1. NR 105 Table 2 is amended to read:

# Table 2Acute Toxicity Criteria for SubstancesWith Toxicity Related to Water Quality<br/>(all in ug/L)

Water Quality Parameter: Hardness (in ppm as CaCO3)

$\underline{ATC} = e^{(V  \underline{lin}  (hardness) + \ln ACI)}$				C at Various ess (ppm) Leve	<u>els</u>
Substance	<u>V</u>	<u>ln ACI</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>200</u>
Total Recoverable Cadmium:					
Cold Water	1.147	-3.8104	1.97	4.36	9.65
Warm Water Sportfish, Warm Water Forage and Limited Forage Fish	1.147	-2.9493	4.65	10.31	22.83
Limited Aquatic Life	1.147	-1.9435	12.73	28.18	62.41
Total Recoverable Chromium (+3):					
All Surface Waters	0.819	3.7256	1022	1803	3181
Total Recoverable Copper:					
All Surface Waters	<del>0.8561</del>	<del>-1.1199</del>	<del>9.29</del>	<del>16.82</del>	<del>30.45</del>
	<u>0.9436</u>	<u>-1.6036</u>	<u>8.07</u>	<u>15.51</u>	<u>29.84</u>
Total Recoverable Lead:					
All Surface Waters	0.9662	0.2226	54.73	106.92	208.90
Total Recoverable Nickel:					
All Surface Waters	<del>1.083</del>	2.2289	<del>642.7</del>	<del>1361</del>	<del>2434</del>
	<u>0.846</u>	2.255	<u>261</u>	<u>469</u>	<u>843</u>
Total Recoverable Zinc:					
All Surface Waters	0.8745	0.7634	65.66	120.4	220.7
Water Quality Parameter: pH					
$\underline{ATC} = e^{(V(pH) + \ln ACI)}$			ATC at Various pH (s.u.) Levels		
	V	<u>ln ACI</u>	<u>6.5</u>	<u>7.8</u>	<u>8.8</u>
Pentachlorophenol:					
All Surface Waters	1.0054	-4.877	5.25	19.40	53.01

SECTION 2. NR 105 Table 2A is amended to read:

Table 2A
Water Quality Parameter Ranges for Substances
With Acute Toxicity Related to Water Quality

Substance	Parameter	Applicable Range
Cadmium	Hardness (ppm)	6 - 457
Chromium (+3)	Hardness (ppm)	13 - 301
Copper	Hardness (ppm)	<del>14 427</del>
		<u>13 - 495</u>
Lead	Hardness (ppm)	12 - 356
Nickel	Hardness (ppm)	<del>19 157</del>
		<u>13 - 268</u>
Zinc	Hardness (ppm)	12 - 333
Pentachlorophenol	pH (s.u.)	6.6 - 8.8

#### SECTION 3. NR 105 Table 5 is amended to read:

Table 5
Chronic Toxicity Criteria
Using Acute-Chronic Ratios for Substances
With Toxicity Unrelated to Water Quality
(all in ug/L)

Substance	Cold Water	Warm Water Sportfish <u>, and</u> Warm Water Forage <del>-and <u>Limited</u> Forage</del>	Limited Forage Fish and Limited Aquatic Life
Arsenic $(+3)^{\pm 1}$	148	<u>152.2</u>	152.2
Chromium $(+6)^{\pm 1}$	10.98	10.98	10.98
Mercury (+2) $\pm 1$	0.44	0.44	0.44
Cyanide, free	5.22	11.47	11.47
Chloride	395,000	395,000	395,000
<u>Selenium</u>	<u>5.0</u>	<u>5.0</u>	<u>46.5</u>
Chlorine <sup>*1</sup>	7.28	7.28	7.28
Dieldrin	0.055	0.077	0.077

Endrin	<del>0.072</del>	<del>0.072</del>	0.10
	<u>0.036</u>	<u>0.050</u>	<u>0.050</u>
Parathion	0.011	0.011	0.011

Notes: <sup>1</sup> - Criterion listed is applicable to the "total recoverable" form except for chlorine which is applicable to the "total residual" form.

