RULE REPORT

Department of Commerce

Cleari No.:	nghouse Rule	09-063		
Rule N	No.: Chapter Co	mm 100		
Relation	ng Economic	Development Tax C	redit Pro	gram
Conta	ct person for sub	stantive questions:		Contact person for internal processing:
Nam e	Sam Rockweile	er	Nam e	(same)
Title	Code Developn	nent Consultant	Title	
Telepl Numb		6-0797	Teleph Numbe	
1.	Basis and purpo	ose of the proposed	rule.	

These rules implement the portions of 2009 Wisconsin Act 2 that consolidate five of the Department's development-zone tax-credit programs into a single, statewide program which can target available tax benefits for job creation, capital investment, employee training, and corporate headquarters to the projects that the Department believes will create the most impact.

2. How the proposed rule advances relevant statutory goals or purposes.

The proposed rules are consistent with the Department's statutory duty to foster the growth and diversification of the economy of Wisconsin.

3. Changes to the rule analysis or fiscal estimate that was prepared for public hearing.

No substantive changes were made to the rule analysis or fiscal estimate.

FINAL REGULATORY FLEXIBILITY ANALYSIS

Department of Commerce

	CLEARINGHOUSE RULE NO.: 09-063
	RULE NO.: Chapter Comm 100
	RELATING TO: _ Economic Development Tax Credit Program
	Final regulatory flexibility analysis not required. (Statement of determination required.)
1.	Reason for including or failing to include the following methods for reducing impact of the rule on small businesses: Less stringent compliance or reporting requirements; less stringent schedules or deadlines for compliance or reporting requirements; simplification of compliance or reporting requirements; establishment of performance standards to replace design or operational standards; exemption from any or all requirements.
	Less stringent requirements are not proposed for small businesses because the directing legislation, 2009 Wisconsin Act 2, does not provide such flexibility.
2.	Issues raised by small businesses during hearings, changes in proposed rules as a result of comments by small businesses and reasons for rejecting any alternatives suggested by small businesses.
	No issues were raised.

3.	Nature and estimated cost of preparation of any reports by small businesses.
	The reporting addressed in the rules is substantially similar to reporting that the Department currently requires in conjunction with administering economic development tax credits – and therefore is not expected to impose any significant new costs on small businesses.
4	Notice and adimeted and of other management and investments required of small businesses
4.	Nature and estimated cost of other measures and investments required of small businesses.
	The rules are not expected to impose significant costs on small businesses for other measures because the rules address submittal of documentation, and other activities, only by applicants that choose to pursue tax credits for economic development.
5.	Additional cost to agency of administering or enforcing a rule which includes any of the methods in 1. for reducing impact on small businesses.
rules.	None of the methods listed in 1. for reducing small-business impacts are included in the proposed
6.	Impact on public health, safety and welfare caused by including any of the methods in 1. for reducing impact on small businesses.
rules.	None of the methods listed in 1. for reducing small-business impacts are included in the proposed

RESPONSE TO LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL CLEARINGHOUSE REPORT

Department of Commerce

CLEARINGHOUSE RULE NO.: 09-063
RULE NO.: Chapter Comm 100
RELATING TO: Economic Development Tax Credit Program
Agency contact person for substantive questions.
Name: Sam Rockweiler
Title: Code Development Consultant
Telephone 266-0797 No.
Legislative Council report recommendations accepted in whole.
Legisative countri report recommendations accepted in whore.
Yes X No
Review of statutory authority [s. 227.15(2)(a)]
a. X Accepted
b. Accepted in part
c. Rejected
d. Comments attached
2. Review of rules for form, style and placement in administrative code [s. 227.15(2)(c)]
a. Accepted
b. X Accepted in part
c. Rejected
d. X Comments attached

3.	Review rules for conflict with or duplication of existing rules [s. 227.15(2)(d)]			
	a. X Accepted			
	b. Accepted in part			
	c. Rejected			
	d. Comments attached			
4 .	Review rules for adequate references to related statutes, rules and forms [s. 227.15(2)(e)]			
	a. Accepted			
	b. X Accepted in part			
	c. Rejected			
	d. X Comments attached			
5 .	Review language of rules for clarity, grammar, punctuation and plainness [s. 227.15(2)(f)]			
	a. Accepted			
	b. X Accepted in part			
	c. Rejected			
	d. X Comments attached			
6 . 227.15	Review rules for potential conflicts with, and comparability to, related federal regulations [s 5(2)(g)]			
	a. X Accepted			
	b. Accepted in part			
	c. Rejected			
	d. Comments attached			
7.	Review rules for permit action deadline [s. 227.15(2)(h)]			
	a. X Accepted			
	b. Accepted in part			
	c. Rejected			
	d. Comments attached			