

### Fiscal Estimate — 2009 Session

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original <input type="checkbox"/> Updated  <input type="checkbox"/> Corrected <input type="checkbox"/> Supplemental	LRB Number  Bill Number	Amendment Number if Applicable  Administrative Rule Number WM-16-09
---	-------------------------------	--

**Subject**  
 Revisions to deer management unit population goals.

**Fiscal Effect**

State:  No State Fiscal Effect  
 Indeterminate

Check columns below only if bill makes a direct appropriation or affects a sum sufficient appropriation.

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Appropriation | <input type="checkbox"/> Increase Existing Revenues |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Appropriation | <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease Existing Revenues |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Create New Appropriation        |   |

Increase Costs — May be possible to absorb within agency's budget.

Yes     No

Decrease Costs

Local:  No Local Government Costs  
 Indeterminate

1.  Increase Costs  
 Permissive     Mandatory
2.  Decrease Costs  
 Permissive     Mandatory

3.  Increase Revenues  
 Permissive     Mandatory
4.  Decrease Revenues  
 Permissive     Mandatory

5. Types of Local Governmental Units Affected:
- Towns     Villages     Cities  
 Counties     Others \_\_\_\_\_  
 School Districts     WTCS Districts

**Fund Sources Affected**

- GPR     FED     PRO     PRS     SEG     SEG-S

**Affected Chapter 20 Appropriations**

**Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

**Summary:**

The Department recommends changes to the overwinter goals for 10 deer management units:

- DMU 3: decrease goal from 16 to 15 deer per square mile of deer range
- DMU 6: increase goal from 12 to 15 deer per square mile of deer range
- DMU 14: increase goal from 14 to 18 deer per square mile of deer range
- DMU 49A: decrease goal from 25 to 20 deer per square mile of deer range
- DMU 57: increase goal from 22 to 25 deer per square mile of deer range
- DMU 59B: increase goal from 15 to 20 deer per square mile of deer range
- DMU 59M: increase goal from 10 to 15 deer per square mile of deer range
- DMU 60A: increase goal from 20 to 25 deer per square mile of deer range
- DMU 60B: increase goal from 20 to 25 deer per square mile of deer range
- DMU 60M: increase goal from 10 to 15 deer per square mile of deer range
- DMU 64: increase goal from 20 to 25 deer per square mile of deer range
- DMU 64M: increase goal from 10 to 15 deer per square mile of deer range
- DMU 68B: decrease goal from 30 to 25 deer per square mile of deer range
- DMU 77C: increase goal from 15 to 20 deer per square mile of deer range
- DMU 77M: increase goal from 10 to 15 deer per square mile of deer range
- DMU 80B: increase goal from 20 to 25 deer per square mile of deer range

**Assumptions:**

A potential fiscal impact of increasing deer population goals is an increase of agricultural damage and associated claims and abatement costs. Fiscal impacts are not anticipated as a result of this rulemaking, however, because the proposed increases are minor, five or fewer deer per square mile of deer range. Some units currently have deer populations which are actually higher than the proposed goals.

**Long-Range Fiscal Implications**

None

Prepared By:	Telephone No.	Agency
Joe Polasek	266-2794	Department of Natural Resources
Authorized Signature	Telephone No.	Date (mm/dd/ccyy)
	266-2794	

## Fiscal Estimate — 2009 Session

### Page 2 Assumptions Narrative Continued

LRB Number	Amendment Number if Applicable
Bill Number	Administrative Rule Number WM-16-09

---

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate – Continued

---

**Fiscal Estimate Worksheet — 2009 Session**  
 Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

- Original       Updated  
 Corrected       Supplemental

LRB Number	Amendment Number if Applicable
Bill Number	Administrative Rule Number WM-16-09

Subject  
 Revisions to deer management unit population goals.

One-time Costs or Revenue Impacts for State and/or Local Government (do not include in annualized fiscal effect):

Annualized Costs:		Annualized Fiscal Impact on State Funds from:	
		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
<b>A. State Costs by Category</b>			
State Operations — Salaries and Fringes		\$	\$ -
(FTE Position Changes)		( FTE )	(- FTE )
State Operations — Other Costs			-
Local Assistance			-
Aids to Individuals or Organizations			-
<b>Total State Costs by Category</b>		\$ 0	\$ - 0
<b>B. State Costs by Source of Funds</b>		Increased Costs	Decreased Costs
GPR		\$	\$ -
FED			-
PRO/PRS			-
SEG/SEG-S			-
State Revenues	Complete this only when proposal will increase or decrease state revenues (e.g., tax increase, decrease in license fee, etc.)	Increased Revenue	Decreased Revenue
GPR Taxes		\$	\$ -
GPR Earned			-
FED			-
PRO/PRS			-
SEG/SEG-S			-
<b>Total State Revenues</b>		\$ 0	\$ - 0

**Net Annualized Fiscal Impact**

	<u>State</u>	<u>Local</u>
Net Change in Costs	\$ 0	\$ 0
Net Change in Revenues	\$ 0	\$ 0

Prepared By: Joe Polasek	Telephone No. 266-2794	Agency Department of Natural Resources
Authorized Signature	Telephone No. 266-2794	Date (mm/dd/ccyy)