#### SECTION 4. NR 105 Table 6 is amended to read:

#### Table 6 Chronic Toxicity Criteria Using Acute-Chronic Ratios for Substances With Toxicity Related to Water Quality (all in ug/L)

#### Water Quality Parameter: Hardness (in ppm) as CaCO<sub>3</sub>)

$\underline{CTC} = \underline{e}^{(V \text{ lin (hardness)} + \ln \text{ CCI})}$			-	CTC at Various <u>Hardness (ppm) Levels</u>		
Substance	V	<u>ln CCI</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>200</u>	
Total Recoverable Chromium (+3):						
Cold Water	0.819	0.6851	48.86	86.21	152.1	
Warm Water Sportfish	0.819	1.112	74.88	132.1	233.1	
All Others	0.819	1.112	74.88	132.1	233.1	
Total Recoverable Copper:						
All Surface Waters	<del>0.8561</del>	<del>-1.4647</del>	<del>6.58</del>	<del>11.91</del>	<del>21.57</del>	
	<u>0.8557</u>	<u>-1.6036</u>	<u>5.72</u>	<u>10.35</u>	<u>18.73</u>	
Total Recoverable Lead:						
All Surface Waters	0.9662	-1.1171	14.33	28.01	54.71	
Total Recoverable Nickel:						
	<del>1.083</del>	<del>0.033</del>	<del>71.50</del>	<del>151.5</del>	<del>270.8</del>	
Cold Water, Warm Water	<u>0.846</u>	<u>0.059</u>	<u>29.0</u>	<u>52.2</u>	<u>93.8</u>	
<u>Sportfish, Warm Water Forage, and</u> Limited Forage Fish						
Limited Aquatic Life	<u>0.846</u>	<u>0.4004</u>	<u>40.8</u>	<u>73.4</u>	<u>132.0</u>	

Total Recoverable Zinc:

All Courfe on Western	0 9745	07624	(= ((	120.4	220.7
All Surface Waters	0.8745	0.7634	65.66	120.4	220.7

Water Quality Parameter: pH

$\underline{CTC} = e^{(V(pH) + \ln CCI)}$			-	TC at Various H (s.u.) Levels	
	V	ln CCI	6.5	7.8	8.8
Pentachlorophenol:					
Cold Water	1.0054	-5.1468	4.43	14.81	40.48
All Other Surface Waters	1.0054	-4.9617	5.33	12.82	48.70

SECTION 5. NR 105 Table 8 is amended to read:

#### Table 8 Human Threshold Criteria (ug/L unless specified otherwise<sup>1</sup>)

	Public Water Supply		Non-public V	Non-public Water Supply		
Substance	Warm Water Sport Fish <u>Communities</u>	Cold Water <sup>4</sup>	Warm Water Forage, Lim. Forage, and Warm Water Sport Fish <u>Communities</u>	Cold Water Communities	Limited Aquatic <u>Life</u>	
<u>1.</u> Acrolein	7.2	3.4	15	4.4	2800	
2. Antimony <sup>2</sup>	<del>10</del>	<del>10</del>	2200	2200	2200	
	<u>5.6</u>	<u>5.6</u>	<u>373</u>	<u>373</u>	<u>1,120</u>	
3. Benzene <sup>2</sup>	5	5	610	260	4,000	
4. Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether	1,100	1,100	55,000	34,000	220,000	
<u>5.</u> Cadmium <sup>2</sup>	<del>10</del>	<del>10</del>	<del>1200</del>	<del>1200</del>	<del>2800</del>	
	<u>4.4</u>	<u>4.4</u>	<u>370</u>	<u>370</u>	<u>880</u>	
<u>6.</u> *Chlordane (ng/L)	2.4	0.70	2.4	0.70	310,000	
7. Chlorobenzene <sup>2</sup>	100	100	4900	<del>1600</del>	110000	
			<u>1,210</u>	<u>400</u>	<u>28,000</u>	
8. Chromium, total <sup>2</sup>	<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>				
<u>9.</u> Chromium (+3)	28000	28000	2500000	2500000	5600000	
	<u>41,750</u>	<u>41,750</u>	<u>3,818,000</u>	<u>3,818,000</u>	<u>8,400,000</u>	

<u>10.</u> Chromium (+6)	<del>140</del>	<del>140</del>	13000	13000	28000
	<u>83.5</u>	<u>83.5</u>	<u>7,636</u>	<u>7,636</u>	<u>16,800</u>
44 O	000	000	40000	40000	400000
<u>11.</u> Cyanide, Total²	200 128 6	200 138 6	40000	40000	120000
	<u>138.6</u>	<u>138.6</u>	<u>9,300</u>	<u>9,300</u>	<u>28,000</u>
<u>12.</u> *4,4-DDT (ng/L)	3.0	0.88	3.0	0.88	2800000
13. 1,2-Dichlorobenzene <sup>2</sup>	<del>600</del>	<del>600</del>	<del>6400</del>	<del>1900</del>	<del>500000</del>
	<u>446</u>	<u>273</u>	<u>1509</u>	<u>481</u>	<u>126000</u>
14. 1,3-Dichlorobenzene	1400	710	3300	1000	500,000
15. cis-1,2-Dichloroethene <sup>2</sup>	70	70	14,000	9,000	56,000
16. trans-1,2-Dichloroethene <sup>2</sup>	100	100	24,000	13,000	110,000
<u>17.</u> Dichloromethane <sup>2</sup> (methylene chloride)	5	5	95,000	72,000	328,000
18. 2,4-Dichlorophenol	74	58	580	180	17,000
<u>19.</u> Dichloropropenes <sup>3</sup> (1,3-Dichloropropene)	8.3	8.2	420	260	1,700
<u>20.</u> *Dieldrin (ng/L)	0.59	0.17	0.59	0.17	280,000
21. 2,4-Dimethylphenol	450	430	11,000	4,500	94,000
22. Diethyl phthalate <sup>2</sup>	5,000	5,000	68,000	21,000	4,500,000
23. Dimethyl phthalate <sup>2</sup> (mg/L)	241	184	1,680	530	56,000
24. 4,6-Dinitro-o-cresol	100	96	1,800	640	22,000
25. Dinitrophenols <sup>3</sup> (2,4-Dinitrophenol)	55	55	2,800	1,800	11,000
26. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	0.51	0.48	13	5.3	110
27. Endosulfan	87	41	181	54	33,600
28. Ethylbenzene <sup>2</sup>	700	700	<del>12000</del>	<del>3700</del>	560000
	<u>567</u>	<u>401</u>	<u>2,920</u>	<u>931</u>	<u>140,000</u>
29. Fluoranthene	890	610	4,300	1,300	220,000
30. *Hexachlorobenzene	0.075	0.022	0.075	0.022	4,500
31. Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	<del>50</del>	<del>50</del>	<del>980</del>	<del>310</del>	<del>39000</del>
	<u>34.7</u>	<u>25.6</u>	<u>195</u>	<u>65.3</u>	<u>8,400</u>
32. Hexachloroethane	8.7	3.3	13	3.7	5,600
<u>33.</u> *gamma-BHC (lindane)	0.20	0.20	0.84	0.25	1,900
<u>34.</u> Isophorone	5,500	5,300	180,000	80,000	1,100,000
<u>35.</u> Lead	10	10	140	140	2,240
<u>36.</u> *Mercury⁵	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	0.0015	336
<u>37.</u> Nickel <sup>2</sup>	100	100	43,000	43,000	110,000
38. *Pentachlorobenzene	0.46	0.14	0.47	0.14	4,500
<u>39.</u> Selenium <sup>2</sup>	50	50	2,600	2,600	28,000

140	140	28,000	28,000	28,000
0.11	0.032	0.11	0.032	7,300
0.54	0.17	0.58	0.17	1,700
5.8	4.6	46	15	1,300
1000	1000	<del>760100</del>	<del>26000</del>	<del>1200000</del>
		<u>15,359</u>	<u>5,201</u>	<u>280,000</u>
200	200	270 000	110 000	2.000.000
1600			.,	560.000
	0.11 0.54 5.8 1000 200	0.11 0.032   0.54 0.17   5.8 4.6   1000 1000   200 200	0.11 0.032 0.11   0.54 0.17 0.58   5.8 4.6 46   1000 1000 760100 15.359   200 200 270,000	0.11   0.032   0.11   0.032     0.54   0.17   0.58   0.17     5.8   4.6   46   15     1000   1000   760100   26000     15,359   5,201     200   200   270,000   110,000

\* Indicates substances that are BCCs.

<sup>1</sup> A human threshold criterion expressed in micrograms per liter (ug/L) can be converted to milligrams per liter (mg/L) by dividing the criterion by 1000.

<sup>2</sup> For this substance the human threshold criteria for public water supply receiving water classifications equal the maximum contaminant level pursuant to s. NR 105.08 (4) (b).

<sup>3</sup> The human threshold criteria for this chemical class are applicable to each isomer.

<sup>4</sup> For BCCs, these criteria apply to all waters of the Great Lakes System.

<sup>5</sup> The mercury criteria were calculated using 20 g/day fish consumption and the human non-cancer criteria derivation procedure in 40 CFR Part 132, Appendix C. For these criteria, 40 CFR Part 132, Appendix C as stated on September 1, 1997 is incorporated by reference.

#### SECTION 6. NR 105 Table 9 is amended to read:

## Table 9Human Cancer Criteria(ug/L unless specified otherwise<sup>1</sup>)

	Public Water Supply		Non-public Water Supply			
Substance	Warm Water Sport Fish <u>Communities</u>	Cold Water⁴ <u>Communities</u>	Warm Water Forage, Lim. Forage, and Warm Water Sport Fish <u>Communities</u>	Cold Water <u>Communities</u>	Limited Aquatic <u>Life</u>	
1. Acrylonitrile	0.57	0.45	4.6	1.5	130	
<u>2.</u> Arsenic <sup>₂</sup>	<del>0.185</del>	0.185	50	50	<del>50</del>	
	<u>0.2</u>	<u>0.2</u>	<u>13.3</u>	<u>13.3</u>	<u>40</u>	
<u>3.</u> *alpha-BHC	0.012	0.0037	0.013	0.0039	11	
<u>4.</u> *gamma-BHC (lindane)	0.052	0.018	0.064	0.019	54	
5. *BHC, technical grade	0.038	0.013	0.047	0.014	39	
<u>6.</u> Benzene <sup>2</sup>	5	5	140	45	1,300	
<u>7.</u> Benzidine (ng/L)	1.5	1.5	81	55	300	
<u>8.</u> Beryllium	0.054	0.054	0.33	0.33	16	
9. Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	0.31	0.29	7.6	3.0	64	
10. Bis(chloromethyl)ether (ng/L)	1.6	1.6	96	79	320	
11. Carbon tetrachloride	2.5	2.1	29	9.5	540	

12. *Chlordane (ng/L)	0.41	0.12	0.41	0.12	54,000
13. Chloroethene (vinyl chloride)	0.18	0.18	10	6.8	37
14. Chloroform (trichloromethane)	55	53	1,960	922	11,200
<u>15.</u> *4,4'-DDT (ng/L)	0.22	0.065	0.22	0.065	206,000
16. 1,4-Dichlorobenzene	14	12	163	54	2940
17. 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	0.51	0.29	1.5	0.46	154
	<u>0.5</u>	<u>0.3</u>	<u>1.3</u>	<u>0.4</u>	<u>140</u>
18.1,3-Dichloropropene	<u>3.4</u>	<u>3.4</u>	<u>173</u>	<u>108</u>	<u>700</u>
19. 1,2-Dichloroethane	3.8	3.8	217	159	770
20. Dichloromethane <sup>2</sup>	5	5	2700	2100	9600
(methylene chloride)					
<u>21.</u> *Dieldrin (ng/L)	0.0091	0.0027	0.0091	0.0027	4400
22. 2,4-Dinitrotoluene	0.51	0.48	13	5.3	110
23. 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	0.38	0.31	3.3	1.04	88
24. Halomethanes <sup>3</sup>	55	53	1,960	922	11,200
25. *Hexachlorobenzene (ng/L)	0.73	0.22	0.73	0.22	44,000
26. * Hexachlorobutadiene	0.59	0.19	0.69	0.2	910
27. Hexachloroethane	7.7	2.9	11	3.3	5,000
28. N-Nitrosodiethylamine (ng/L)	2.3	2.3	150	140	460
29. N-Nitrosodimethylamine	0.0068	0.0068	0.46	0.46	1.4
30. N-Nitrosodi-n-butylamine	0.063	0.062	2.5	1.3	13
31. N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	44	23	116	34	13,000
32. N-Nitrosopyrrolidine	0.17	0.17	11	11	34
33. *Polychlorinated biphenyls (ng/L)	0.01	0.003	0.01	0.003	9,100
<u>34.</u> *2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (pg/L)	0.014	0.0041	0.014	0.0041	930
35. 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	1.7	1.6	52	22	350
36. Tetrachloroethene	5.8	4.6	46	15	1,300
<u>37.</u> *Toxaphene (ng/L)	0.11	0.034	0.14	0.034	63,600
38. 1,1,2-Trichloroethane <sup>2</sup>	6.0	6.0	195	87	1,200
39. Trichloroethene <sup>2</sup>	5	5	539	194	6,400
40. 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	29	24	30	97	6,400

\* Indicates substances that are BCCs.

1

A human cancer criterion expressed in micrograms per liter (ug/L), nanograms per liter (ng/L) or picograms per liter (pg/L) can be converted to milligrams per liter (mg/L) by dividing the criterion by 1000, 1,000,000 or 1,000,000, respectively. For this substance the human cancer criteria for public water supply receiving water classifications equal the maximum contaminant level pursuant to 2

s. NR 105.09 (4) (b). Human cancer criteria for halomethanes are applicable to any combination of the following chemicals: bromomethane (methyl bromide), chloromethane (methyl chloride), tribromomethane (bromoform), bromodichloromethane (dichloromethyl bromide), dichlorodifluoromethane (fluorocarbon 12) and trichlorofluoromethane (fluorocarbon 11). 3

4 For BCCs, these criteria apply to all waters of the Great Lakes System. SECTION 7. EFFECTIVE DATE. This rule shall take effect the first day of the month following publication in the Wisconsin administrative register.

SECTION 8. BOARD ADOPTION. This rule was approved and adopted by the State of Wisconsin Natural Resources Board on \_\_\_\_\_\_.

Dated at Madison, Wisconsin

#### STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

By \_\_\_\_\_\_ Matthew J. Frank, Secretary

(SEAL)